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Chapter X
Urban living labs: nature-based solutions experiences in Europe

Marcus Collier and Stuart Connop

Key messages

- Most innovation occurs in cities; and cities provide many opportunities for developing and studying case studies which become living laboratories for guiding transitioning and stimulating innovation.
- Nature-based solutions promote nature as a form of transition ‘technology’ and they exemplify the types of innovations that can assist cities in tackling climate-related issues such as flooding.
- Here we use an example from the UK, where a co-creation process with multiple stakeholders in a living laboratory can be used as a template for transitioning with nature-based solutions.

Cities are a global locus of innovation and when it comes to nature-based solutions. Cities and city neighbourhoods contain numerous working examples or living laboratories of how nature-based solutions may be realised and deliver efficient and measurable improvements and responses to climate change pressure. This chapter will illustrate this using the case study site of the Derbyshire Pocket Park in London, UK. This is a space that was co-created during an iterative process with multiple stakeholders. Co-creation is derived from the business world where customers work with innovators not just to provide feedback but also to solve problems and suggest pathways to success. In this case, the co-creation process sought to use nature-based solutions to solve climate problems, and to stimulate opportunities for addition benefits (or co-benefits). Indeed, deriving co-benefits from nature-based solutions was the guiding principal as a response to diverse environmental, ecological and social issues and as a way to facilitate transitioning.

Nature-based solutions can be defined as “actions which are inspired by, supported by or copied from nature. Many nature-based solutions result in multiple co-benefits for health, the economy, society and the environment, and thus they can represent more efficient and cost-effective solutions than more traditional approaches.”¹ For some time it has been known that cities and urban areas in general can have a huge negative effect on biodiversity (either in the city or on the landscape that supplied food and energy to the city)². More recently, there has been a wide recognition that biodiverse ecosystems, including cities, can supply or even augment the ecosystem services (as is discussed in Chapter XX for more on ecosystem services) that we know are necessary for high quality of life and the creation of sustainable and resilient environments. In addition, studies are beginning to explore

¹ EC-European Commission, 2015
and reveal the outcome of the interplay between biodiversity, ecosystem services and urban green infrastructure, with respect to social capital networks, perceived and actual wellbeing, physical and psychological health, and productive, egalitarian and democratic livelihoods. Therefore, nature-based solutions have emerged as the main policy driver in actively transitioning cities, because they can be used to create multi-functional arenas and to fulfill multiple, simultaneous objectives. This chapter will look at an example of a nature-based solutions in an urban living laboratory setting and will discuss some of the learning experiences that have emerged from the co-creation process that was used to implement it.

**Challenges relating to nature-based solutions**

Most cities see blue/green infrastructure as being necessary for: buffering excess water, cooling surrounding areas, conserving biodiversity, and/or providing space for recreation and improving wellbeing. Others see blue/green infrastructure such as woodlands and street trees, green roofs and walls, rain gardens and opportunities for reducing the effects of urban heat islands, increasing biodiversity, sinking carbon and diminishing the detrimental effects of particulate and noise pollution. Whatever the blue/green infrastructure typology, the challenges for creating, managing and innovating are high. City planners and managers have often been criticised for ‘silo thinking’: departmentalising and compartmentalising tasks to the extent that there is little cross-communication or cross-fertilisation of ideas and solutions. This can lead to missed opportunities in terms of multifunctionality and limitations in terms of funding opportunities. In spite of the complexity of disciplines needed to agree on a nature-based approach that satisfies multiple needs and objectives, some cities or areas within cities have developed creative nature-based solutions that are exemplary in terms of cross-silo thinking and are characterized by the presence of co-creation processes for arriving at such solutions. In order to address the on-going stressors in city-making there is an urgent need to connect this knowledge. In 2015, the European Commission (EC) established four goals within an innovation agenda for future nature-based solutions and renaturing cities – enhancing sustainable urbanisation; restoring degraded ecosystems; developing climate change adaptation and mitigation; and improving risk management and resilience. These four goals may be realised within seven nature-based innovation actions: urban regeneration; improving wellbeing; building coastal resilience; watershed management and ecosystem restoration; sustainable use of matter and energy; enhancing insurance values of ecosystems; and carbon sequestration. Currently, those implemented
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3 Collier et al., 2013.

