



Barriers to accessing psychological treatment for medium to high risk male young offenders

Journal:	<i>Journal of Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology</i>
Manuscript ID	RJFP-2019-0004.R2
Manuscript Type:	Regular Article
Keywords:	barriers, psychological treatment, young offenders, ethnicity, stigma

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Barriers to accessing psychological treatment for medium to high risk male young offenders

Abstract

Within the young offender population, rates of personality disorder and mood disorders are considerably higher than both the general and adult offender population. Despite this high level of need and high risk of harm, psychological services within prisons are widely underutilized. Little is known about the barriers to accessing treatment for young offenders. This study investigated barriers to accessing psychological treatment for male young offenders detained in a UK prison. There were 128 participants, aged 18-21. A cross-sectional design compared self-reported barriers and psychological distress for Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) and White young offenders not accessing treatment, as well as those who were.

A preference for self-reliance, a lack of trust in the prison system, lengthy waiting times and a general reluctance to talk about emotions were the most commonly cited barriers. BME young offenders not engaged in treatment reported significantly more barriers to accessing treatment than BME young offenders who were engaged in treatment, but both BME groups had equal levels of psychological distress. There was no significant difference between BME and White young offenders in the number of barriers reported, including stigma barriers. Future research should evaluate interventions to increase access for this marginalised population.

Key words: barriers; psychological treatment; young offenders; ethnicity; stigma

Introduction

Younger offenders should be considered a particularly high-risk and high needs group. Prevalence rates for mental health problems are considerably higher than both the adult offender and general community population (Fazel, Doll & Langstrom, 2008). Antisocial Personality Disorder is diagnosable in 81% of sentenced male young offenders compared to 46% of sentenced male adult offenders and rates of depression are also high (Lader et al., 2000). In response to these difficulties a range of psychological treatments are provided within youth offender institutions within England and Wales aiming to address offending behaviours and related mental health difficulties.

The challenges of engaging this population effectively in treatment are significant. For example, young offenders are significantly more likely to drop out of offence focused interventions (Olver & Wong, 2011) and a diagnosis of personality disorder has been associated with higher rates of treatment dropout (Craissati & Beech, 2001). In addition, as offenders age their rates of help-seeking often increase with adult offenders being significantly more likely to seek professional help for mental health problems than young offenders (Mitchell & Latchford, 2010; Nettet et al., 2011; Skogstad, Deane & Spicer, 2006). Reinsmith- Meyer et al. (2014) found that amongst American prisoners in need of treatment, as assessed by personality and mood measures, 18.5% did not participate in any formal treatments or services at all. This untreated group were disproportionately young and male.

Stigma has been found to act as a significant barrier to accessing psychological treatment amongst the adult offender population (Williams, Skogstad & Deane, 2001). Concerns about what other inmates may think and worries about being seen as ‘weak’ or a ‘snitch’ have been found to influence decisions to seek mental health care in prison, with

1
2
3 newly incarcerated prisoners being particularly affected by social perceptions (Morgan,
4
5 Rozycki & Wilson, 2004; Williams, Skogstad & Deane, 2001).
6
7

8
9 Distrust is another commonly named barrier to accessing psychological treatment in
10 American prisons (Durrah, 2013; Morgan et al. 2007). A lack of trust in prison psychologists
11 was identified as a barrier to disclosing suicidal thoughts amongst adult male offenders in
12 New Zealand (Skogstad, Deane & Spicer, 2005). Concerns about information given in
13 therapy being ‘‘used against them’’ has also been named as a concern in an American study
14 (Morgan, Rozycki & Wilson, 2004). A qualitative study in a UK prison also found distrust
15 was a major barrier to accessing care amongst male adult offenders, with distrust often
16 related to negative beliefs that healthcare professionals ‘‘don’t really care’’ (Howerton et al.,
17 2007). However, the generalisability of this UK study is limited as participants were recruited
18 from a prison in southwest England that holds mostly adult White British offenders, -
19 whereas the UK prison population has an over-representation of young men from BME
20 backgrounds (Hagell, 2002).
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36
37 Ethnicity has been found to have an impact on accessing psychological treatment
38 whilst in prison, with American prisoners requesting psychological help upon admission
39 being more likely to be from a White ethnic group than non-requesters (Diamond et al. 2008).
40 Within the UK, Black prisoners with personality disorders are less likely to receive treatment
41 than White prisoners (Coid et al., 2002). This is despite offender screening approaches for
42 personality disorder identifying high levels of need across all ethnicities (Minoudis et al.,
43 2012). Another UK study found feelings of isolation and powerlessness acted as barriers to
44 engagement for BME male adult offenders living in a prison based therapeutic community
45 (Brookes, Glynn & Wilson, 2012). A report from Her Majesty’s Inspectorate of Prisons
46 (2007) found BME prisoners report being distrustful of what they perceive to be ‘White
47 services’ in prison. These findings suggest offenders from BME backgrounds face additional
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 barriers to accessing psychological treatment compared to offenders from White
4
5 backgrounds.
6
7

