

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Named manuscript

**Critical pedagogies for community building: Challenging ableism in higher education
physical education in the United States**

Dr. Shrehan Lynch, (Corresponding Author), Initial Teacher Education, University of East
London, slynch@uel.ac.uk ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8939-3143> Twitter:
[@DrLynchPE](https://twitter.com/DrLynchPE)

Dr. Mara Simon, Physical Education and Health Education, Springfield College,
msimon3@springfield.edu ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4021-2529> Twitter:
[@Dr_Mara_Simon](https://twitter.com/Dr_Mara_Simon)

Dr. Anthony Maher, Pedagogy, Professional Development and Politics in Physical Education
and Sport Research Group, Edge Hill University, UK, Mahera@edgehill.ac.uk ORCID:
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1628-0962> Twitter: [@ajmaher5](https://twitter.com/ajmaher5)

32 Abstract

33 Enacting critical pedagogies within physical education (PE) contexts have been found to increase
34 critical consciousness and disrupt hegemonic taken-for-granted assumptions about ability and the
35 body. As an under-researched area, the aim of our research was to explore the extent to which a
36 critical pedagogical approach in PE can disrupt normative ableist notions of disability through a
37 disability-specific, critically orientated, tennis class. Six students from a large southeastern US
38 university chose to participate and student video narratives and reflective essays were collated as
39 data sources. Through inductive and deductive data analysis, the key themes constructed were: (1)
40 'the learning journey in critical education,' underpinned by the following subthemes: (i) 'initial
41 shock,' (ii) 'new experiences,' and (iii) 'humbling encounters.'; and (2) 'consequences of critical
42 pedagogy', supported by (a) 'building a community through meaningful relationships,' and (b)
43 'change in perspective.' We conclude by discussing the pedagogical potential of our critical
44 approach.

45 **Critical pedagogies for community building: Challenging ableism in higher education**
46 **physical education in the United States**

47

48 ‘The conditions *for* critical pedagogy are increasingly under threat. At the same time,
49 the *need* for critical pedagogy has only increased.’ (Tinning 2020, 10)

50

51 **Physical education and health ideologies**

52 Physical education and health education (PE/HE) in the United States (US) are domains
53 which have historically upheld traditional pedagogies of teaching and learning which rarely ask
54 students to critique the messages of a hidden curriculum nor provide means of empowerment for
55 marginalised student populations (Azzarito and Ennis 2003; Oliver, Hamzeh and McCaughtry
56 2009; Ruiz and Fernandez-Balboa 2005). This is apparent in globalized contexts as well; Rønholt
57 (2002, 33) describes the Norwegian hidden curriculum as the ‘knowledge [and] attitudes...that
58 students learn as an unavoidable and unintentional consequence of participating in the formal,
59 routine activities of school.’ **While the cultures of countries, education systems, schools and**
60 **subject areas therein are complex and nuanced, the hidden curriculum in PE in the US, Australia**
61 **and across much of Western Europe often reflects white, Eurocentric, sexist, patriarchal,**
62 **heteronormative, homophobic, and ableist discourses about what knowledge is most valuable**
63 **and which types of bodies get to participate.** These discourses reinforce neoliberal and
64 neoconservative approaches to teaching about the body, health, and physicality in ways that
65 intend to exclude those who do not fit within the markers of acceptability and normality (Evans
66 2014). The knowledges and attitudes implicitly communicated to students through the hidden
67 curriculum ultimately become ‘regimes of truth,’ silencing those in marginalised positions in
68 schools through the construction of meanings that reflect the interests, beliefs, and power

69 dynamics of groups positioned at the top of social hierarchies (Dowling 2008; Fernandez-Balboa
70 1993).

71 Similarly, health education in US, Australian, and Western Europe schools has been
72 critiqued within existing literature as frequently failing to cultivate student agency regarding
73 enactments of health, well-being, and physical activity. Instead, scholars have identified HE as
74 reproducing technocratic rationalities by engaging in ‘bodies at risk’ pedagogies, upholding
75 rhetoric of control and regulation of the body, and promoting particular types of ‘healthy bodies’
76 (Azzarito, Simon and Marttinen 2017; Wright 2004). As indicated by literature from US and
77 Australian scholars, damaging discourses about bodily health are maintained within school
78 contexts through a reliance on epidemiological data, a process-product approach to health
79 initiatives which leave little flexibility for a holistic approach, particularly for marginalised
80 students, and by pervasive discourses of neoliberalism where each individual is primarily
81 responsible for their own successes and failures in achieving widely accepted ‘truths’ regarding
82 health and physicality (Azzarito 2012; Evans, Davies, and Wright 2004; Fitzpatrick and Tinning
83 2014;). In order to re-imagine PE/HE as spaces for a diversity of students who are empowered by
84 their physicality and sense of self within physical culture contexts, it is crucial to address the
85 failures of these disciplines in cultivating physical, mental, and emotional agency. This re-
86 imagination includes initiating the need for a social justice agenda and enacting both democratic
87 engagements and critical pedagogies in PE/HE that address imbalanced power dynamics and
88 embedded hidden curricula inherently marginalizing students who do not meet accepted status
89 quo ‘norms.

90 **Critical pedagogy in PE/HE**

91 Within the oppressive contestations of power and knowledge production located in
92 PE/HE, scholars have identified means of resistance, resilience, and determinations of strength
93 and empowerment from those people located within the margins (hooks 2000; Collins 2000).
94 For example, based on almost a decade of teaching experience as well as content expertise
95 derived from their doctoral experiences, Simon and Lynch (2019) created a curriculum for
96 elementary-aged students in the US that aimed to challenge widely-accepted norms regarding
97 body shape, size, and colour within health, fitness, and PE contexts. The curriculum employed
98 pedagogies that both challenged students' assumptions of strength in relation to muscularity and
99 provided opportunities to reflect on their own understandings of what it means or looks like to be
100 strong. Similarly, Azzarito, Simon, Marttinen, and Markiewicz (2014) examined the impact of
101 the implementation of a critical pedagogy in US schools, The Body Curriculum, which
102 facilitated ethnic minority students' critique of dominant discourses on the body from a fitness
103 and health perspective. The Body Curriculum created a space for students to critically examine
104 their sense of self as located within a framework of white normativity and gendered body
105 idealisations, resulting in subsequent student resistance to these discourses and enactments of
106 agency in the construction of their self-physicality (Azzarito, Simon, and Marttinen 2016). In
107 order to disrupt gender norms of female participation in physical culture in the US, Fisette and
108 Walton (2013) explored how a collaborative project between the researchers and participants
109 resulted in critical engagements with media texts of gender and the body. Thus, the idea that
110 marginalised individuals can develop their agency from a de-centred position frames an approach
111 to research involving individuals who represent the intersection of multiple marginalised
112 populations not as solely oppressed or as 'victims' but rather as agentic and autonomous beings

113 who can self-determine when and how they choose to enact resistance and resiliency towards
114 their compounding oppressive contexts.