nature-based solutions have potential to be scaled-up to the city level and transferred as living laboratory exemplars to other cities across the globe. The idea of a living laboratory may seem odd, but cities are continually trying out new approaches and ideas as open innovations for tackling urban issues such as climate change and social cohesion. Often, researchers who engage with cities try to derive lessons on their effectiveness from these unstructured experiments rather than being integral to them from the outset. Living labs, as they are sometimes called, rely on co-creation, experimentation, and evaluation to be continual and they are often focussed on a specific place such as a river or park. Living lab exemplars have the further potential to link cities with their hinterland to address shared through interconnected challenges. One such exemplar is Derbyshire Pocket Park in the UK.

![Derbyshire Pocket Park](image)

Figure 1. Derbyshire St Pocket Park in East London, UK. Image by Stuart Connop

**Exemplar**

Derbyshire Street Pocket Park, UK

Derbyshire Street Pocket Park is an excellent illustrative exemplar of small-scale urban nature-based solution implementation in a living lab situation. The pocket park was developed in Bethnal Green, which is a high-density urban area of the London Borough of Tower Hamlets in the UK. Prior to development, the street was a classic example of badly designed and poorly used grey infrastructure.
It was a ‘dead-end’ street meaning that no through traffic was permitted, though the phrase in English has the additional meaning of being “in a poor social and environmental state”. In effect, it was predominantly used for car parking and was rife with issues of neglect, illegal litter dumping, and anti-social behaviour. A nature-based solution approach was adopted to convert this under-used and negatively perceived space into a space that provided environmental, economic and social benefits for local communities. The pocket park was designed by Greysmith Associates landscape architects, but a collaboration between the University of East London Sustainability Research Institute (SRI) and Tower Hamlets Council formed the foundation of the nature-based solution approach applied to the space.

One of the key limiting factors here was that the space is built over a nineteenth century sewer system. London’s main stormwater management system flows into a combined sewer network that is certainly not fit for purpose in the modern era. Under the combined pressures of population growth and increasingly intensive rainfall events driven by climate change, the combined sewer network was regularly overloaded. As in many cities where this occurs, this led to serious environmental and health impacts, especially with respect to localised flooding in areas where the local storm system becomes overwhelmed, and water pollution incidents when the combined sewer system exceeded capacity and was forced to release sewage into waterways across London. A proposed ‘Tideway Tunnel’ was planned in London in order to mitigate this occurrence, but it was also recognised that more sustainable and local stormwater management was also needed to adapt the stormwater management system to future climate change.

Under the umbrella of the EC FP7\(^5\) project TURAS\(^6\), researchers from the SRI worked with Tower Hamlets Council Highways department in a co-creation process. The outcome of this collaboration was the co-design of a Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) planning guidance document\(^7\) that provided solutions to stormwater management for the Borough that were suitable for high-density urban areas. Within this co-design process, there was a recognition that retrofit of stormwater management infrastructure could be used as a mechanism to deliver broader benefits. As such, a locally contextualised nature-based solution approach to SuDS (see chapter XX for more on stormwater management) was prioritised within the guidance and a co-creation process ensued. This included a focus on unsealing surfaces through the use of permeable paving, rain gardens, grassy swales, tree pits, green roofs, and planters to provide stormwater attenuation and infiltration, water quality improvement, biodiversity enhancement, and social and economic benefits through green
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\(^5\) Framework Programme (FP) 7 is the predecessor of Horizon 2020, the principal funding mechanism for research, demonstration and innovation in the European Union.


\(^7\) Bastock, J. et al, 2014.
infrastructure design principles. It also included a focus on ‘ecomimicry’ design principles⁸ to ensure that the design was suitable for locally typical and important biodiversity. What started as a desire to deal with what is happening under the ground fast became a process to reinstate nature above the ground and to facilitate a transition within the community towards more sustainable awareness and behaviour.

So, in order to showcase this urban planning approach, it was decided that a *pocket park* living lab would be co-created involving local community organisations, landscape architects, a water company, and local nature-based solution business. This would be a park that incorporated the badly needed SuDS components combined with a holistic nature-based solution approach to the co-design principles with a strong focus on environmental, social, and economic benefits. It was intended that the resulting pocket park would be used as a boroughwide exemplar to demonstrate to developers and other stakeholders how the SuDS guidance could be implemented in high-density urban areas, how nature-based solutions can have co-benefits, and thus become a catalyst for scaling out in communities throughout London. In this way, and from modest beginnings, the pocket park has had a very large influence on the borough and its residents. This influence has extended beyond the
borough, across London, nationally and internationally through the winning of design awards and embedding as a good practice showcase.