8
9 Levels of psychological distress can also act as an important factor in seeking
10
11 psychological help whilst in prison. Higher levels of psychological distress have been found
12
13 to increase help seeking amongst adult male offenders (Williams, Skogstad & Deane, 2001).
14
15 Male prisoners with more emotional instability and reported generalized fear are also more
16
17 likely to express a need for psychological help in prison (Bulten, Nijman & van der Staak,
18
19 2009). Diamond et al. (2008) found most male prisoners who self-refer for psychological
20
21 help upon admission report significant psychological symptoms such as nervousness, racing
22
23 thoughts and depression.
24
25

26
27 Overall, the most common barriers arising in the adult offender population are stigma
28
29 concerns and distrust, with ethnicity and level of psychological distress also having an
30
31 influence. However, there is a distinct lack of quantitative research in this area within the UK
32
33 prison system. Many of the adult forensic studies described were not carried out in the UK,
34
35 with the majority being American studies where the criminal justice system and prison
36
37 system varies widely from state to state and is not comparable with the UK prison system.
38
39
40
41

42 Furthermore, the forensic literature described here all used adult offenders as
43
44 participants. None of these studies focused specifically on the young offender population
45
46 (aged 18-21). Young offenders differ across many variables compared to adult offenders.
47
48 They are still cognitively developing, are more challenging to manage, are more likely to
49
50 violently re-offend and have higher attrition rates from treatment programmes (NOMS,
51
52 2015). Due to these differences it is unlikely they will face the same barriers as adult
53
54 offenders. The barriers to accessing treatment for detained young offenders have not yet been
55
56 empirically investigated. The present study aimed to address this gap.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In line with future research recommendations in the literature (Abram et al. 2008;
4
5 Chitaseban et al., 2011; Morgan et al., 2007) the present study adopted a quantitative
6
7 methodology, recruited a larger sample from a UK prison, focused on high risk young
8
9 offenders and explored the impact of treatment stigma, psychological distress, ethnicity and
10
11 pathological personality traits. It was hypothesised that 1) Young offenders not engaged in
12
13 treatment will report significantly more barriers to accessing treatment than young offenders
14
15 who are engaged in treatment, 2) BME young offenders will report significantly more
16
17 barriers to accessing treatment than White young offenders, 3) Ethnicity, level of
18
19 psychological distress, number of barriers and pathological personality traits will act as
20
21 significant predictors to engagement in treatment.
22
23
24
25

26 27 **Method**

28 29 *Participants*

30
31
32 Participants were recruited from a young offenders' prison in Southern England.
33
34

35 36 *Measures*

37
38 All participants had been screened for pathological personality traits using a national
39
40 screening tool embedded in the Offender Assessment System¹ (OASys), the OASys
41
42 Antisocial Personality Disorder Screen (Ministry of Justice, 2015) which gives a score
43
44 ranging from 0-10, higher scores indicate higher levels of anti-social personality traits.
45
46 Participants in this study had scores ranging from 2-10 (mean = 7, SD= 1.79). 'Engaged in
47
48 treatment' was defined as currently enrolled on any of the high intensity treatment
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56
57 ¹ OASys is the structured professional judgement risk assessment used on all offenders in the English and Welsh
58 criminal justice system.
59
60

1
2
3 programmes delivered in the prison, including an Offender Personality Disorder (OPD)²
4
5 therapies service and HMPPS offending behaviour programmes. ‘Not engaged in treatment’
6
7 was defined as any young man not enrolled on an appropriate treatment programme or
8
9 disengaged from or refusing OPD or offending behaviour programmes. In addition, two self-
10
11 report measures were used in this study.
12
13

14 15 *The Barriers To Accessing Treatment in Prison (BATP)*

16
17
18 This is a 32 item self-report measure used to gather data on barriers to accessing
19
20 treatment. This measure was adapted from an existing measure, the Barriers to Accessing
21
22 Care Evaluation (BACE; Clement et al. 2012) with the authors’ permission. (See Appendix 1
23
24 for details on the development of the BATP). This measure provided three sets of scores; a
25
26 sum total score which reflects how many barriers the participant reported as relevant
27
28 (maximum 32), a mean rating score which reflects to what extent the participant reported the
29
30 barrier as affecting them: not at all, a little, quite a lot, a lot (maximum 96) and a treatment
31
32 stigma score which reflects how many stigma barriers the participant endorsed (maximum
33
34 10). At the end of the BATP participants could note any additional barriers not listed. The 32
35
36 item BATP scale as a whole was found to have a high level of internal consistency ($\alpha=.858$).
37
38 The 10-item treatment stigma subscale of the BATP was also found to have a good level of
39
40 internal consistency ($\alpha=.825$).
41
42
43
44
45

