

http://www.press.ierek.com



ISSN (Print: 2357-0849, online: 2357-0857)

Environmental Science and Sustainable Development

Research Paper

Received: 7 April 2024, Accepted: 21 May 2024, Published online: 1 July 2024 DOI: 10.21625/essd.v9i2.1073

Evaluating Thermal Comfort and Overheating Risks in A Social Housing Prototype - As-Built Versus Retrofit Scenarios

Lucienne G. Basaly¹, Arman Hashemi², Heba Elsharkawy³, Darryl Newport⁴, Nancy Mahmoud Badawy^{5,6}

¹Assistant Professor, Architecture and Urban Planning Dept., Suez Canal University, Egypt ²Senior Lecturer, School of Architecture Computing and Engineering, University of East London, United Kingdom ³Associate Professor in Architecture, Kingston School of Arts, Kingston University, United Kingdom

⁴Professor of Energy and Sustainable Development, Suffolk Sustainability Institute, University of Suffolk, United Kingdom

⁵Associate Professor, Architecture and Urban Planning Dept., Port Said University, Egypt ⁶Department of Interior Design, Dar AlUloom University, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Climate change has highlighted the importance of thermal comfort and its health-related outcomes, particularly for the most vulnerable members of society living in social housing. Due to their vulnerable living conditions, lowincome people are more exposed to negative outcomes of overheating and cold indoor temperatures in buildings. Previous studies suggest that there is a significant risk of overheating in retrofitted buildings both for the current and future weather scenarios. The UK government has introduced new building regulations to assess and limit the risk of overheating in new buildings; however, there is still a need to assess and improve conditions for existing and retrofitted properties. This study aims to evaluate the effect of retrofit strategies on thermal comfort and the risk of overheating in social housing under current and future climatic conditions. A typical case study building was simulated in DesignBuilder to assess thermal comfort conditions for upgraded building fabric to Part L of the UK building regulations and Passive House standards. The summer results were analyzed according to CIBSE TM59 while the Predicted Mean Vote index (PMV) was used for winter analysis. Findings revealed that the south-facing bedrooms are most exposed to overheating. Risk of overheating significantly increased for the future weather scenarios by up to 10 times while winter thermal comfort improved for the retrofitted scenarios.

© 2024 The Authors. Published by IEREK Press. This is an open-access article under the CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Peer review under the responsibility of ESSD's International Scientific Committee of Reviewers.

Keywords

Thermal comfort; Climate change; Social housing; Overheating; Retrofit

1. Introduction

It is widely accepted that climate change has exacerbated the risk of acute overheating in residential buildings. South and Southeast of England are particularly exposed to increased temperatures due to global warming. Under the current climate, there is a substantial risk of overheating in London, and if climate change adaptation measures are not incorporated into building regulations, design, and retrofit, occupants' exposure to excessive interior temperatures is likely to significantly increase in the future (Pathan et al., 2017) affecting their health and wellbeing. Recent studies show that climate is undergoing noticeable changes primarily as a result of human activities, particularly due to greenhouse gas emissions, which have reached unprecedented levels in recent times; (IPCC, 2014). These have led to an increase in the duration, intensity, and frequency of heat waves worldwide (Perkins et al., 2012). Other studies suggest that outdoor temperatures may rise even greater than initially estimated (Kala et al., 2016). According to the UK Climate Change Projections 2009 (UKCP09), all UK regions are expected to become warmer, especially during the summer period (DEFRA, 2009), increasing the risk of overheating across the UK (Beizaee et al., 2013; Lomas & Porritt, 2017). Under the medium emissions scenarios, the greatest rise in summer mean temperatures will be in Southern England with up to a 4.2°C average increase by the end of the century (Murphy et al., 2009). It is expected that by the middle of the century, the daytime temperatures in London will exceed 32°C for one-third of June to August (Hall et al., 2009).

