Optimizing Endotracheal Suctioning Classification: Leveraging Prompt Engineering in Machine Learning for Feature Selection

Mahera Roksana Islam Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering University of Dhaka Dhaka, Bangladesh maherarislam@gmail.com Anik Mahmud Ferdous Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering University of Dhaka Dhaka, Bangladesh anikmahmud0001@gmail.com

Shahera HossainFady AlnajjarDepartment of Computer Science and Digital TechnologiesDepartment of Computer Science and Software Engineering
University of East London, UKUnited Arab Emirates Universityshaherahossain@gmail.comAl Ain, UAE

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6102-3765

Md Atiqur Rahman Ahad Department of Computer Science and Digital Technologies University of East London London, United Kingdom mahad@uel.ac.uk

Abstract—In a world with an overgrowing elderly population, there exists a critical need for a greater number skilled individuals in the nursing industry. AI-based systems can be useful, compared to traditional ones with require in-person assistance, to accurately identify nursing activities and assess the nursing trainees to help them become proficient. This paper addresses classifying activities in one such procedure, endotracheal suctioning, using skeletal keypoint data of the subject performing the procedure. A multi-step structured prompt engineering method was established and utilized on several LLMs to select or calculate key features from the data. Then the features are passed onto several tuned machine learning models to obtain results. A tuned XGBoost prevailed across all models, achieving 90% accuracy on the validation set.

Index Terms—Human Activity Recognition, Large Language Model, Generative AI, Machine learning, Nurse-care

I. INTRODUCTION

In the context of nursing education, accurate recognition of training activities is essential for effective skill assessment and feedback. Traditional methods for activity recognition often rely on manual observation and annotation, which can be time consuming, subjective, and prone to errors [1]. To overcome such limitations and to reduce the need for manual intervention, accurate AI-based human activity recognition systems are needed. However, the complexity of certain nursing activities like in endotracheal suctioning (ES) procedure, involving intricate body movements and interactions with medical equipment poses formidable challenges to accurate action recognition [2]. Furthermore, occlusion due to limitations of camera frames and positions, as well as the presence of background non-primary subjects compounds this problem [3]. Recent developments in artificial intelligence (AI) and computer vision technologies have shown promising results in classifying realistic human motion data, including using skeletal keypoints in a time frame to classify actions [6] [7]. This classification is aided by the insurgence of generative AI, particularly in large language models, over the past few years, which can be prompted to perform complicated data analysis and detect and suggest underlying trends and features [4].

Endotracheal suctioning (ES) is a crucial intervention performed in patients requiring mechanical ventilation to clear airway secretions and maintain optimal respiratory function. This multi-step procedure involves the insertion of a suction catheter into the endotracheal tube to remove mucus and debris, reducing the risk of airway obstruction and improving gas exchange. Proper technique, timing, and monitoring are essential to minimize complications and ensure patient safety during endotracheal suctioning procedures [8]. So far, there has not been any significant research or system pipelines proposed for the use of AI in automating assessment of the procedure.



Fig. 1. Flowchart of the proposed methodology.

Recent advancements in natural language processing, particularly in the realm of large language models (LLMs) has allowed the usage of natural language or "prompts" to tune these models to accomplish specific tasks [5]. In this paper, conversational models like Claude 2.0 and GPT 3.5 are prompted in a step-by-step logically structured approach called Chain-of-thought strategy to obtain suggestions for feature extraction based on the skeletal data [12]. In this paper, we propose an approach for activity recognition of nurse training activities in ES procedure using skeletal data as illustrated in Figure 1. Specifically, we focus on the following key contributions:

- 1) Prompting LLMs to suggest a subset of relevant features based on our raw data.
- Developing a machine learning model to accurately classify nurse-care activities.
- 3) Ameliorating the obstacles posed by imbalanced datasets by oversampling minority classes.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section

II presents related work, Section III shows dataset information, the classification processes used on the activity, and the data pre-processing information. Section IV discusses the methods utilized in the classification task. Section V presents the results obtained from our approach.

II. RELATED WORKS

Endotracheal suctioning is a procedure involving the removal of pulmonary secretions, which is necessary to ensure sufficient oxygen reaches the patients lungs, specially in intensive care units. To ensure this procedure takes place smoothly, nurses need to be trained well to ensure they are aware of correct techniques [11]. This can be ensured by the help of proper activity recognition systems, allowing nurses to learn such practices long before it is ever applied in life threatening situations. Endotracheal suctioning, though uncomfortable, is deemed necessary by patients to ease breathing [10].