In the co-creation process, Derbyshire Street was identified for this demonstration and local and regional co-funding was secured to deliver the design project. A co-production approach to design was adopted including Greysmith Associated landscape architects, Tower Hamlets council, Thames Water Ltd, the Greenroof Shelters company, and local stakeholders in the form of the Oxford House Community Centre (an organisation running community classes and events and providing affordable office space for organisations, charities and social businesses involved in the local arts, community and heritage). The resulting award-winning Derbyshire Street Pocket Park now stands as an exemplar of a nature-based solution approach to placemaking and an asset to the local community.

![Figure 3. Information board at Derbyshire St Pocket Park explaining to residents the nature-based solution design for the space. Image by Stuart Connop](image)

**Solutions**

The finalised design is a perfect example of a nature-based solution providing a broad array of co-benefits targeted to the needs of the specific location and community, especially:

i) **Ecological benefits**: numerous habitats were created in the form of small-scale green roofs on bicycle storage sheds and refuse bin covers, rain gardens, and raised planters. Planting was all designed to be of value to local biodiversity, particularly pollinators. Nesting cavities were
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provided for birds and cavity-nesting solitary bees and wasps. Niches were also created using rubble and stones in gabions as part of the bicycle storage sheds and the public seating.

![Pollinator-friendly rain garden, permeable paving, and attenuating planters with herbs for local residents to pick at Derbyshire Street Pocket Park. Image by Stuart Connop](image)

**Figure 4.** Pollinator-friendly rain garden, permeable paving, and attenuating planters with herbs for local residents to pick at Derbyshire Street Pocket Park. Image by Stuart Connop

ii) **Environmental benefits**: the combination of the provision of vegetation and the removal of hard surfaces helps to provide a cooler temperature in the space. Multiple shapes and vegetation reduced ambient noise and with cars removed the space is now more open with better air quality. The park itself is designed to manage stormwater, to intercept rainfall, to hold it and delay it from flowing rapidly into the storm drains. This has a very positive effect in reducing localised flooding and reducing the pressure on the combined sewer system. By using vegetation and permeable surfaces to provide this solution this will, in addition, lead to an improvement in water quality and recharging of groundwater.

iii) **Social benefits**: the space provides active travel opportunities by providing a better walking route and connection to the local cycling network, including providing space for locking bicycles and leaving bicycles. The space is created as an amenity space where local events are now held, and the increased stewardship and ownership of the space can help to reduce anti-social behaviour and add to local community cohesion. The planters were planted with edible herbs to provide a grow-your-own and foraging opportunity for local residents. The co-creation and co-design process were instrumental in boosting community capacity and in creating opportunities for participation in other projects in the area, thus augmenting social capital networks.
iv) **Economic benefits**: since the implementation of this nature-based solution, there has been a noticeable uplift in the local businesses that are associated with the space. There has been a reduction in the cost of dealing with illegal dumping because this has been reduced on site. On a broader scale, the pocket park contributes to a reduction in the cost caused by flooding of stormwater entering the combined sewage system. This is both in terms of a direct cost (reduction in stormwater volume and rate), and indirect (related to the park acting as a catalyst for further rollout of such SuDS schemes). It is too early to adjudicate whether the nature-based solution approach is instrumental in property prices or ‘green gentrification’, but this is always a possibility.  

As with all living labs, much of the work was ‘trial-and-error’ or ‘learning-by-doing’, but eventually a co-creation process emerged that was successful, sustainable and scalable. This project was highly localised and culturally specific to the UK, but many of the solutions are easily transferable to any
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city or city district globally. Notable features of this case study, which can therefore be implemented in any community in any city, include: the use of a democratic, co-creative process; the continual inclusion of multiple stakeholders; clear and honest communication and engagement during and after the process; a city-led approach to developing nature-based solution guidance followed by demonstration to act as a catalyst for out-scaling; measuring and evaluating successes and failures, and working with nature – not against it – to enable urban transitioning.
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