There is a strong link between high temperatures and mortality rates. This was reflected in the 2003 and 2006 European heatwaves resulting in substantial damage and disruption to the economy, infrastructure, and transport, as well as a significant increase in the excess heat-related mortality rates especially amongst older people (Fouillet et al., 2006; Fouillet et al., 2008; Kovats & Hajat, 2008). In August 2003, over 30,000 excess deaths were recorded across Western Europe during an exceptional heatwave (Kosatsky, 2005), with a total of 2091 cases in the UK, 616 cases of which were in London (Johnson et al., 2005). Moreover, in cities like London, the risk of overheating is further increased due to the Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect (Santamouris et al., 2015). In 2006, London had the highest rates of heat-related mortality during hot weather (Hajat et al., 2007). According to estimates, the proportion of excessive heat-related mortality in outer London, inner London, and central London that can be attributed to the UHI effect during a warm summer in 2006 was approximately 38%, 47%, and 47%, respectively (Milojevic et al., 2011). The situation is expected to deteriorate increasing the heat-related mortality rates three-fold by 2050 (PHE, 2015). Preventing heat-related mortality is therefore a major public concern in the UK and Europe (Menne & Matthies, 2009; PHE, 2015; WHO, 2004).

The UK was the first country in the world to introduce a long-term, legally binding climate change mitigation framework. According to the Climate Change Act 2008, UK emissions must decrease by at least 80% by 2050 when compared to 1990 levels (UK.Government, 2008). Meanwhile, incorporating energy efficiency regulations may lead to more airtight and highly insulated building envelopes that could lead to trapping heat increasing the risk of acute overheating. Currently around 20% of existing homes in the UK experience overheating (Beizaee et al., 2013; Hulme et al., 2013a; ZCH, 2015b). Defective retrofit (Dengel & Swainson, 2012; Shrubsole et al., 2014) and, in particular, inappropriate energy efficiency measures that are not coupled with suitable passive cooling solutions (Gupta et al., 2015; Hub, 2016; Santamouris & Kolokotsa, 2013) could increase risk of overheating. Frequent overheating may lead to increased use of mechanical cooling systems that in turn result in higher carbon emissions that will contribute further to climate change (Hulme et al., 2013b). Passive design solutions (e.g. the use of natural ventilation, thermal mass, solar shading, glazing type/area, and building orientation) are widely recognized as the most environmentally friendly techniques to mitigate the risk of overheating and improve occupants' thermal comfort, and health, (Gupta et al., 2015; Hub, 2016; Kolokotroniet al., 2010; Lafuente & Brotas, 2014; Santamouris & Kolokotsa, 2013; Santamouris et al., 2007).

Investigations have demonstrated that dwelling style (Baborska-Narozny et al., 2016; Firth et al., 2007; Firth & Wright, 2008; Lomas & Kane, 2013; Mavrogianni et al., 2015; Wright, Young, & Natarajan, 2005), construction age, and building fabric (Beizaee et al., 2013; Firth & Wright, 2008; Hulme et al., 2013a; Mavrogianni et al., 2015) are important factors contributing to indoor overheating. It has been shown that homes built in the 1960s, 1970s, and after 1990 tend to be most at risk of overheating. There is evidence that highly energy-efficient homes, whether newly constructed or modified, may be susceptible to summer overheating, especially those designed to Passivhaus standards (Morgan et al., 2015; Sameni et al., 2015; Mitchell & Natarajan, 2019). In order to minimize the negative effects on occupants' health and well-being, the UK government has been advised by the Committee on Climate Change (CCC) Adaptation Sub-Committee that "more action is needed" to limit overheating hazards of buildings (CCC 2014, 2017). Although there have been concerns about the risk of overheating in UK terraced homes and

Basaly/ Environmental Science and Sustainable Development

apartments for some time (Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government, 2012; Alliance, 2014; ZCH, 2015b), the issue is still largely underreported in the literature (Gupta & Gregg, 2016).

Improving buildings' energy performance will reduce energy bills and CO₂ emissions of domestic buildings (Owen et al., 2014; DECC, 2012); however, there is still a need to assess the effects of building fabric upgrades on the risk of overheating for the current and future climate scenario in order to develop future-proof retrofit strategies to not only improve energy performance but also avoid the 'unintended' effects of such strategies on indoor environments and health and wellbeing of building occupants. To this end, this study evaluates the effects of energy-efficient retrofit strategies (with a focus on building fabric upgrades) on the energy and thermal performances of an end-terraced house located in London.

2. Methodology

This study is undertaken in three phases, as shown in Figure 1: the first phase identifies the current thermal performance of the base case scenario, using the DesignBuilder software. The second phase includes the creation of different modelling scenarios; for a) the built era (BRE, 2019), b) Approved Document L for improved existing elements in existing dwellings (Department for Levelling Up, 2023), and c) Passivhaus standards (PassiveHouseInstitute, 2015; Trust, 2023), as shown in Table 1. Relevant U-values, G-values, and airtightness rates are assigned to each scenario (Table 1). The third phase assesses occupants' thermal comfort for the future climate scenario using CIBSE Weather Files for 2050.