On the activity recognition side, much progress has been made. Specifically for using skeletal data for nursecare activity recognition, multimodal transformer based network can be used to bring out features from skeletal joints and acceleration data to perform nurse care activity identification [2]. Besides this, deep learning models have been found to be better adapted to the field of multimodal datasets compared to hand crafted features [6].

Joint position data can be utilized for action recognition. Previous studies have explored using statistical features like mean, minimum, and maximum joint positions as well as applying principal component analysis (PCA) to the joint position data to extract relevant features for action classification [19].

Previous approaches to action recognition have also utilized Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) on the time series of joint positions. Specifically, the first five FFT components extracted from the joint position sequences are used as a feature vector, which is then passed into a neural network for action classification. However, this did not perform well in recognizing complex actions involving different body parts [20].

In the realm of skeletal data in nursing, most existing skeletal data models operate in one of two ways: (1) They utilize manually calculated features, which are then inputted into an ensemble of decision trees [21] or neural networks [22], or (2) they directly feed the data into sequential models [23]. A few models use convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to extract features from raw data [24]. However, none of such models extract features in relation to the characteristic nature of the data and the relevance of its contents. This paper is distinctive as we use LLMs to obtain prompted feature suggestions which is prompted to take into account both the peculiarities of the dataset and the context of the information it represents.

III. DATASET

A. Data Collection

The dataset used for this paper consists of ten nurses and twelve nursing students performing the procedure for endotracheal suctioning (ES) on the simulation system, ESTE-SIM. The camera was positioned directly in front of the nurse performing the procedure on a mannequin laid on a hospital bed. Due to the lack of multiple camera positioning, only one perspective of the activity performed could be captured, and often led to occlusion due to the nurse often moving out of the camera frame.

Each of the twenty-four subjects involved performed the ES procedure twice as follows: tracheal suctioning, positioning from the right decubitus to the supine position, lung auscultation, tracheal suctioning, and lung auscultation - resulting in 44 videos.

Written consents were collected and the ethical approval of the data collection was obtained from Hokkaido University, Faculty of Health Sciences. The video camera used in the experiment was SONY HANDYCAM HDR-PJ680 with the focal length of 1.9-57.0mm. The frame per second of video is 30 and the image size is 1920×1080.

B. Data Description

Each activity performed for this dataset is labeled by numbers in Table I. The "Others" tag represents activity that does not fall under any of the designated 8 activities. It can be seen from Figure 2 that the dataset is quite imbalanced with Activity 4 ("Catheter Disinfection") occurring the most frequently and Activity 6 ("Positioning") occurring the least. From Figure 3, it can be deduced that the time required for each activity differs widely with Activity 0 ("Catheter Preparation") taking the most time in total.

TABLE I Activities of endotracheal suctioning (ES) procedures and their respective labels

Activity class id	Activity name
0	Catheter preparation
1	Temporal removal of an artificial airway
2	Suctioning phlegm
3	Refitting the artificial airway
4	Catheter disinfection
5	Discarding gloves
6	Positioning
7	Auscultation
8	Others



Fig. 2. Number of Instances of Activity Labels



Fig. 3. Total Time of Activity Labels

C. Data Splitting and Processing

All of the videos were passed through YOLOv7 to extract the keypoint data of the video subjects. From each frame of each video, 17 keypoint sets of x and y coordinates of the main subject and their respective confidence scores were obtained. Data was processed to remove any background subjects. The keypoints are as follows: nose, pairs of eye, ear, shoulder, elbow, wrist, hip, knee, ankle. Among the 44 videos obtained, 12 videos (27%) were kept for testing and 6 videos (13.6%) were kept for validation. The rest of the 26 videos were used for training the models.

IV. METHOD

The following section details our approach to prompting LLMs for feature extraction, and using those features to develop a machine learning model for activity classification.

A. Preprocessing

For the purposes of simplification, speed, and limited data storage capability, the keypoint data for body parts below the torso were removed during preprocessing. As the videos portrayed the main subject's body occluded by a mannequin from the waist down, the keypoint data for coordinates of knees and ankles were deemed unnecessary. Similarly, the confidence scores were also removed. To lessen the noise and random fluctuations in the data and to make the apparent data trends more visible, the data was smoothed by taking the mean of every 3 seconds. Figure 4 shows an example of the x and y coordinate values of the left wrist of a subject before and after smoothing was performed.



Fig. 4. Data of left wrist keypoints of a sample subject before and after smoothing.