115 In spite of the demonstrated value of enacting critical pedagogies within PE/HE contexts,
116 it is difficult to locate them in practice due to student resistance, a lack of understanding about
117 these pedagogies, institutional structures, and a reliance on neoliberalist discourses within school
118 and PE/HE contexts in the United Kingdom (UK) and Australia (Tinning 2002; Evans 2014).

119 Student resistance to critical pedagogies is common, as it is difficult to ‘go against the grain’ in
120 terms of disrupting hegemonic knowledge taken-for-granted as true (Ruiz and Fernandez-Balbo
121 2005). Additionally, many PE/HE educators may give lip service to the ideas of democratic
122 engagements or critical pedagogies but often fail to understand what this might mean in practice.

123 Institutional structures also serve as barriers to engaging in transformative pedagogy (Lynch and
124 Curtner-Smith 2020). For example, PE teacher certification assessments serve as a gatekeeper for
125 entry into the PE teaching profession in the US. These assessments dictate the content on which

126 teacher-educators must focus in order to produce ‘qualified’ teachers who can pass the
127 certification. If teachers’ understandings and approaches to pedagogy and curricula which
128 emerge from critical and transformative pedagogies are not embedded within teacher

129 certification assessments and valued as important forms of knowledge, then it could be argued
130 that they hold little value in the eyes of pre-service teachers and teacher educators (McLaren,

131 Martin Farahmandpur and Jaramillo 2004). Finally, widely established transnational discourses
132 of neoliberalism function as regulators, constructing school as a factory that ‘produces’ global
133 citizens who are responsible for their own success (or failure), thus dismissing underlying

134 assumptions within critical or transformative pedagogies of the inherent structural inequity and

135 imbalanced power dynamics that maintain hegemonies of control (Evans and Davies 2015;
136 Lorente-Catalan and Kirk 2014).

137 Schools as sites of continuous production and re-production of knowledge have been
138 critiqued by scholars on a global scale as wilfully upholding imbalanced power dynamics in
139 which ‘normalised’ or ‘status quo’ groups of people (i.e. white, cisgender, middle and upper
140 class, straight, able-bodied) are dominant and all others are marginalised or positioned as
141 ‘outsiders’ (Leonardo 2009). Thus, there is a need in educational contexts to engage in
142 emancipatory, transformative, and democratic pedagogies for oppressed students from
143 intersecting marginalised groups. These should centre the lived experiences of students engaged
144 in a dialogical process of sharing and co-constructing knowledge and addresses power
145 imbalances through democratic practices (Giroux 1988; McLaren 1998).

146 **University PE**

147 University PE, a common elective in the US for undergraduates, is one avenue which has
148 been identified as a space to practice critical pedagogies since PE is a space for all students
149 across social identifiers and abilities (Lynch & Sargent, in Press). These PE activity courses,
150 which include swimming, aerobics, rock climbing, yoga, self-defence, tennis, and more, are
151 typically one-credit courses and enhance students’ Grade Point Average. These electives are
152 advertised to the entire student body and are characteristically taught by graduate teaching
153 assistants with varying pedagogical experiences and knowledge (Wahl-Alexander and Curtner-
154 Smith 2018). Frequent participants of these courses have been known to be prospective or
155 current pre-service PE teachers since the activity courses provide a way to gain content
156 knowledge of the activities and add ‘techniques’ to their repertoire of teaching PE. However, the
157 courses are usually taught by technically oriented graduate assistants and instructors with little

158 criticality. Consequently, ‘students are frequently graded on the basis of skill performance, with
159 high marks being awarded to the best athletes’ (Livingston 1996, 115). Across Europe, the
160 structure and delivery of PE/HE differs. In the UK for instance, entire undergraduate
161 programmes are dedicated to the study of PE/HE and are usually delivered by full-time faculty,
162 although the extent to which these staff have taught in schools and/or have expertise in socio-
163 critical issues and pedagogy varies. In Spain, to compare, PE/HE often comprises an optional
164 route on a more generic sport and physical activity programme. Despite differences, these type of
165 undergraduate PE courses, given their ubiquity for students across diverse university contexts,
166 could enact pedagogies to challenge students and provide a space for high levels of reflection
167 and an appreciation of equity issues (Livingston 1996). Moreover, these courses could have
168 authentic assessments that do not rely on performance (Hastie and Sinelnikov 2007). For
169 instance, Leo and Goodwin (2013) in the US, Maher et al. (2020) in the UK, and Sparkes et al.
170 (2019) in Spain, have all experimented with the use of disability simulations to embody
171 knowledge of inclusion, disability and pedagogy among prospective and pre-service PE teachers.
172 In a similar vein, special school field experiences have been used to ensure that prospective PE
173 teachers gain ‘hands on’ experience working with students with disabilities as part of broad
174 attempts to challenge hegemonic assumptions about disability and ability in PE (see Coates et al.,
175 2020; Maher et al., 2019). Nonetheless, to date limited research has been carried out on college
176 activity courses, especially on those taught with critical intentions (Lynch & Sargent, in Press).
177 Thus, this educational context may provide fertile ground to disrupt normative ableist notions of
178 disability.