2.1. DesignBuilder Software

DesignBuilder is a software that provides accurate environmental performance, such as thermal comfort, energy consumption, and carbon emissions at annual, monthly, daily, hourly, and sub-hourly intervals. It integrates EnergyPlus as a powerful simulation engine that provides advanced dynamic thermal simulation at sub-hourly timesteps (DesignBuilder, 2023b). CIBCE TM59 templates were adopted for predicting overheating risk. Due to the large amount of simulated data, the results charts were exported using the DesignBuilder Results Viewer 4.0 application (DesignBuilder, 2023a).

2.2. The Case Study and Modelling Parameters

A typical terraced house constructed during the 1930s-1949s (selected from lists of social houses identified by the research partners) was modeled to assess occupants' thermal comfort. The case study is a two-story, end-terraced house with three exposed external surfaces, located in Greenwich, London (Figure 2) occupied by a low-income

family of three. The walls are Solid Brick: as Built, and the windows are double-glazed installed in 2002 or later. Due to the limited information about the building construction, typical 1940s building construction materials (Raushan et al., 2022) and U-values (BRE, 2019) were adopted (Table 2). The adjacent house was considered as Adiabatic for the purpose of simulations, as shown in Figure 2. b.



Figure 2: (a) a picture of the case study house. (b) the 3D model of the case study house. (c) Ground floor plan. (d) First floor plan (by the Author).

The Design Summer Year (DSY) weather files were used as required for thermal comfort assessments. Four scenarios were simulated; the base case which refers to (1) the built-era specifications, (2) as-built, which represents the actual available data, (3) Part L recommendations, and (4) Passivhaus standards as shown in Table 1. The house has three bedrooms, two bathrooms, a kitchen, and a living room. For the ground floor, the living room is facing the North direction. It is important to highlight that it has a large window opening area with no shading. The kitchen is southfacing and has large openings with no shading. Regarding the first floor, the main bedroom is south-facing with a small opening; the single bedroom is relatively small and has two exposed external surfaces (North and East directions) with a small opening facing south; and the double bedroom is north-facing, similar to the living room. The roof overhangs play a role in providing some shading for the top floor zones.

3D Model simulation scenarios	U-Values (W/ m ² K)				Airtightness
SD Model simulation scenarios	Roof	Wall	Floor	Window	(m ³ /h. m ² @ 50 Pa)
Scenario 1: Base case (typical 1930s/1949s house)	2.3	1.7	1.2	2.8	15
Scenario 2: As-built	2.3	1.7	1.2	2	15
Scenario 3: Building regulation, Approved Document (Part L)	0.16	0.3	0.25	1.4	10

Table 1: The assigned u-values and airtightness for all four case study scenarios

Scenario 4: Passivhaus Standard	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.78 (triple with argon)	0.6
---------------------------------	------	------	------	--------------------------	-----

The internal gains profiles (occupancy, equipment, and heat gain) and natural ventilation set points for opening/closing windows followed TM 59 (CIBSE, 2017). Table 2 illustrates detailed information on opening types along with the percentage of openable areas. Heating set points, temperatures, and clothing levels for winter simulations followed the CIBSE guidelines (CIBSE, 2017), (e.g. dwellings' living rooms at 22–23 °C while bedrooms and kitchens at 17–19 °C).

Simulation results were conducted for the summer period (May-September) according to CIBSE TM59 criteria as follows: a) Criterion A: for bedrooms, kitchens, and living room, number of exceedance should not be over 3% of the occupied hours; Criterion B: for bedrooms only, the operating temperature between 10 pm and 7 am should not rise above 26 °C for more than 1% of the hours in a year (CIBSE 2015, CIBSE 2017).

Zones	Window type	Glazing area open (%)	Total Window area (m2)
Living room (Two windows divided into four parts each)	Two tops hung Two parallel hung	38	2.352
Kitchen (Two windows divided into four parts each)	Two tops hung Two parallel hung	38	2.328
Main bedroom (one window divided into four parts)	Top hung parallel hung	39	1.164
Single bed (one window divided into four parts)	Top hung parallel hung	39	1.164
Double bedroom (Two windows divided into four parts each)	Two tops hung Two parallel hung	38	2.328
WC (one window)	bottom hung	50	0.22
Bathroom (one window divided into two parts) Bottom hung		22	0.423
Corridor_ Ground level (one Parallel hung		75	0.7
orridor_ First level (one indow on the second floor ivided into four parts)Top hung parallel hung		39	1.164

Table 2: Opening sizes and types.