B. Feature Selection Using Prompt Engineering

LLMs were used throughout the course of this approach, for generating subsets of potential features. To ensure control across the LLMs, they were guided through a strictly methodical process step-by-step to infer and suggest the most relevant features from the keypoints data. Initially, the LLMs were prompted to suggest general features that may be relevant to human activity recognition. After that, a 4-part structured process to prompt the details of features to be used in the model:

- Introduction, I
- Context, $C(x_i)$
- Chain of Thought (CoT), T
- Question, Q

In this prompting process, the LLMs were first prompted with the introduction, I, of the problem which was to analyze the keypoint descriptors and activity label annotations, and select features to distinguish each activity. Then the keypoint data and annotations were fed as context, $C(x_i)$ where x_i was a data point. We, then, prompted the LLMs to analyze and determine which movements in particular (e.g. which joint angles or distances) and its relevant parameters are important for classification, and to determine their respective roles under each activity label. This was a multi-step process and methods from Chain-of-Thought (CoT) prompting were utilized [12]. Lastly, we directed the LLMs to choose the activity labels, from 0 to 7, which matches the activity most closely. The ones that could not be classified were marked as Others (label 8).

The described prompt is represented as shown in Equation 1.

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \{\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i), \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{Q}\}$$
(1)

The feature set, \mathcal{F} , is obtained from passing the prompts through LLMs, where each structured output is as shown in Equation 2.

$$\mathbf{f}_i = \mathrm{LLM}(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}_i)) \tag{2}$$

The features obtained can be grouped into following categories:

- **Basic statistical features:** For each frame, the following are calculated: mean (arithmetic), variance, standard deviation, maximum value, minimum value, median, sum.
- Velocity, acceleration, and jerk: These help to understand the rate of change of movements across several time frames.
- Joint angles: Angle between two joints, a and b, were calculated using the equation for dot product as represented in Equation 3.

$$\theta = \arccos\left(\frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}}{|\mathbf{a}||\mathbf{b}|}\right) \tag{3}$$

In total, **nine** angles were obtained from prompting LLMs as illustrated on Figure 5.

• Joint distances: The distances are calculated using Euclidean formula for distances, x and y as represented in Equation 4.

$$d = \sqrt{(x)^2 + (y)^2}$$
(4)

In total, **ten** distances were obtained from prompting LLMs as illustrated on Figure 5.

Joint angles and distances in combination builds spatial representation of the skeletal data and help to understand the movements and changes in joints and body parts with each distinctive activity [6]. As joint distances differ significantly amongst individuals, they had to be normalized using spine as the reference [13]. Due to the absence of keypoint data, the spinal distance was estimated from the center of the shoulders to the center of hips, which was calculated by taking the midpoint of the left and right hip point data. Overall 861 features were extracted for each frame.

C. Model Tuning

To classify actions based on the generated features, four classical models and one deep learning model were tuned and tested on the dataset.