179 **Ableism in physical education and health education**

180 Students who are labelled by disability, whether visible or not, are frequently constructed
181 as an ‘other’ within a field which relies heavily on physical performance as a marker of health as
182 well as ascribes value and social capital to high-performing individuals (Lynch 2019; Lynch and
183 Hill 2020). The ableist framework within which PE/HE is constructed functions as an invisible
184 regulator that implicitly positions individuals with disabilities as ‘outsiders’ who cannot achieve
185 at the same level as their able-bodied counterparts. This purview is indicative of a deficit
186 understanding of disability whereby emphasis is placed on what students with disabilities cannot
187 do, when compared to their peers, rather than centring, as Terzi (2005) advocates, the capabilities
188 of students with disabilities. Within institutions of education, physical culture, and PE/HE
189 contexts, ableist assumptions and deficit ideologies are damaging for students with disabilities
190 because they are viewed as incapable of reaching the same set of standards and norms as other
191 students and faulted for this while simultaneously ignoring structural barriers which did not take
192 the potential for student disability into account in design and implementation (Lynch and Hill
193 2020). Our research runs counter to these taken-for-granted hegemonic assumptions by
194 endeavouring to develop a socio-critical awareness of disability among university students.
195 Indeed, in light of the embedded prejudices towards and lack of accommodations for students
196 with disabilities, along with the strong emphasis on body performance in PE/HE, it is clear that
197 there is a dire need for teachers to enact critical pedagogies that facilitate student engagement in
198 the deconstruction of disability discourses. One example can be found in Lynch (2019) chapter
199 on disability and social justice curricula development, which demonstrated a means of re-
200 conceptualising PE/HE pedagogy from a position that destabilises ableism inherent within
201 physical culture contexts by centring a social justice perspective on disability. The goal of these
202 pedagogies is to come to an understanding of the diversity of humanity through a ‘differently-

203 abled' framework as well as to critique the construction of disability from a deficit perspective.
204 However, there is a scarcity of research focusing on the development of a critical pedagogical
205 approach among university PE students. More broadly, Philpot et al. (2020) analysed how New
206 Zealand PE teacher education (PETE) students' biographies mediated understandings of the
207 messages of their PETE programme from a critical pedagogical perspective, whereas Shelley and
208 McCuaig (2018) explored one PE teacher educator's use of critical pedagogy as a strategy to
209 confront social justice and socio-cultural issues within an Australian university programme.
210 Alfrey and Conner (2020:1) discussed, from a critical pedagogical perspective, what they
211 referred to as the 'inherently messy and complex process of [HPE] curriculum transformation
212 and enactment' in Australia. When attention turns to disability, critical pedagogical research is
213 rare. While Sparkes et al. (2019) used a critical pedagogical perspective to facilitate prospective
214 PE teacher learning about inclusive pedagogical strategies for students with disabilities in Spain,
215 to the best of our knowledge no research has yet explored the ways and extent to which critical
216 pedagogical PE/HE can disrupt normative ableist notions of disability among university students.
217 Therefore, our research investigates the learning experiences of university students in a
218 disability-specific, critically oriented, tennis class.

219 **Method**

220 The data from this paper form part of a broader research project, a digital ethnography
221 exploring students' experiences of alternate teaching practices and digital assessment methods in
222 university PE activity courses carried out from January 2017 to May 2017. To relate to students'
223 everyday lives, we employed a digital ethnographic approach (Pink et al. 2016). The digital
224 provided the foreground to carry out the ethnographic work, where we could research daily
225 experiences and perspectives. We were particularly interested in how students interacted with the

226 digital, material and sensory environment to share open and reflexive experiences of their
227 learning.

228 *Participants*

229 Out of 17 students in the class, six aged 19-24 from a large southeastern US university
230 chose to participate in this study. The students consisted of one male and five females, each self-
231 identifying as white and able-bodied. Students were all enrolled in a Beginners Tennis activity
232 course that met for 50 minutes, twice a week, for 15 weeks.

233 *Course outline and the educator*

234 At the university where the study took place, Beginning Tennis was typically taught in a
235 traditional format (Livingston 1996): students were graded based on skill acquisition and
236 practical performance. However, Shrehan, the educator of the course and primary researcher, had
237 a sociocritical teaching philosophy and background, and was completing her doctorate on critical
238 pedagogies in PE during the study. Her knowledge of intentional critical approaches led to her
239 redesigning the course towards an immersion experience that focused on challenging ableist
240 perspectives. The university had a varsity wheelchair tennis team that Shrehan had strong links
241 to from previous partnerships. Collaboratively with the players and head coach of the team, they
242 reimagined the course requirements to give students exposure to people with disabilities,
243 wheelchair tennis, and simulations activities. The class requirements included the completion of
244 three events: (1) an in-class wheelchair guest session, (2) active participation at a community
245 tennis tournament, and (3) volunteering for a day at the wheelchair national championships.
246 Students could be graded¹ an A (A = 90-100 points, B = 80-89 points, C/D = 60-79 points) in the
247 class if they attended each event and completed the required authentic assessments. If one was

¹ Shrehan recognised the controversial nature of grading processes in higher education and while the course and assessment had to be outlined, there were spaces of educator autonomy.

248 not completed, their starting 100 points were reduced by 10 points each time. The assessments
249 required students to submit three video narratives to evidence a reflective learning journey.
250 Reflections were digitally recorded in a place where the student felt comfortable and uploaded to
251 the university's online learning platform. Video narratives were required to be three to eight
252 minutes long and had an accompanying thought-provoking guide that the students could draw
253 upon if they chose to. Questions in the guide included: *What did you learn during/from this*
254 *experience? Was this a new experience? What does this mean in your life? How did you feel*
255 *supporting a wheelchair tennis event? Was it your first time?* Additionally, at the end of the
256 semester, students completed a reflective free write uploaded to the online learning platform. In
257 line with critical thought, the brief was intentionally 'loose' to encourage meaningful thinking
258 and creativity, and students had full ownership over the direction of the writing.