For winter assessment, the simulations were conducted from October to March representing the cold/heated seasons in the UK. The Predicted Mean Vote index (PMV) (Fanger & Toftum, 2002) was used following the thermal comfort limits (CIBSE, 2013), as included in Table 3. All the reported results are for the occupied periods.

A	SHRAE comfort scale	Bedford comfort scale		
+3	Hot	Much too hot		
+2	Warm	Too warm		
+1	Slightly warm	Comfortably warm		
0	Neutral	Comfortable		
-1	Slightly cool	Comfortably cool		
-2	Cool	Too cold		
-3	cold	Much too cold		

Table 3: Thermal comfort indicators.

3. Results

3.1. Summer thermal comfort conditions

An internal layer of insulation was considered in order to reach the assigned U-Values for the case study scenarios, as shown in Table 4. The external wall layers included solid brick, internal insulation, and plaster.

	U-Values (W/ m ² K)	Total wall thickness (m)	Insulation material	Insulation thickness (m)
Base case/As-built	1.7	0.2	N/A	N/A
Part L	0.3	0.37	Foam – urea-formaldehyde resin	0.151
Passivhaus	0.15	0.552	Foam – urea-formaldehyde resin	0.332

Table 2: the assigned insulation material type and thickness for all the case study scenarios.

Summer simulation results (Table 5), showed that for the "base case", only the living room and the kitchen passed the summer assessment for the current weather scenario. For the 2050 scenario, none of the zones passed the thermal comfort criteria. For the "as-built", there was a slight improvement in the total number of discomfort hours for all the bedrooms compared to the base case scenario; however, the test didn't pass Criterion B. Both the living room and kitchen passed the requirements for the current weather, whereas, for the future weather scenario, only the living room achieved the requirements. Regarding "Part L", both the main- and single-bedroom failed while for the future weather scenario, all bedrooms failed and only the kitchen and living room passed the tests. For the "Passivhaus", all the zones passed the thermal comfort requirements for the current climate while for the future weather conditions, all bedrooms dramatically failed revealing the significant risk of overheating and possible negative health outcomes. When comparing the current and future weather scenarios, the risk of overheating is increasing by around 10 times for the base case and by nearly 7.5 times over the acceptable recommended CIBSE limits.

Zones/current	Base case	As-built	Part L	Passivhaus
Main bedroom	Fail (52 h)	Fail (48 h)	Fail (37 h)	Pass (22 h)
Double bedroom	Fail (34 h)	Fail (33.5 h)	Pass (16 h)	Pass (10.5 h)
Single bedroom	Fail (62 h)	Fail (48.5 h)	Fail (33 h)	Pass (25 h)
Living room	Pass (0.29%)	Pass (0.26%)	Pass (0.18%)	Pass (0%)
Kitchen	Pass (1.53%)	Pass (1.09%)	Pass (0.42%)	Pass (0.31%)
Zones / 2050	Base case	As-built	Part L	Passivhaus
Main bedroom	Fail (414 h)	Fail (391.5 h)	Fail (307 h)	Fail (241.5 h)
Double bedroom	Fail (301 h)	Fail (284.5 h)	Fail (190 h)	Fail (156.5 h)
Single bedroom	Fail (374 h)	Fail (367.5 h)	Fail (260.5 h)	Fail (218.5 h)
Living room	Fail (3.01 %)	Pass (2.43%)	Pass (2%)	Pass (1.64%)
Kitchen	Fail (6.5%)	Fail (4.88%)	Pass (2.88%)	Pass (1.72%)

Table 3: Summer simulation pass/fail test results for current and future climate scenarios (Criterion A (%), Criterion B (hr)).

3.2. Winter thermal comfort conditions

For the base case scenario, for the current climate, no major overheating was observed except for the main bedroom (Figure 3) reaching its maximum on October 31 with a value of PMV ± 1.25 . For the future weather scenario, it marginally failed the comfort range except during December and reached a maximum value of ± 1.39 on October 5. The major issue was identified as cold conditions in all other rooms except for the living room which remained within the threshold.



Figure 3: Base case scenario, hourly PMV results for the current and future climate scenarios.