Name of the Feature Description importance OP: 7 Claude 3.5 TABLE II Mean Andermetic average of a data is our cape of a data data data is our			Description of	Suggested by Perparameters are shown in Table II.						
Mean Arithmetic average of data in each frame CLASSICAL MODELS USED WITH THERE NYTERARAMUTERS SET data in each frame Variance Measure of spread of a feature of a frame Image: Construction of the features Model Tunned: means T	Name of the Feature		Importance	GPT 3.5	Claude 2.0	TABLE II				
Joint distance Measure of spread of a leasure of a frame Index leasure of a frame Index leasure of a frame <thi< td=""><td></td><td>Mean</td><td>Arithmetic average of</td><td>\checkmark</td><td></td><td>CLASSICAL M</td><td colspan="4">${ m \Lambda}$odels used with their hyperparameters set</td></thi<>		Mean	Arithmetic average of	\checkmark		CLASSICAL M	${ m \Lambda}$ odels used with their hyperparameters set			
Standard deviation Squard for 0 f variance Image: Squ	Basic statistical features	Variance	Measure of spread of a			Model	Parameters Tuned	Tuning Selections	Tuned Value	
Basic statistical Indextorial Indextorial <thindextorial< th=""></thindextorial<>		Standard deviation	Squared root of variance			Random Forest	n_estimators max_depth	[10, 50, 100, 250]	100	
features Minimum value Minimum value of a frame frame Vector Interval Median Median value of a frame Image: Stand Vector Image: Vect		Maximum value	Maximum value of a frame			Classifier Support Vector Machine	C parameter	$[10, 10, 20]$ $[10^{**}-2, 10^{**}-1, 10^{**}0, 10^{**}0, 10^{**}0, 10^{**$	10**2	
Median Median value of a frame Image: Comparison of a logistic regression Control (10**2, 10**1, 10**1, 10**1, 10**1, 10**1, 10**1, 10**1, 10**1, 10**2, 10**1, 10**2, 10**1, 10**2, 10**1, 10**2, 10**1, 10**2,		Minimum value	Minimum value of a frame				class_weight	10**2] [None, 0:1,1:5, 0:1,1:10,	0:1,1:5	
Sum Sum of all values of a feature of a frame Capsule Ceparameter (0**0, 10**1,		Median	Median value of a feature of a frame					0:1,1:25] [10**-2, 10**-1,		
Joint Between elbow, shoulder inght side) Change in angles of ertain joints across between right shoulder, inght side) Change in angles of ertain joints across torust esponde spatial angles Change in angles of ertain joints across between right shoulder, inght side) Imax_depth Imax_dept		Sum	Sum of all values of a feature of a frame			Logistic Regression	C parameter	10**0, 10**1, 10**2]	10**1	
Joint angles Between wist, elbow and shoulder (for both left and right side) Change in angles of criani joint side) XGBoot Imax_cepting [10,1,0,0] 2 Between ight elbow, right, and left shoulder Between ight elbow, right, and left shoulder Imax_cepting [10,7,0,5] 0.7 Between ight elbow, right, and left shoulder Between ight elbow, right, and left shoulder, nose, left shoulder, for both left and right side) Imax_cepting [10,7,0,5] 0.7 Between ight elbow, right, and left shoulder, nose, left shoulder, isoluter,	Joint angles	Between elbow, shoulder and hip (for both left and right side)	Change in angles of certain joints across frames provides spatial understanding of an activity and helps to differnetiate one activity from another.				class_weight	0:1,1:10, 0:1,1:25]	None	
Joint angles Between left elbow, left and right shoulder activity and left shoulder individes spatial understanding of an activity and left shoulder individes its shoulder individes its shoulder individes its shoulder. Image provides spatial understanding of an activity and left shoulder individes its shoulder individes its shoulder individes its shoulder. Image provides spatial understanding of an activity and left shoulder individes its shoulder individes its shoulder. Image provides spatial understanding of an activity and left shoulder individes its shoulder individes its shoulder. Image provides spatial understanding of an activity and left shoulder individes its shoulder. Image provides spatial understanding of an activity and left shoulder individes its shoulder. Image provides spatial understanding of an activity and left shoulder individes its shoulder. Image provides spatial understanding of an activity and left shoulder. Joint distances is die) From shoulder to wrist (for both left and right side) Similar to joint angles, baricular joint distances provide information about particular individes individe information about particular individe information about particular individe information about particular individes individe information about particular individes individes individes the provide information a firm whits to left wrist to left wrist to left and right side Image provide information a individe individes the provide information a firm on the individe individe individe information a firm on the individe individe individe information a firm on the individe individe individes the provide information a firm on the individe indide indiditis andivide individe indide individe individ		Between wrist, elbow and shoulder (for both left and right side)				XGBoost	max_deptn min_child_weight subsample colsample_bytree	[5, 10, 15] $[2, 5, 10, 30]$ $[0.8, 0.5]$ $[0.7, 0.5]$	5 2 0.5 0.7	
angles Between right elbow, right, and left shoulder activity and helps to differentiate one activity from another. activity and helps to differentiate one activity from another. Image: Continuence on the mory (LSTM) model was run on the dataset. The LSTM consisted of one hidden layer, followed by a Dropout layer followed by a Dense layer with ReLu activation function [14] Between right elbow shoulder, elbow (for both left and right side) Similar to joint angles, particular joint distances provide information about particular momental characetristics of each activity and helps to differentiate between multiple activities. Similar to joint angles, particular joint distances provide information about particular momental characetristics of each activity and helps to differentiate between multiple activities. Similar to joint angles, particular joint distances provide information about particular momental characetristics of each activity and helps to differentiate between multiple activities. Similar to joint angles, particular joint distances provide information about particular momental characetristics of each activity and helps to differentiate between multiple activities. Similar to joint angles, particular momental characetristics of each activity and helps to differentiate between multiple activities. From right hip to left hip both left and right side Calculated as the rate of change of wovements in a frame Similar to joint angles, particular momental characetristics of each activity and helps to differentiate between multiple activities. Similar to joint angles, particular momental characetristics of each activity and helps to differentiate between multiple activities. From right wirst to left both l		Between left elbow, left, and right shoulder					learning_rate	[0.1, 0.01, 0.001] [100, 350, 500,	0.1	
Between right shoulder, nose, left shoulder If the all other. Between hip center, shoulder, elbow (for both left and right side) If the last and		Between right elbow, right, and left shoulder				<i>Deep Learning Model:</i> A simple Long Short Tern nory (LSTM) model was run on the dataset. The LSTM				