46 47 *Clinical Outcomes in Routine Evaluation Outcome Measure (CORE-OM)*

48
49 To measure psychological distress the Clinical Outcomes in Routine Evaluation
50
51 Outcome Measure (CORE-OM) was used. This is a 34 item self-report questionnaire, which
52
53
54
55

56
57 ² The OPD service is jointly commissioned between HMPPS and the NHS to support high risk offenders. It
58 provides evidence based therapies for personality disorder including Mentalisation Based Therapy (MBT) or
59 Schema Therapy.
60

1
2
3 covers four dimensions: subjective wellbeing, problems/symptoms, life functioning,
4
5 risk/harm. The responses are averaged to produce a mean score to indicate the level of current
6
7 psychological distress from 'healthy' to 'severe'. This measure has been found to have good
8
9 internal and test- retest validity ($\alpha= 0.75-0.95$) for large clinical and non-clinical samples
10
11
12 (Evans et al. 2000).
13

14 15 ***Ethics***

16
17
18 Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Royal Holloway University of
19
20 London (REC Project ID: 40) and HMPPS Ethics Committees (Ref: 2017-113).
21
22

23 24 ***Procedure***

25
26 Prior to starting data collection, there was a service user consultation to inform the
27
28 recruitment strategy and procedures for participation. An opportunity sampling approach was
29
30 taken. All potential participants were approached in the prison library, on residential wings or
31
32 in the OPD service. If they showed an interest in the study, the researcher would see them
33
34 individually in a quiet location, read the information sheet out and ask for any questions.
35
36 After providing informed written consent, the researcher read the questions on the two
37
38 measures and participants indicated their responses. Following this, clinical, demographic
39
40 and risk data were extracted from electronic HMPPS records. This included treatment status,
41
42 index offence, ethnicity and risk level.
43
44
45
46
47

48 49 ***Analysis***

50
51 A series of independent t-tests compared differences between BATP and CORE-OM
52
53 scores according to ethnicity and treatment status. An a-priori power analysis ($\beta=0.80$,
54
55 $\alpha=0.05$) showed for a medium effect size of 0.5 a total of 128 participants were required with
56
57 64 in each group, BME and White. A multiple logistic regression analysis was carried out to
58
59 investigate predictors of engagement in treatment. For this analysis the BATP stigma barriers
60

1
2
3 (total 10) were entered separately from the remaining questionnaire items, which were named
4
5 'BATP non-stigma barriers'. It was therefore possible to assess the degree to which each of
6
7 the variables (Ethnicity, Psychological Distress, Antisocial Personality Traits, BATP non-
8
9 stigma barriers, and BATP stigma barriers) independently predicted whether male young
10
11 offenders engaged in psychological treatment in prison.
12
13
14

15 Results

16
17
18 A total of 128 male young men were recruited: 64 in treatment (32 BME and 32
19
20 White) and 64 not in treatment (32 BME and 32 White). All participants were aged between
21
22 18-21 with a mean age of 19.82 years. In terms of offences, 50% of the sample had
23
24 committed violent offences, 26% committed sexual offences and 24% committed other
25
26 offences, such as burglary.
27
28
29

30
31 Across the whole sample the most commonly reported treatment barrier was
32
33 '*wanting to solve the problem on my own*' which 78.9% of participants endorsed. The next
34
35 most commonly reported treatment barrier was, '*Lack of trust in the prison system which*
36
37 *these services are based in*', which 73.4% of participants endorsed. The treatment stigma
38
39 barriers were not as common, with less than 41% of participants endorsing any of the stigma
40
41 barriers. The most frequently reported stigma barrier was '*not wanting details of my*
42
43 *treatment or problems to be on my notes*' which 40.6% of the sample reported. An additional
44
45 barrier was reported by 11 participants which was experiencing conflict with other prisoners
46
47 on the same programme, consequently hindering their access to the treatment. The average
48
49 CORE-OM score for the participants in this study fell in the 'mild range'. See Table 1 for
50
51 mean BATP total and CORE-OM total scores.
52
53
54
55

56 [Table 1 near here].
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Contrary to the first hypothesis, no significant differences were found between the
4
5 BME and White young offenders on the number of barriers reported, mean ratings of the
6
7 barriers or the number of stigma barriers reported.
8
9

10
11 Examining the second hypothesis, it was found, BME young men not engaged in
12
13 treatment reported significantly more barriers to accessing treatment ($M=3.78$, $SD= 1.03$)
14
15 than BME young men engaged in treatment ($M= 2.67$, $SD=1.13$), $t(62)= -4.09$, $p=.000$. It was
16
17 also found BME young men not engaged in treatment had significantly higher mean ratings
18
19 of the barriers ($M=5.14$, $SD= 1.38$) than BME young men engaged in treatment ($M=3.55$, SD
20
21 $= 1.66$), $t(62)= -4.13$, $p=.000$.
22
23
24