Similar to the above, for the "as-built" scenario, the main bedroom experienced a warmer environment reaching a Max. PMV values of +1.25 and +1.39 as the maximum PMV values for current and future climates respectively. Concerning the double bedroom, values were in the comfort range with -0.97 as the minimum PMV recorded in the current climate in November (figure 4), whereas the situation improved slightly for the 2050 case. The single bedroom experienced a cooler environment reaching -1.20 as a minimum value, which was improved in 2050 reaching -1.04. Overall, the comfort conditions were rather similar to the base case in all rooms for both current and future weather scenarios.



Figure 4: As-built scenario, hourly PMV results for the current and future climate scenarios.

Regarding, the Part L scenario for the current climate, similar conditions were observed for the main bedroom experiencing overheating while other zones, except the living room, experienced cold conditions, particularly during December/March (Figure 5). For the future scenario, the cold conditions were improved for all zones while the main bedroom experienced more overheating reaching a PMV value of +1.41 for 2050.

Basaly/ Environmental Science and Sustainable Development



For the Passivhaus scenario, all zones were in the comfortable range except for the main bedroom reporting PMV ratings of +1.30 and +1.41 for the current and the future weather scenarios, respectively (Figure 6). Similar to Part L, the cold conditions significantly improved for all rooms while the main bedroom experienced consistent overheating; however, overall, the building fabric upgrades did not significantly increase the risk of overheating during winter.



PassiveHaus scenario_Hourly PMV results

4. Conclusions

This paper investigated the thermal comfort and risk of overheating in a social housing case study building. Different scenarios were analyzed to assess and select the optimum option to avoid overheating in summer while improving thermal comfort by reducing cold conditions during winter. For the current weather conditions, both in the base case

and the as-built scenarios, the living room and the kitchen were in the acceptable range for summer and winter assessments, while for the Part L scenario, three zones (double room, living room, and kitchen) met the requirements. For the Passivhaus scenario, all the zones were within the acceptable ranges except for the main bedroom which experienced some overheating during winter. Generally, for the retrofitted options, the situation improved for all the zones apart from the main bedroom which experienced a warmer environment. For future weather conditions, bedrooms experience a risk of overheating during both summer and winter seasons. The situation significantly improved for the Passivhaus compared to the other scenarios. Yet, the results revealed that only the living room and kitchen met the requirements for both summer and winter assessments, while bedrooms were in the comfortable range for winter assessments (except for the south-facing main bedroom).

In summary, south-facing bedrooms expose a high risk of overheating and should be considered with more cases for retrofitting. The Passivhaus scenario passed the summer test for the current climate but failed for the 2050 scenario. This agrees with the previous findings highlighting bedrooms as the most exposed to overheating for future climate scenarios during summer (Beasley et al., 2014,). It should be noted that even though the bedrooms failed the test, there were improvements in the total number of discomfort hours in comparison to other case study scenarios. Building orientation, window opening areas, and insulation strategies combined could lead to overheating particularly during summer. Therefore, further adjustments, such as shading and/or lower G-values, should be considered to reduce the heat gains through the windows for the south-oriented rooms. Finally, more investigation is required to assess the effect of other factors including occupant behavior, natural and mechanical ventilation strategies, thermal mass, construction methods and materials, and other passive design strategies on thermal comfort in buildings.

Acknowledgment

This document is an output from a research project, Healthy Energy Efficient Dwellings (HEED), funded by the UK Research and Innovation (UKRI), Medical Research Council (MRC) [Grant number: MR/Y503186/1]. Also, the authors would like to thank the Newham Council, Hyde Housing Association, and iOpt Limited for supporting the project and providing access to the properties.

The abstract of this paper was presented at the Environmental Design, Material Science, and Engineering Technologies (EDMSET) Conference – 1st Edition, which was held on the 22nd-24th of April 2024.

Funding declaration

This research was funded by the UK Research and Innovation (UKRI), Medical Research Council (MRC) [Grant number: MR/Y503186/1].

Ethics approval

The authors have received ethics approval from the ethics committee of the University of East London, for the interviews and surveys that were conducted by the authors.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no competing interest.