259 The course outline (see Table 1) was shared with students on day one of class. As Table 1
260 indicates, the class was student-centred, conversationally oriented, and both began and ended
261 with group dialogue. During class meetings, students peer-taught, organised and ran events to
262 promote ownership and responsibility of their course, attended community events, were provided
263 with opportunities to be exposed to people with disabilities, and had the experience of playing
264 wheelchair tennis. On day one of the course, Shrehan shared her class mantra to the students, (1)
265 We all have different abilities, (2) Sport is for all individuals to enjoy, and (3) Sport has the
266 potential to do social good. Rather than sport as competition, Shrehan communicated her
267 position that it is for personal pleasure without disrespecting others. She attempted to allow
268 students to come to personal understandings through the experiences and opportunities offered
269 and provoking thought during class discussions and individually responding to students' video
270 narratives.

271 ***Data gathering and analysis***

272 After the class was taught, Shrehan sought retrospective ethical approval. Upon
273 acceptance of the university review, she pursued participant consent. This was done intentionally
274 after all university grading processes were complete, so students did not feel obligated to
275 participate. Six students in the class responded with completed consent forms and were assigned
276 pseudonyms. Their video narratives and reflective essays were gathered to contribute to the data
277 set. The reflective essays (5981 words) and the narratives transcribed by Shrehan (20, 346
278 words) were uploaded to Nvivo for data analysis. Shrehan analysed the data using inductive and
279 deductive methods (Patton 2015), with the second author, Mara, acting as a critical colleague.
280 She employed critical pedagogy as a theoretical base to interpret the data and develop themes.
281 Our rationale for using one author to oversee the process was based on knowledge of the course.
282 Shrehan, as the course educator and principal investigator, was the most informed. The research
283 was personal and with all qualitative studies, the researcher is an instrument of the inquiry
284 (Patton 2015).

285 **Findings and discussion**

286 The findings are explained in two broad themes. The first, *'the learning journey in*
287 *critical education,*' is described by three subthemes (i) *'initial shock,'* (ii) *'new experiences,'* and
288 (iii) *'humbling encounters.'* The second, *'consequences of critical pedagogy'* are explained
289 through (a) *'building a community through meaningful relationships,'* and (b) *'change in*
290 *perspective.'*

291 ***The learning journey in critical education***

292 A critical pedagogic set-up requires the class space to be constructed in a way that is
293 student-centred with high levels of dialogue. Traditionally, the conservative nature of university,

294 including PE spaces, embody discourses that rely on the teacher as an authoritarian figure. Thus,
295 when educators deny an authoritarian position and instead require students to engage in different
296 ways, students may resist this approach (Tinning 2002; Evans 2014; Lynch and Curtner-Smith
297 2019). On the other hand, critical pedagogies can facilitate different learning journeys for
298 students.

299 *Initial shock*

300 To begin the learning journey, initially, students were shocked at the way the class was delivered
301 and at the expectations. Day one (see Table 1) included discussing the syllabus and course
302 requirements. The unique requirements of the course meant that Charlotte considered quitting:

303 On the first day of class, I was very worried that this class was going to be
304 much more than I had bargained for. I remember feeling like I was never going
305 to be able to complete all of the requirements for the class ... I was really ready
306 to drop the class, but I told myself that wasn't an option.

307 Mary described how she initially resisted the course requirements: 'I did not want to
308 waste my Saturday's volunteering for some [wheelchair tennis] tournament.' It was
309 apparent throughout Mary's reflections that initially she did not regard the course
310 content as relevant to her personal life or meaningful to her learning at university.

311 On the second day of class, students participated in icebreaker activities. Sinead
312 explained:

313 I was *extremely intimidated*. First task was a wheelbarrow relay with a
314 stranger. I was so scared that the whole semester would be like this. Who were
315 these people? Are we ever going to play tennis? If I didn't need the one-hour

316 credit, I probably would have dropped the class. I wondered what the heck I
317 had gotten myself into. Thank goodness I stayed with it!

318 Sinead was shocked at the activities and interactions with her classmates because they were
319 atypical. Emilia agreed, saying ‘I do not usually interact and work with my classmates as much
320 as we had to in tennis class.’ Critical educators must be cognisant that students can ‘drop’ classes
321 in the beginning weeks and those that do not feel more democratic ways of learning meet their
322 expectations may withdraw. This is to be expected when teaching counters prior learning
323 experiences in university and educators should seek to respond by discussing and endeavouring
324 to alleviate concerns of students. Students are accustomed to being told what to do and when to
325 do it, especially since previous PE classes most likely upheld rhetoric of control and regulation
326 of the body (Wright 2004; Azzarito, Simon, & Marttinen 2017). Student resistance to critical
327 pedagogies is common and was expected by Shrehan, as it is difficult to ‘go against the grain’ in
328 terms of disrupting hegemonic knowledge taken-for-granted as true (Ruiz and Fernandez-
329 Balboa, 2005).

330 *New experiences*

331 Students who were able to adjust their expectations and continued with the class were
332 able to have novel experiences. During her doctoral work at the southeastern US university, it
333 became apparent to Shrehan that students with disabilities were largely segregated in schools
334 during their childhood. Thus, by the time students come to university, they have very little
335 exposure to people with disabilities as the trend of segregation continued into disability sport
336 spaces at university. This meant that everyone who participated in the class identified as having
337 never been introduced to wheelchair tennis, been in a wheelchair themselves, taken part in a

338 community tennis tournament, or volunteered at a national wheelchair sporting event. Charlotte
339 emphasised the new experiences she had:

340 One of my favourite aspects of the class was learning about wheelchair tennis.

341 I have been at the university for 4 years and never knew that we had a
342 wheelchair tennis team until this semester. When I saw in the syllabus that we
343 would be having in-class sessions and volunteering opportunities with the
344 wheelchair tennis team, I immediately started thinking about how different it
345 would be from regular tennis. To my surprise, the rules weren't really different
346 at all... In addition to learning about the rules, I really appreciate having the
347 opportunity to not only volunteer with the team but also getting the chance to
348 play wheelchair tennis for myself. I personally have never had to be in a
349 wheelchair, so this was an entirely new experience for me.