Between hip center, shoulder, elbow (for both left and right side) Image: consisted of one hidden layer, followed by a Dropout layer followed by a Dense layer with ReLu activation function [14] Joint distances From shoulder to wrist (for both left and right side) Similar to joint angles, particular joint distances provide information about particular joint distances side) Similar to joint angles, particular joint distances provide information about particular joint distances invoke information about particular (for both left and right side) Similar to joint angles, particular joint distances provide information about particular iso decha activity and helps to differentiate between multiple activities. Image: consisted of one hidden layer, followed by a Dropout layer followed by a Dense layer with ReLu activation function [14] Joint distances From hip center to vist (for both left and right side) Similar to joint distances provide information about particular joint distances activity and helps to differentiate between multiple activities. Image: consisted of features and no features were also run on the entire set of features and no features were also run on the activity and helps to differentiate between multiple activities. Image: consisted of class Imbalance Data seen from Figure 2, the instances of each activity labels a frame Velocity Calculated as the rate of change of movements in a frame Image: consisted of change of novements in a frame Image: consisted of change of acceleration Image: consisted of change of acceleration in a frame Image: consisted of change of acceleration Image: consisted of change of acceleration Image:		Between right shoulder, nose, left shoulder			Me					
Joint distances From shoulder to wrist (for both left and right side) Similar to joint angles, particular joint distances provide information about particular is ach subset of features found from each LLM was tested as the least to analyse the differences in impact on results based on the features suggested by LLMs. From right hip to left hip From hip side to wrist (for bank left and right side) Calculated as the rate of change of novements in a frame Image: provide information a frame Image: provide information about particular joint distances provide information about particular joint distances provide information about particular joint distances in impact on re		Between hip center, shoulder, elbow (for both left and right side)		 Image: A start of the start of	cor Foll	sisted of one hidden layer, followed by a Dropout lay owed by a Dense layer with ReLu activation function [1				
Joint distancesFrom hip center to elbow (for both left and right side)Similar to joint angles, particular joint distances provide information about particular momental characteristics of each activity and helps to differentiate between multiple activities.Image: Composition of the compo	Joint distances	From shoulder to wrist (for both left and right side)	Similar to joint angles, particular joint distances provide information about particular momental characteristics of each acitvity and helps to differentiate between multiple acitvities.		bef ske wit	the being passed off to the output. Each of the keypoint etal part, arranged sequentially, were fed into the mode a window size of 3 seconds.				
Joint distances From hip center to wrist (for both left and right side) about particular momental characteristics of each acityty and helps to differentiate between multiple acityties. The features and no features were also run on the entire set of features and no features were also run on the entire set of features and no features were also run on the entire set of features and no features were also run on the entire set of features and no features were also run on the entire set of features and no features were also run on the entire set of features and no features were also run on the entire set of features and no features were also run on the entire set of features suggested by LLMs. From right hip to left hip both left and right side From hip side to wrist (for both left and right side E. Handling of Class Imbalance Data Velocity Calculated as the rate of change of movements in a frame E. Handling of Class Imbalance, the model has less data or occur the most whereas activity of ('Positioning') occurs Jerk Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Me Me		From hip center to elbow (for both left and right side)		>	D .	Testing Feature Subsets				
From right wrist to left wrist addiving and heips to differentiate between multiple activities. addiving and heips to differentiate between multiple activities. addiving and heips to differentiate between multiple activities. From right hip to left hip both left and right side From hip side to wrist (for both left and right side addiving and heips to differentiate between multiple activities. addiving and heips to differentiate between multiple activities. addiving and heips to differentiate between multiple activities. and left to analyse the differences in impact on results based on the features suggested by LLMs. From hip side to wrist (for both left and right side Calculated as the rate of change of movements in a frame E. Handling of Class Imbalance Data Velocity Calculated as the rate of change of welocity in a frame Image of movements in a frame Image of class Imbalance, the model has less data of change of velocity in a frame Jerk Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image of acceleration in a frame Image of acceleration in a frame Image of acceleration in a frame		From hip center to wrist (for both left and right side)		V	aga ent	nst the tuned model which returned the best result. The re set of features and no features were also run on the lel to analyse the differences in impact on results based the features suggested by LLMs				
From right hip to left hip both left and right side Calculated as the rate of change of movements in a frame Handling of Class Imbalance Data Velocity Calculated as the rate of change of webocity in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration Image: Calculated as the rate of c		From right wrist to left wrist								
From hip side to wrist (for both left and right side E Handling of Class Imbalance Data Velocity Calculated as the rate of change of movements in a frame S seen from Figure 2, the instances of each activity labels Acceleration Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frame S seen from Figure 2, the instances of each activity labels Jerk Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame S seen from Figure 2, the instances of each activity labels Jerk Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frame S seen from Figure 2, the instances of each activity labels Jerk Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frame S seen from Figure 2, the instances of each activity labels Jerk Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frame S seen from Figure 2, the instances of each activity labels Jerk Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frame S seen from Figure 2, the most whereas activity 6 ('Positioning') occurs Jerk Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame S seen from Figure 2, the most whereas activity 6 ('SMOTE) [15] from the imblearn package in Python was used to generate synthetic		From right hip to left hip				ine reatures suggested by ELWIS.				
VelocityCalculated as the rate of change of movements in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of accelerationImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration 		From hip side to wrist (for both left and right side				Handling of Class Imbalance Data s seen from Figure 2, the instances of each activity lab				
AccelerationCalculated as the rate of change of velocity in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of accelerationImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frameImage: Calculated as the rate of image: Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration image: Ca	Velocity Calculated as the rate of change of movements in a frame		~	ers	greatly. Activity 4 ('Catheter Disinfection') and 8 ('Oth) occur the most whereas activity 6 ('Positioning') occur					
Jerk Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame Min ority Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE) [15] from the imblearn package in Python was used to generate synthetic	А	Acceleration Cal			the Ma he	least. Due to such imbalance, the model has less data lower-occurring classes to learn from which might least hisidentification and overall lower accuracy. So, Synthet			less data of might lead	
	Jerk Calculated change o in a		Calculated as the rate of change of acceleration in a frame			ority Over- learn packa	ority Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE) [15] from learn package in Python was used to generate synth			