References

- Alliance, G. H. (2014). Preventing Overheating: Investigating and Reporting on the Scale of Overheating in ENGLAND, including Common Causes and an Overview of Remediation Techniques. *Good Homes Alliance: London, UK*.
- Baborska-Narozny, M., Stevenson, F., & Chatterton, P. (2016). *Temperature in housing: Stratification and contextual factors*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Engineering Sustainability.
- Beasley, P., et al., Applying Low Carbon Heating Technologies to Existing Domestic Dwellings in the United Kingdom, in The 2014 conference on Low Carbon Eco City and Engineering Structural Safety 2014: Chongqing, China.
- Beizaee, A., Lomas, K. J., & Firth, S. K. (2013). National survey of summertime temperatures and overheating risk in English homes. *Building and Environment*, 65, 1-17. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2013.03.011
- BRE. (September 2019). Reduced Data SAP (RdSAP) 2012 v9.94. Retrieved from https://bregroup.com/sap/standard-assessment-procedure-sap-2012/
- Climate Change Committee. (2014). Managing Climate Risks to Well-being and the Economy (Progress Report 2014). Climate Change Committee. Retrieved from https://www.theccc.org.uk/publication/managing-climate-risks-to-well-being-and-the-economy-asc-progress-report-2014/

- Climate Change Committee. (2017). Progress in Preparing for Climate Change, 2017 Report to Parliament. Climate Change Committee. Retrieved from https://www.theccc.org.uk/publication/2017-report-to-parliament-progress-in-preparing-for-climate-change/
- CIBSE. (2015). Guide A: Environmental design. Retrieved from https://www.cibse.org/knowledge-research/knowledge-resources/engineeringguidance/cibse-guides
- CIBSE. (2017). TM59 Design methodology for the assessment of overheating risk in homes. The Chartered Institution of Building Services Engineers: London, UK. Retrieved from <u>https://www.cibse.org/knowledge-research/knowledge-portal/technical-memorandum-59-design-methodology-for-the-assessment-of-overheating-risk-in-homes</u>
- CIBSE, T. (2013). The limits of thermal comfort: Avoiding overheating in European buildings: The Chartered Institution of Building Services Engineers London.
- Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. (2012). Investigation into overheating in homes: literature review. Retrieved from https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/investigation-into-overheating-in-homes-literature-review
- Department of Energy and Climate Change.. (2012). International Aviation and Shipping Emissions and the UK's Carbon Budgets and 2050 Target. Department of Energy and Climate Change. Retrieved from https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/uk-carbon-budgets-and-the-2050-target-international-aviation-and-shipping-emissions
- Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs. (2009). Adapting to climate change UK Climate Projections 2009. Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs. Retrieved from https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/adapting-to-climate-change-uk-climate-projections-2009
- Dengel, A., & Swainson, M. (2012, December 6). Overheating in new homes: A review of the evidence. Retrieved from https://www.nhbc.co.uk/foundation/overheating-in-new-homes
- Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities. (2023). Conservation of fuel and power: Approved Document L. (Volume 1: Dwellings). UK Government. Retrieved from https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/conservation-of-fuel-and-power-approved-document-

DesignBuilder. (2023a). DesignBuilder Results Viewer 4.0. Retrieved from https://designbuilder.co.uk/download/release-software