350 Joel agreed and expressed his gratitude for the new experiences provided by the class: 'I am so
351 grateful for having taken tennis this semester because of the many meaningful opportunities
352 afforded to me. I never would have played in my first tennis tournament, [or] experienced
353 wheelchair tennis in so many ways.' New and novel experiences can contribute to new ways of
354 learning at university and can further student enjoyment (Hastie and Sinelnikov 2007). The new
355 experiences were facilitated by an intentional and explicit immersion experience. Additionally,
356 the class had multiple levels of exposure to wheelchair events and people with different abilities,
357 which led to humbling moments of realisation about their own abilities.

358 *Humbling encounters*

359 Nario-Redmond, Godpodinov and Cobb (2017) have argued that simulating disabilities
360 for non-disabled people problematic, 'built on the assumption that people cannot fully

361 understand the circumstances facing disabled people unless they know first-hand how disabled
362 people seem to do what they do' (2). We would agree that wheelchair simulation activities,
363 where non-disabled individuals use wheelchairs, cannot fully provide able-bodied students with
364 true comprehension of the lived experiences of people in wheelchairs, nor should they attempt to
365 provide affirmation of the existence of another's life. However, multiple challenging
366 experiences, along with encounters with people with disabilities, can provoke students to re-think
367 their own abilities and perspectives on disabilities (Sparkes et al. 2019). This can help to
368 challenge, according to Maher et al. (2020), dominant deficit ways of thinking about and acting
369 towards the disabled other. For example, the students in this study expected to be skilled at
370 wheelchair tennis and, as they explained, were humbled to find out they were not as good as they
371 expected:

372 I had never been in a wheelchair before... I learnt a lot about perspective I
373 guess from this, more than anything else, you know I didn't think it was gonna
374 be something that was that hard and she [wheelchair tennis player] made it look
375 really easy, but it was by no means something that I could just step into and be
376 good at, so that was in a way humbling but also very just enlightening so that
377 was kinda cool (Joel).

378
379 I also really liked when we would play Beat the Professional. We played
380 against the girl in the wheelchair and I was kind of expecting, I kind of thought
381 we would all be better than her, I guess, because she was in a wheelchair,
382 which is stupid of me to think because she was *soooo good* and I mean she
383 made the wheelchair look easy and then when we got in a wheelchair the next

384 class and it was just one of the hardest things I have ever done. I was kind of
385 thinking, oh, well, she gets two bounces so that is plenty for her to go get it and
386 hit it, that is a lot of time but when you are actually in the wheelchair, the upper
387 body strength that you have to have just to move around its extremely hard...
388 [wheelchair tennis player] was incredible. It was just a really cool class; it was
389 very eye-opening. (Mary)

390 When Mary was playing against a wheelchair tennis player, she adopted a deficit perspective of
391 disability by positioning the player as the ‘other’ body, perceiving that the player could not
392 achieve the same level as her able-bodiedness. This is indicative of able-bodied normative
393 assumptions about ability in corporeal practices such as PE. The ‘other’/able-bodied dichotomy
394 is a signifier of PE and sport spaces which relies heavily on physical performance as a marker of
395 health (Lynch 2019; Lynch and Hill 2020). However, when Mary experienced playing in a
396 wheelchair herself, her deficit opinion of the wheelchair player was disrupted and even changed.
397 Suddenly, she was ‘incredible’, and Mary ascribed value and social capital to her opponent.
398 Consequently, the class was ‘eye-opening’ and challenged the students’ perspectives. In
399 summary, the holistic requirements of the tennis class were met with initial shock, new
400 experiences and humbling encounters but when combined, they encouraged students to question
401 their abilities and beliefs about the abilities of the disabled ‘other’, thus fulfilling an essential
402 element of critical pedagogy.

403 *Consequences of critical pedagogy*

404 Critical pedagogies centre the lived experiences of marginalised groups and engage
405 students in dialogical processes of sharing and co-constructing knowledge (Giroux 1988;
406 McLaren 1998). In this study, the outcome of dialogical teaching methods embedded within

407 immersion experiences were evidenced by the meaningful relationships the class created and
408 changing perspectives. Each participant, to varying degrees, raised their critical awareness and
409 social responsiveness. In doing so, Shrehan and the students collectively destabilised damaging
410 neoliberal discourse that couches individuals as responsible for their own successes and failures
411 in achieving widely accepted ‘truths’ regarding health/physicality (Azzarito 2012; Evans,
412 Davies, and Wright 2004; Fitzpatrick and Tinning, 2014).

413 *Building a community through meaningful relationships*

414 Critical pedagogy facilitates community development and relationships; universities are pillars in
415 the development of human flourishing and incremental social reform (Tinning 2019). In this
416 paper, we demonstrate that humans flourish when they work together and appreciate difference.
417 An integral part of the class was democratic participation: learning to listen to one another, teach
418 one another, respect one another and communicate effectively, both in and out of class times.
419 This led to meaningful relationships between the students and Shrehan. Hannah explained:

420 I was able to experience the feeling of working as a team and interacting with
421 other classmates as we sought to sharpen our skills alongside one another. I
422 feel that I grew close to the rest of the class as the semester went on, as we all
423 learned each other’s distinct personalities and how to help each other perform
424 at our best. I loved playing with my teammates and Shrehan, especially rallying
425 in class. I was able to play against players of all different levels and learned a
426 great deal - especially from high-level players. We all worked to be supportive
427 of one another and promote a safe environment in which we could succeed
428 with encouragement fail without embarrassment.

429 Sara agreed: 'I have not only rediscovered my love for the sport of tennis but also made so many
430 meaningful friendships...we learned a lot about each other and ourselves.' Making friends at
431 university and as an adult can be challenging, as Mary reflected:

432 The last day of class I looked around at my classmates with a heavy heart.
433 They were my friends now. The people I feared to look dumb in front of I now
434 goofed off with and joked with outside of class. I forgot how easy it was to
435 make friends when you have people around you with similar interests.