Fig. 5. Features obtained from prompting LLMs.

1) Classical Models: By prompting the LLM's repeatedly, we attained sets of possible hyperparameter values for each classical model. Then through searching through the possible combinations of hyperparameter values (using grid search and randomized search), we obtained most suitable values for each model. The models, hyperparameter options and their tuned

F. Performance Evaluation

The performance of each model is primarily evaluated with respect to their F1-score. Precision is the ratio of true positives and all positive values [16]. Recall is the ratio of true positives and all relevant values (true positives and false negatives). F1score is calculated by taking the harmonic average of precision and recall. Macro average is calculated by finding the mean of all F1-scores across activity labels. Accuracy (or micro average) is found by finding the global F1-score across all classes. Weighted average is found by averaging the activity labels with support instances as weights of each activity label.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Classical Models

From the results on the validation set, as illustrated in Table III, it can be seen that the XGBoost performed best with an accuracy of 0.89, while logistic regression performed the worst. The baseline Random Forest model provides an accuracy of 0.64, and is eventually tuned to 0.85. It can be inferred that tree-based models like Random Forest and XGBoost performed much better than the others. This may be because our principal data source is tabular and tree-based methods are well-known for being effective on any kind of structured data because of their ability to handle non-linear relationships and because of their robustness to irrelevant features, and variables [17].

TABLE III Classical model performance.

Model	Accuracy	Macro Average	Weighted Average
Logistic Regression	0.73	0.63	0.72
Random Forest (Tuned)	0.85	0.75	0.83
Random Forest (Baseline)	0.64	0.59	0.63
XGBoost	0.89	0.80	0.89
Support Vector Machine	0.73	0.64	0.73



Fig. 6. Confusion Matrix of tuned XGBoost Model.

1) Results from Feature Subsets: When each feature subsets obtained from each LLM were passed onto the model with the highest accuracy, it resulted in Table IV. Combination of feature subsets obtained from both LLMs result in the highest accuracy of 0.89. This is a significant improvement compared

TABLE V Comparison between XGBoost model with and without SMOTE Data.

Activity I abol	XGB	oost	SMOTE with XGBoost		
Activity Laber	F1 score	Support	F1 score	Support	
0	0.90	526	0.90	555	
1	0.65	33	0.76	34	
2	0.94	148	0.94	168	
3	0.76	51	0.72	52	
4	0.82	285	0.89	352	
5	0.70	49	0.82	56	
6	0.86	47	0.81	50	
7	0.96	501	0.94	540	
8	0.90	109	0.87	136	
accuracy	0.89	1749	0.90	1943	
macro average	0.80	1749	0.85	1943	
weighted average	0.89	1749	0.90	1943	

to results (62%) where no LLMs were utilized to extract features. It is also noticeable that feature subset obtained from GPT 3.5 was able to achieve an accuracy of 0.75 with 342 features, while Claude 2.0 achieved a marginally higher result of 0.77 with more than twice the number of features.