- DesignBuilder. (2023b). EnergyPlus Simulation key features. Retrieved from https://designbuilder.co.uk/simulation/
- DesignBuilder. (2023c). Time And Daylight Saving. Retrieved from https://designbuilder.co.uk/helpv7.0/Content/ Time and daylight saving.htm
- Fanger, P. O., & Toftum, J. (2002). *Prediction of thermal sensation in non-air-conditioned buildings in warm climates.* Paper presented at the 9th International Conference on Indoor Air Quality and Climate.
- Firth, S., Benson, P., & Wright, A. (2007). The 2006 heatwave: Its effect on the thermal comfort of dwellings. Paper presented at the Third Annual Meeting, Network for Comfort and Energy Use in Buildings (NCEUB), Windsor, UK.
- Firth, S., & Wright, A. (2008). Investigating the thermal characteristics of English dwellings: Summer temperatures. Proceedings of Air Conditioning and the Low Carbon Cooling Challenge, 5th Windsor Conference, 27th–29th July 2008. Windsor, UK: Network for Comfort and Energy Use in Buildings (NCEUB), 2008., 27-29.
- Fouillet, A., Rey, G., Laurent, F., Pavillon, G., Bellec, S., Guihenneuc-Jouyaux, C., . . . Hémon, D. (2006). Excess mortality related to the August 2003 heat wave in France. *International archives of occupational and environmental health*, 80(1), 16-24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-006-0089-4
- Fouillet, A., Rey, G., Wagner, V., Laaidi, K., Empereur-Bissonnet, P., Le Tertre, A., ... De Crouy-Chanel, P. (2008). Has the impact of heat waves on mortality changed in France since the European heat wave of summer 2003? A study of the 2006 heat wave. *International journal of* epidemiology, 37(2), 309-317. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dym253
- Gupta, R., & Gregg, M. (2016). Do deep low carbon domestic retrofits actually work? *Energy and Buildings, 129*, 330-343. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2016.08.010
- Gupta, R., Gregg, M., & Williams, K. (2015). Cooling the UK housing stock post-2050s. Building Services Engineering Research and Technology, 36(2), 196-220. doi: https://doi.org/10.1177/0143624414566242
- Hajat, S., Kovats, R. S., & Lachowycz, K. (2007). Heat-related and cold-related deaths in England and Wales: who is at risk? *Occupational and environmental medicine*, 64(2), 93-100. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.2006.029017
- Hall, J., Dawson, R., Walsh, C., Barker, T., Barr, S., Batty, M., . . . Zanni, A. (2009). *Engineering cities: How can cities grow whilst reducing emissions and vulnerability*? Retrieved from https://eprints.ncl.ac.uk/file_store/production/153160/25625B30-1CE1-499C-85CA-F4540F543855.pdf
- Hub, Z. C. (2015). "Overheating in homes." London, UK, 95pp.
- Hub, Z. C. (2016). Solutions to overheating in homes: Evidence review. Zero Carbon Hub: London, UK.
- Hulme, J., Beaumont, A., & Summers, C. (2013a). Energy follow-up survey 2011, report 7: thermal comfort & overheating. Watford, UK.
- Hulme, J., Beaumont, A., & Summers, C. (2013b). Energy Follow-Up Survey 2011: Report 9-Domestic Appliances, Cooking & Cooling Equipment. *Watford, UK, 13.*
- IPCC. (2014). Climate Change 2014, Synthesis Report, Summary for Policymakers [Internet]. Retrieved from Geneva, Switzerland: https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/AR5_SYR_FINAL_SPM.pdf
- Johnson, H., Kovats, R. S., McGregor, G., Stedman, J., Gibbs, M., Walton, H., . . . Black, E. (2005). The impact of the 2003 heat wave on mortality and hospital admissions in England. *Health Statistics Quarterly*, 25, 6.
- Kala, J., De Kauwe, M. G., Pitman, A. J., Medlyn, B. E., Wang, Y., Lorenz, R., & Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E. (2016). Impact of the representation of stomatal conductance on model projections of heatwave intensity. *Scientific reports*, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23418