436 The challenges of making friends as a young adult in university indirectly reflects a neoliberal
437 discourse of competition, in which students understand their peers as competition towards
438 personal and professional successes (or failures). The setup of the class facilitated numerous
439 challenges to existing power dynamics which maintain hierarchical hegemonies of control, such
440 as a neoliberal discourse of competition (Evans & Davies, 2015; Lorente-Catalan & Kirk, 2014).
441 Furthermore, while meaningful relationships can be created when engaged in competitive sport
442 play, it can be argued that movement has a greater purpose, enjoyment and ability to foster
443 community (Lynch and Sargent in Press). As Charlotte noted:

444 Wheelchair tennis also taught me about getting involved in the community,
445 which I wish I had learned before my senior year. I also learned so much more
446 about working as a team. As an only child, that is often a difficult concept for
447 me to embrace so I really appreciate the opportunity to practice teamwork. One
448 thing that I learned about myself was that I really enjoy teaching my classmates
449 about tennis. There was just something so rewarding about teaching someone a
450 skill that they can use to be successful in a sport. However, I think that my
451 favorite lesson from this class was that it is okay to lose and that tennis can be

452 enjoyable regardless....I enjoy the fact that my grade wasn't based on whether
453 or not I had perfect form when I served, but rather passed on the amount of
454 effort that I put in. I feel like this is a concept that is often overlooked in many
455 of my core classes.

456 Charlotte's narrative embodied all of Shrehan's mantras that informed the course design and
457 pedagogies. First, as an experienced tennis player, Charlotte taught her peers how to achieve
458 certain movement skills, demonstrating the idea of *we all have different abilities*. Second,
459 Charlotte expressed how enjoyment can come from teaching others rather than from a grade or
460 competition, which matches the *sport is for enjoyment for all individuals* part of Shrehan's
461 mantra. Finally, Charlotte recognised the importance of actively participating in her local
462 community, demonstrating the third part of the mantra- *sport has the potential to create a*
463 *positive community and do social good*. Furthermore, the class encouraged Charlotte to
464 problematise grading procedures in other classes. We concur with Livingston (1996) and Hastie
465 and Sinelnikov (2007), students should be graded through innovative authentic methods that
466 move away from performance-related measures. Assessment should provide accurate and
467 meaningful understandings about students' progress and learning within the learning
468 environment. In order to do so, classes should require, assess, and assign a high value to items
469 such as participation, teamwork, effort, and student reflectivity.

470 *Change in perspectives*

471 Each student within this study identified a change in their perspectives towards those
472 with a disability. The combined nature of immersion and disability events were able to challenge
473 students' previously held deficit assumptions towards people with a disability. Mary, in
474 particular, shared her previously held assumptions:

475 At first, I felt bad for them—the people in wheelchairs I mean. How did they
476 do anything? It must be so hard to get around and play a sport in a wheelchair.
477 Boy, was I wrong! Hard? Maybe impossible? They did not have that term in
478 their vocabulary. We had the privilege of playing against one of the wheelchair
479 tennis players and, for lack of a better phrase; I got my ass handed to me. These
480 girls could move a wheelchair and tennis racquet simultaneously quicker than I
481 could have ever dreamed of moving my feet. We also got to try the wheelchairs
482 out for ourselves. This was eye-opening. I could barely gather the arm strength
483 to move the chair one centimetre, much less, focus on hitting a ball. After this
484 session, I no longer felt unbothered to work their tournament. In fact, I felt
485 honoured. I got to work their tournament. They are strong brave badasses.

486 Feelings of honour and privilege were a vast change to Mary's initial response regarding the
487 course requirements (e.g. not wanting to 'waste' her Saturdays). In agreement, Hannah recounted
488 the experiences with the wheelchair tennis team made her realise the 'privilege of having
489 working legs' and that being able-bodied meant unearned opportunities/advantages.
490 While Mary and Hannah experienced a noticeable shift in their worldview, other participants'
491 perspectives still demonstrated underpinnings of ableist language and deficit understandings. For
492 example, Joel characterised one of the wheelchair tennis players as 'forced into a chair' rather
493 than a wheelchair user. Sara stressed that 'watching the wheelchair tennis team made me re-
494 examine my definition of adversity and perseverance. I am proud to have spent time with them
495 and learned about their athletics.' While Sara displayed empathy in this quote, a closer
496 examination reveals an undercurrent of pity, where the wheelchair users are constructed as an
497 'other' (e.g. 'them,' 'their athletics'). In summary, even with the self-identified changes in

498 perspective, an element of problematic language was still present in participants' narratives. This
499 finding presents the danger that 'one-off' courses present. Ideally, a scaffolded and sequenced
500 series of learning is the ideal condition to challenge perspectives.

501

502 **Conclusions**

503 The overall purpose of this paper was to explore the extent to which a critical pedagogical
504 approach in PE and HE can challenge normative ableist notions of (dis)ability through a
505 disability-specific, critically orientated, tennis class. The findings highlighted that the PE activity
506 tennis class using critical pedagogy was impactful for all the participants. Some participants
507 raised their critical awareness and became more empathetic while others became socially
508 responsive and saw their role in the community more holistically. Despite their initial shock at
509 the critical pedagogies enacted within the course, the new experiences of working with
510 wheelchair users and disability simulations humbled students and contributed to the disruption of
511 their deficit understandings of disability. As a result of the critical approach, participants in the
512 class developed a strong sense of community and meaningful relationships with one another
513 while simultaneously destabilising invisible yet pervasive discourses of ableism.

514 There was a noticeable change in participants during the 15-week course and this was a result of
515 Shrehan's intense organisation and preparation prior to the start, something that can be labour-
516 intensive for university staff. This entailed making community links beforehand in order to be
517 ready to "jump in" from day one. Shrehan also included several disability immersion experiences
518 and one simulating disability experience in her planning. Simulating disabilities can increase
519 feelings and displays of empathy but may also leave 'people feeling more confused, anxious,
520 embarrassed, helpless, and vulnerable to becoming disabled themselves' (Nario-Redmond et al.

521 2017, 7). However, the participants' narratives upheld the idea that simulating disabilities can
522 facilitate empathy but in combination with other disability events and critical pedagogies;
523 simulations can also raise critical consciousness. Furthermore, none of the participants in this
524 study shared feelings of being confused, anxious, embarrassed, helpless or vulnerable to
525 becoming disabled. Maher et al. (2020) have indicated scepticism about implementing
526 simulations without in-depth planning, thought and criticality and we would agree; it would not
527 be an appropriate pedagogy for educators in activity courses who typically have little
528 pedagogical experience (Wahl-Alexander and Curtner-Smith 2018). Instead, experienced
529 educators who have intentional and explicit methods for teaching sociocultural issues are
530 recommended for effective disability simulations (Walton-Fisette et al. 2018). Said another way,
531 'we need *mindful* teaching and more specifically we need *critically mindful* teaching... teachers
532 should have criticality as the forever-present lens through which they reflect on their teaching
533 practice' (Tinning 2020, 10). When educators are able to critically mindfully teach, we suggest
534 that they can open the conditions for a more socially just society.