TABLE IV Results of feature subsets passed through XGB00st.

Feature extraction method	Number of features extracted	Accuracy
No LLMs	-	0.62
GPT 3.5	342	0.75
Claude 2.0	702	0.77
Both GPT 3.5 and Claude 2.0	861	0.89

2) *Results with SMOTE:* From the confusion matrix of XGBoost in Figure 6, it can be seen that the model performed the worst on labels with the lowest support instances, namely labels 1 and 5. This is due to the model having fewer examples to train and learn from to give provide optimal classification. To rectify such imbalance of labels, SMOTE was used, resulting in the outputs in Figure 7.

It is noticed from Table V that the accuracy with SMOTE marginally increased, especially for low-instance labels due to larger number of support instances due to synthetic generation, thus increasing the macro average from 80% to 85%.

Overall, it is noticed that the accuracy and weighted averages are more than the macro averages across all models and sampling techniques. This is because macro average calculates each class independently and averages to give the final score. It treats each class equally, regardless of its support. In this imbalanced dataset, since the minority classes have poor



Fig. 7. Confusion Matrix of tuned XGBoost Model on SMOTE data.

performance (low precision, recall, etc.), they drag down the macro average [18].

B. Deep Learning Model

The LSTM model was run 10 times, and resulted in a cumulative accuracy of 65.12%, which was not nearly comparable to the accuracy we obtained from decision trees. The accuracy can be increased if the architecture is finetuned to include more layers, it's learning rate, sequence length, batch size, and hyperparameters are optimized.

VI. CONCLUSION

Due to the imperative need of automated systems in nursecare services, leveraging machine learning models becomes essential for enhancing efficiency, accuracy, and patient outcomes. In this paper, we propose a machine learning model, with features selected through prompt engineering conversational large language models (LLMs) to classify the activities in endotracheal suctioning (ES) procedure with 90% accuracy.

Our research was limited due to the low number of subjects in our dataset resulted in a smaller sample size for training. Moreover, since YOLOv7 was used to extract skeletal keypoints of human subject from videos, the data was limited to coordinates of 17 points, with no points for hip center and spine - parts that we had to interpolate by taking average of adjacent points. In the future, models with more coordinate points can be used for more accurate predictions.

Since the dataset is heavily imbalanced, SMOTE is used which resulted in marginally improved results. However, SMOTE generated synthetic examples based solely on the existing minority class instances, potentially losing valuable information present in the original data. This could have resulted in the creation of mostly noisy or irrelevant synthetic samples. Furthermore, it may not have been as effective as the class imbalance may have been caused by inherent differences in the class distributions (i.e. a certain activity may just occur less).

Although we used four classifiers and a sequential model for classification, the challenge can be extended across other classifiers and neural networks. This study can also be extended across different and more updated conversational LLMs like GPT 4.0. For future endeavors, advanced models like transformers can be used to sequentially model different joints and use attention networks to weigh the importance of different parts of the input. Furthermore, generative adversarial networks (GANs) can be used to overcome occlusion posed by image subjects being out of frame. This can assist in reconstructing the entire skeletal morphology of the subject which can lead to better feature extraction and model prediction.