- Kolokotroni, M., Davies, M., Croxford, B., Bhuiyan, S., & Mavrogianni, A. (2010). A validated methodology for the prediction of heating and cooling energy demand for buildings within the Urban Heat Island: Case-study of London. *Solar Energy*, 84(12), 2246-2255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2010.08.002
- Kosatsky, T. (2005). The 2003 European heat waves. Eurosurveillance, 10(7), 3-4. https://doi.org/10.2807/esm.10.07.00552-en
- Kovats, R. S., & Hajat, S. (2008). Heat stress and public health: a critical review. Annual Review of Public Health, 29(1), 41-55. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.29.020907.090843
- Lafuente, J., & Brotas, L. (2014). The impact of the Urban Heat Island in the energy consumption and overheating of domestic buildings in London. Paper presented at the Proceedings of 8th Windsor Conference: Counting the Cost of Comfort in a changing world Cumberland Lodge, Windsor, UK.
- Lomas, K. J., & Kane, T. (2013). Summertime temperatures and thermal comfort in UK homes. Building Research & Information, 41(3), 259-280. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2013.757886
- Lomas, K. J., & Porritt, S. M. (2017). Overheating in buildings: lessons from research. 45(1-2), 1-18. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2017.1256136
- Mavrogianni, A., Taylor, J., Davies, M., Thoua, C., & Kolm-Murray, J. (2015). Urban social housing resilience to excess summer heat. Building Research & Information, 43(3), 316-333. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2015.991515
- Menne, B., & Matthies, F. (December 2009). Improving public health responses to extreme weather/heat-waves, EuroHEAT: Summary for Policy Makers. Retrieved from WHO: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/EUR_08_5086498
- Milojevic, A., Wilkinson, P., Armstrong, B., Davis, M., Mavrogianni, A., Bohnenstengel, S., & Belcher, S. (2011). Impact of London's urban heat island on heat-related mortality. *Epidemiology*, 22(1), S182-S183. https://doi.org/doi:10.1097/01.ede.0000392239.91165.65
- Mitchell, R., & Natarajan, S. (2019). Overheating risk in Passivhaus dwellings. *Building Services Engineering Research and Technology*, 40(4), 446-469. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0143624419842006
- Morgan, C., FOSTER, J. A., Sharpe, T., & Poston, A. (2015). Overheating in Scotland: Lessons from 26 monitored low energy homes. Paper presented at the Proceedings of International Conference CISBAT 2015 Future Buildings and Districts Sustainability from Nano to Urban Scale.
- Murphy, J. M., Sexton, D., Jenkins, G. J., Booth, B., Brown, C., Clark, R. T., . . . Betts, R. (2009). UK climate projections science report: climate change projections.
- Owen, A., Mitchell, G., & Gouldson, A. (2014). Unseen influence—The role of low carbon retrofit advisers and installers in the adoption and use of domestic energy technology. *Energy policy*, 73, 169-179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2014.06.013
- PassiveHouseInstitute. (2015). Passive House requirements. Retrieved from https://passivehouse.com/02_informations/02_passive-house-requirements/02_passive-house-requirements.htm
- Pathan, A., Mavrogianni, A., Summerfield, A., Oreszczyn, T., & Davies, M. (2017). Monitoring summer indoor overheating in the London housing stock. *Energy and Buildings*, 141, 361-378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.02.049
- Perkins, S. E., Alexander, L. V., & Nairn, J. R. (2012). Increasing frequency, intensity and duration of observed global heatwaves and warm spells. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(20). https://doi.org/10.1029/2012gl053361
- PHE. (2015). Heatwave plan for England: protecting health and reducing harm from severe heat and heatwaves. Public Health England, pp. 32.
- PHE. (2015). *Heatwave Plan for England*. Retrieved from London, UK: https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20220329201852mp_/https:/assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system /uploads/attachment_data/file/429572/Heatwave_plan_-Making_the_case_-_2015.pdf
- Raushan, K., Ahern, C., & Norton, B. (2022). Determining realistic U-values to substitute default U-values in EPC database to make more representative; a case-study in Ireland. *Energy and Buildings*, 274, 112358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2022.112358
- Sameni, S. M. T., Gaterell, M., Montazami, A., & Ahmed, A. (2015). Overheating investigation in UK social housing flats built to the Passivhaus standard. *Building and Environment*, 92, 222-235. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2015.03.030
- Santamouris, M., Cartalis, C., Synnefa, A., & Kolokotsa, D. (2015). On the impact of urban heat island and global warming on the power demand and electricity consumption of buildings—A review. *Energy and Buildings*, 98, 119-124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.09.052
- Santamouris, M., & Kolokotsa, D. (2013). Passive cooling dissipation techniques for buildings and other structures: The state of the art. *Energy* and Buildings, 57, 74-94. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.11.002
- Santamouris, M., Pavlou, K., Synnefa, A., Niachou, K., & Kolokotsa, D. (2007). Recent progress on passive cooling techniques: Advanced technological developments to improve survivability levels in low-income households. *Energy and Buildings, 39*(7), 859-866. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2007.02.008
- Shrubsole, C., Macmillan, A., Davies, M., & May, N. (2014). 100 Unintended consequences of policies to improve the energy efficiency of the UK housing stock. *Indoor and Built Environment*, 23(3), 340-352. doi: https://doi.org/10.1177/1420326X14524586
- Trust, P. (2023). What is Passivhaus? Retrieved from https://www.passivhaustrust.org.uk/
- UK.Government. (2008). Climate Change Act 2008, Chapter 27 [Internet], London, UK. UK Government Retrieved from https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2008/27/pdfs/ukpga_20080027_en.pdf.
- WHO. (December 2004). Heat-waves: risks and responses, Health and Global Environmental Change. Series No. 2, Energy, Environment and Sustainable Development. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789289010948
- Wright, A. J., Young, A., & Natarajan, S. (2005). Dwelling temperatures and comfort during the August 2003 heat wave. Building Services Engineering Research and Technology, 26(4), 285-300. doi: https://doi.org/10.1191/0143624405bt136oa
- ZCH. (2015a). Overheating in Homes, The Big Picture. Retrieved from https://shadeit.wpengine.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/ZCH-Overheating-In-Homes-The-Big-Picture.pdf

Basaly/ Environmental Science and Sustainable Development

ZCH. (2015b). Overheating in Homes, The Big Picture. Full report. Retrieved from https://kb.goodhomes.org.uk/report/overheating-in-homes-the-big-picture/