535

536 Postscript:

537 The recent global pandemic, and associated implementation of social distancing and restricted
538 access to appropriate spaces, means that university staff, students and teachers are having to
539 think differently about PE/HE. Here, it is vitally important that the PE experiences of students
540 with disabilities are considered. Therefore, future research is needed that explores how students
541 with disabilities can be stretched and challenged in PE/HE, like their peers, during lessons that
542 will have to abide by social distancing, personal protective equipment and sanitizing protocols.

543 **References**

544 Alfrey, Laura. and O'Connor, Justen. 2020. "Critical pedagogy and curriculum transformation in
545 Secondary Health and Physical Education." *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy* 25, no 3:
546 288-302

547 Azzarito, Laura. 2012. "Photography as a Pedagogical Tool for Shedding Light on 'Bodies at
548 Risk' in Physical Culture." *Visual Studies* 27, no. 3: 295-309.

549 Azzarito, Laura and Catherine D. Ennis. 2003. "A Sense of Connection: Toward Social
550 Constructivist Physical Education." *Sport, Education and Society* 8, no. 2: 179-197.

551 Azzarito, Laura, Simon, Mara, and Marttinen, Risto. 2017. "Up Against Whiteness: Rethinking
552 Race and the Body in A Global Era." *Sport, Education and Society* 22, no. 5: 635-657.

553 Azzarito, Laura, Simon, Mara, and Marttinen, Risto. 2016. "I Guess People Are More Attracted
554 to White People Than Black People: Shedding Light on Racial Prejudice,
555 Misrepresentation, and (In)Visibility of Ethnic Minority Bodies." In *Social Justice and
556 Physical Education: Critical Reflections and Pedagogies for Change*, edited by Daniel
557 Robinson and Lynn Randall, 15-35. Toronto, Canada: Canadian Scholars' Press.

558 Azzarito, Laura, Marttinen, Risto, Simon, Mara, and Markiewicz, Rebecca. 2014. "I'm
559 Beautiful": A Case for Adopting a Sociocultural Perspective in Physical Education." In
560 *Sociocultural Issues in Physical Education: Case Studies for Teachers*, edited by Sara
561 Bernard Flory, Stephen Sanders, and Amy Tischler, 115-132. Lanham, MD: Rowman
562 and Littlefield Publishers.

563 Coates, Janine, Harris, Jo. and Waring, Mike. 2020) "The effectiveness of a special school
564 experience for improving preservice teachers' efficacy to teach children with special

- 565 educational needs and disabilities.” *British Educational Research Journal* [e-pub ahead
566 of print]
- 567 Collins, Patricia Hill. 2000. *Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and The*
568 *Politics of Empowerment*. New York: Routledge.
- 569 Dowling, Fiona. 2008. “Getting in Touch with Our Feelings: The Emotional Geographies of
570 Gender Relations in PETE.” *Sport, Education and Society* 13, no. 3: 247-266.
- 571 Evans, John. 2014. “Neoliberalism and the Future for A Socio-Educative Physical Education.”
572 *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy* 19, no. 5: 545-558.
- 573 Evans, John, and Davies, Brian. 2015. “Neoliberal Freedoms, Privatisation and The Future of
574 Physical Education.” *Sport, Education and Society* 20, no. 1: 10-26.
- 575 Evans, John, Davies, Brian, and Wright, Jan. 2004. *Body Knowledge and Control: Studies in The*
576 *Sociology of Physical Education and Health*. London: Routledge.
- 577 Fernandez-Balboa, Juan-Miguel. 1993. “Sociocultural Characteristics of The Hidden Curriculum
578 in Physical Education.” *Quest* 45, no. 2: 230-254.
- 579 Fiset, Jennifer L, and Walton, Theresa A. 2013. “Empowering Highschool Girls as Media
580 Consumers/ Producers: Engaging in Activist Research Through Visual Methods.” In
581 *Pedagogies, Physical Culture, and Visual Methods*, edited by Laura Azzarito and David
582 Kirk, 46-62. New York, NY: Routledge.
- 583 Fitzpatrick, K., and Tinning, R. (2014). Health Education’s Fascist Tendencies: A Cautionary
584 Exposition. *Critical Public Health* 24, no. 2: 132-142.
- 585 Giroux, Henry A. 1988. “Literacy and The Pedagogy of Voice and Political Empowerment.”
586 *Educational Theory* 38, no. 1: 61-75.

- 587 Leo, Jennifer. and Goodwin, Donna. 2013 “Pedagogical Reflections on the Use of Disability
588 Simulations in Higher Education.” *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*, 32, 460-
589 472.
- 590 Hastie, Peter A. and Sinelnikov, Oleg A. 2007. “The Use of Web-Based Portfolios in College
591 Physical Education Activity Courses.” *Physical Educator* 64, no. 1: 21-28.
- 592 Hooks, Bell. 2000. *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Centre*. London: Pluto Press.
- 593 Leonardo, Zeus. 2009. *Race, Whiteness and Education*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- 594 Livingston, Lori A. 1996. "Re-Defining the Role of Physical Activity Courses in the Preparation
595 of Physical Education Teaching Professionals." *Physical Educator* 53, no. 3: 114-121.
- 596 Lorente-Catalán, Eloisa, and Kirk, David. 2014. “Making the Case for Democratic Assessment
597 Practices Within a Critical Pedagogy of Physical Education Teacher
598 Education.” *European Physical Education Review* 20, no. 1: 104-119.
- 599 Lynch, Shrehan. 2019. “A Socially Just (Dis)ability Curriculum Idea in Physical Education.” In
600 *Teaching About Social Justice Issues in Physical Education*, edited by Jennifer Walton-
601 Fiset, Sue Sutherland, and Joanne Hill, 101-112. Charlotte, NC: Information Age
602 Publishing.
- 603 Lynch, Shrehan, and Curtner-Smith, Matthew D. 2019. ““The Education System is Broken:” The
604 Influence of a Sociocultural Foundations Class on the Perspectives and Practices of
605 Physical Education Preservice Teachers." *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education* 38,
606 no. 4: 377-387.
- 607 Lynch, Shrehan, and Curtner-Smith, Matthew D. 2020. “U.S Faculty Members Engaging in
608 Transformative PETE: A Feminist Perspective.” *Sport Education & Society*, 25, no. 1:
609 45-56.