REFERENCES

- [1] Faiz, F., Ideno, Y., Iwasaki, H., Muroi, Y., Inoue, S. (2021). Multilabel Classification of Nursing Activities in a Realistic Scenario. In: Ahad, M.A.R., Inoue, S., Roggen, D., Fujinami, K. (eds) Activity and Behavior Computing. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies, vol 204. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-8944-7_17
- [2] Momal Ijaz, Renato Diaz, Chen Chen: Multimodal transformer for nursing activity recognition (2022). DOI https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2204.04564
- [3] "Human activity recognition challenge," SpringerLink, https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-981-15-8269-1? (accessed Apr 30, 2024).
- [4] J. Guo, V. Mohanty, H. Hao, L. Gou, and L. Ren, "Can LLMS infer domain knowledge from code exemplars? A preliminary study," Companion Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, Mar. 2024. doi:10.1145/3640544.3645228
- [5] Language models are unsupervised multitask learners -2018, https://d4mucfpksywv.cloudfront.net/better-languagemodels/language_models_are_unsupervised_multitask_learners.pdf (accessed Apr. 29, 2024).
- [6] S. Sarker, S. Rahman, T. Hossain, Syeda Faiza Ahmed, L. Jamal, and Atiqur Rahman Ahad, "Skeleton-Based Activity Recognition: Preprocessing and Approaches," Intelligent systems reference library (Print), pp. 43–81, Jan. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-68590-4_2.
- [7] T. Hossain, S. Sarker, S. Rahman, and Atiqur Rahman Ahad, "Skeleton-Based Human Action Recognition on Large-Scale Datasets," Intelligent systems reference library (Print), pp. 125–146, Jan. 2021, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-75490-7_5.
- [8] C. J. Wood, "Endotracheal suctioning: a literature review," Intensive and Critical Care Nursing, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 124–136, Jun. 1998, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0964-3397(98)80375-3.
- [9] Chen, Weiming; Jiang, Zijie; Guo, Hailin; Ni, Xiaoyang (2020). Fall Detection Based on Key Points of Human-Skeleton Using OpenPose. Symmetry, 12(5), 744–. doi:10.3390/sym12050744
- [10] Pedersen, C.M., Rosendahl-Nielsen, M., Hjermind, J., Egerod, I.: Endotracheal suctioning of the adult intubated patient—what is the evidence? Intensive and Critical Care Nursing 25(1), 21–30 (2009). DOI https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2008.05.004. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0964339708000566
- [11] Day, Farnell, S., Wilson-Barnett, Т., J.: Suctioning: а review of current research recommendations. Intensive and Critical Care Nursing 18(2), 79-89 (2002).https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-3397(02)00004-6. DOI URL. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0964339702000046
- [12] Jason Zhanshun Wei et al., "Chain-of-Thought Prompting Elicits Reasoning in Large Language Models," Jan. 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2201.11903.
- [13] R. Li, H. Fu, W. Lo, Z. Chi, Z. Song, and D. Wen. Skeleton-based action recognition with key-segment descriptor and temporal step matrix model. IEEE Access, 7:169782–169795, 2019.

- [14] K. Fukushima, "Visual Feature Extraction by a Multilayered Network of Analog Threshold Elements," IEEE Transactions on Systems Science and Cybernetics, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 322–333, 1969, doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/tssc.1969.300225.
- [15] N. V. Chawla, K. W. Bowyer, L. O. Hall, and W. P. Kegelmeyer, "SMOTE: Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique," Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research, vol. 16, no. 16, pp. 321–357, Jun. 2002, doi: https://doi.org/10.1613/jair.953.
- [16] David, "Evaluation: from precision, recall and F-measure to ROC, informedness, markedness and correlation," Oct. 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2010.16061.
- [17] L. Grinsztajn, E. Oyallon, and G. Varoquaux, "Why do tree-based models still outperform deep learning on tabular data?," arXiv:2207.08815 [cs, stat], Jul. 2022, Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.08815
- [18] T. Gowda, W. You, C. Lignos, and J. May, "Macro-Average: Rare Types Are Important Too." Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2104.05700.pdf
- [19] V. R. Reddy, T. Chattopadhyay, "Human activity recognition from kinect captured data using stick model," In Human-Computer Interaction. Advanced Interaction Modalities and Techniques, Springer, pp. 305–315, 2014.
- [20] Mario Martínez-Zarzuela and Francisco J Díaz-Pernas and A. Tejeros-de-Pablos and David González-Ortega and Míriam Antón-Rodríguez, "Action recognition system based on human body tracking with depth images," Advances in Computer Science: an International Journal, vol. 3(1), pp. 115–123, 2014. URL: https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:6122142
- [21] D. A. Adama, A. Lotfi, C. Langensiepen, K. Lee, and P. Trindade, "Human activity learning for assistive robotics using a classifier ensemble," Soft Computing, vol. 22, no. 21, pp. 7027–7039, Jul. 2018. doi:10.1007/s00500-018-3364-x
- [22] E. Mathe, A. Maniatis, E. Spyrou, and P. Mylonas, "A deep learning approach for human action recognition using skeletal information," Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, pp. 105–114, 2020. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-32622-7_9
- [23] R. Cui, A. Zhu, G. Hua, H. Yin, and H. Liu, "Multisource learning for skeleton-based action recognition using Deep LSTM and CNN," Journal of Electronic Imaging, vol. 27, no. 04, p. 1, Aug. 2018. doi:10.1117/1.jei.27.4.043050
- [24] S. K. Yadav, K. Tiwari, H. M. Pandey, and S. A. Akbar, "Skeletonbased human activity recognition using CONVLSTM and guided feature learning," Soft Computing, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 877–890, Oct. 2021. doi:10.1007/s00500-021-06238-7]