- 610 Lynch, Shrehan, and Hill, Joanne., 2020. “I Had to Pop a Wheelie and Pay Extra Attention in
611 Order Not to Fall:’ Embodied Experiences of Two Wheelchair Tennis Athletes
612 Transgressing Ableist and Gendered Norms in Disability Sport and University Spaces.”
613 *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 1-14.
- 614 Lynch, Shrehan, and Sargent. Julia. In Press. “Using the Meaningful Physical Education Features
615 as a Lens to View Student Experiences of Democratic Pedagogy in Higher Education.”
616 *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy* [e-pub ahead of print].
- 617 Maher, Anthony J., Williams, Dean and Sparkes, Andrew C. 2020. “Teaching Non-Normative
618 Bodies: Simulating Visual Impairments as Embodied Pedagogy in Action.” *Sport,*
619 *Education and Society* 25, no. 5: 530-542.
- 620 McLaren, Peter. (1998). Revolutionary Pedagogy in Post-Revolutionary Times: Rethinking the
621 Political Economy of Critical Education. *Educational theory* 48, no. 4: 431-462.
- 622 McLaren, Peter, Martin, Gregory, Farahmandpur, Ramin, and Jaramillo, Nathalia. 2004.
623 “Teaching in and Against the Empire: Critical Pedagogy as Revolutionary
624 Praxis.” *Teacher Education Quarterly* 31, no. 1: 131-153.
- 625 Nario-Redmond, Michele R., Gospodinov, Dobromir, and Cobb, Angela. 2017. “Crip For a Day:
626 The Unintended Negative Consequences of Disability Simulations.” *Rehabilitation*
627 *Psychology* 62, no. 3: 324.
- 628 Oliver, Kimberly L, Hamzeh, Manal, and McCaughtry, Nate. 2009. “Girly Girls Can Play
629 Games/Lasniñas Pueden Jugar Tambien: Co-Creating a Curriculum of Possibilities with
630 Fifth-Grade Girls.” *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education* 28, no. 1: 90-110.

- 631 Philpot, Rod., Smith, Wayne. and Tinning, Richard. 2020. “Kicking at the habitus: students’
632 reading of critical pedagogy in PETE”, *Sport, Education and Society* [e-pub ahead of
633 print]
- 634 Pink, Sarah, Horst, Heather, Postill, John, Hjorth, Larissa, Lewis, Tania, Tacchi, Jo. 2016.
635 *Digital Ethnography: Principles and Practice*. London: Sage.
- 636 Rønholt, Helle. 2002. “It’s Only the Sissies...: Analysis of Teaching and Learning Processes
637 in Physical Education: A Contribution to Hidden Curriculum.” *Sport, Education and*
638 *Society* 7, no. 1: 25-36.
- 639 Ruiz, Beatriz Murous, and Fernandez-Balboa, Juan-Miguel. 2005. “Physical Education Teacher
640 Educators’ Personal Perspectives Regarding Their Practice of Critical Pedagogy.”
641 *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education* 24, no. 3: 243-264.
- 642 Shelley, Karen. and McCuaig, Louise. 2018. “Close encounters with critical pedagogy in socio-
643 critically informed health education teacher education”, *Physical Education and Sport*
644 *Pedagogy* 23, no. 5: 510-523.
- 645 Simon, Mara, and Lynch, Shrehan. 2019. “All Kinds of Strong: Disrupting Dominant Discourses
646 of Fitness with Elementary School Students. In *Teaching About Social Justice Issues in*
647 *Physical Education*, edited by Jennifer Walton-Fisette, Sue Sutherland, and Joanne Hill,
648 69-84. Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.
- 649 Sparkes, Andrew, Martos-Garcia, Daniel, and Maher, Anthony. 2019. “Me, Imperfect
650 Osteogenesis and my classmates in physical education lessons: A case study of embodied
651 pedagogy in action.” *Sport, Education and Society* 24, no. 4: 338-348.
- 652 Terzi, Lorella. 2005. “Beyond the dilemma of difference: The capability approach to disability
653 and special educational needs.” *Journal of Philosophy of Education* 39, no. 3: 443-459.

- 654 Tinning, Richard. 2002. "Toward a "Modest Pedagogy": Reflections on The Problematics of
655 Critical Pedagogy." *Quest* 54, no. 3: 224-240.
- 656 Tinning, Richard. 2019. "Troubled Thoughts on Critical Pedagogy for PETE." *Sport, Education
657 and Society* 24, no. 6: 1-12.
- 658 Wahl-Alexander, Zachary, and Matthew D. Curtner-Smith. 2018. "Influence of Negotiations on
659 Graduate Teaching Assistants' Instruction Within University Activity Courses." *Journal
660 of Teaching in Physical Education* 37, no. 2: 164–74.
- 661 Walton-Fisette, Jennifer L., Philpot, Rod, Phillips, Sharon, Flory, Sara B., Hill, Joanne,
662 Sutherland, Sue, and Flemons, Michelle. 2018. "Implicit and Explicit Pedagogical
663 Practices Related to Sociocultural Issues and Social justice in Physical Education Teacher
664 Education Programs." *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy* 23, no. 5: 497–509.
- 665 Wright, Jan. 2004. "Critical Inquiry and Problem-Solving in Physical Education." In *Critical
666 Inquiry and Problem Solving in Physical Education*, edited by Jan Wright, Doune
667 Macdonald, and Lisette Burrows, 3-15. London: Routledge.