25
26 BME young men not engaged in treatment also endorsed significantly more stigma
27
28 related barriers ($M=1.46$, $SD= .89$) than BME young men who were engaged in treatment
29
30 ($M= .90$, $SD= .82$), $t(62)=-2.61$, $p=.011$. Equal levels of psychological distress were reported
31
32 across BME young men engaged in treatment ($M= 8.65$, $SD= 5.44$) and not engaged in
33
34 treatment ($M= 9.25$, $SD = 6.01$), $t(62)= .48$, $p=.632$. Unlike the BME young men there were
35
36 no significant differences in the number of barriers or levels of distress between the White
37
38 young men engaged in treatment and those not engaged in treatment.
39
40
41

42
43 The logistic regression found all five variables entered together was significantly
44
45 accurate in predicting whether or not male young offenders engaged in treatment ($X^2(5) =$
46
47 17.19 , $p=.005$). Overall this model correctly predicted whether or not male young offenders
48
49 would engage in treatment in 65% of the cases (overall case prediction). This model correctly
50
51 classed 71% of the treatment cases as 'in treatment' (sensitivity) and correctly classed 57% of
52
53 the no treatment cases as 'not in treatment' (specificity).
54
55
56

57
58 After controlling for shared variance with the other three variables, Antisocial
59
60 Personality Traits ($B= .36$, $SE= .13$ $p=.007$) and BATP non-stigma treatment barriers ($B= -$

1
2
3 .09, SE= .05, $p = .033$) showed significant predictive status with regard to engagement in
4
5 psychological treatment. Higher OASys Antisocial scores increased the likelihood of being
6
7 engaged in treatment whereas higher scores on the B ATP non-stigma barriers decreased the
8
9 likelihood of being engaged in treatment. Ethnicity, ($B = -.19$, $SE = .41$, $p = .630$),
10
11 Psychological Distress ($B = .05$, $SE = .04$, $p = .884$) and B ATP stigma barriers ($B = -.16$,
12
13 $SE = .28$, $p = .563$) were not independently predictive of engagement in psychological
14
15 treatment.
16
17
18
19

20 **Discussion**

21
22
23 Using a newly developed measure, the B ATP, this study has investigated the barriers
24
25 to accessing psychological treatment for medium to high risk male young offenders serving a
26
27 custodial sentence in a UK prison. The most commonly named barriers were related to a lack
28
29 of trust, a general reluctance to talk about emotions, preference for self-reliance and
30
31 institutional barriers. The results of this study would suggest treatment stigma may not be a
32
33 primary barrier to accessing treatment for this population, out of the top ten barriers reported
34
35 none were treatment stigma related. This contrasts with much of the previous research using
36
37 adult offenders where stigma related concerns were commonly reported to act as barriers to
38
39 accessing treatment (Deane, Skogstad & Willaims, 1999; Williams, Skotsgad & Deane,
40
41 2001). The study suggests stigma is less problematic for younger men and there are other
42
43 barriers more likely to discourage them from engaging in treatment.
44
45
46
47
48

49 Contrary to the first hypothesis, no significant difference was found between the
50
51 White and BME groups in either the total number of treatment barriers reported, total number
52
53 of stigma barriers reported or the types of barriers. These findings contrast with much of the
54
55 previous research which suggests BME groups may face a greater number of barriers to
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 accessing care and report more stigma concerns (Hines-Martin et al., 2003; Memon et al.,
4
5 2016; Mishra et al., 2008).
6
7

8
9 However, within the BME group, BME young men not currently engaged in
10 treatment, reported significantly more barriers to accessing treatment than BME young men
11 who were currently engaged in treatment. The no treatment BME group also reported the
12 barriers affecting them to a greater extent and reported more treatment stigma barriers than
13 the BME treatment group.
14
15
16
17
18

19
20 Despite presenting with equal levels of psychological need, the no treatment BME
21 group were not engaged in psychological treatment and it is possible this is due to facing a
22 greater number of barriers to accessing this treatment in prison. This effect was not seen for
23 the White treatment versus no treatment comparisons so it seems this effect was unique to the
24 BME young offenders only. Within the BME group, the treatment and no treatment group's
25 ten most commonly reported barriers were the all same. This indicates the difference between
26 the BME groups is one of magnitude, a higher number of reported barriers and ratings of the
27 barriers, rather than a difference in the type of barriers reported.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39
40 With regards to the last hypothesis, two variables were found to act as independent
41 significant predictors of engagement psychological treatment whilst in prison. Firstly, a
42 higher number of self-reported non-stigma treatment barriers decreased the likelihood of a
43 participant being in treatment. Based on previous literature (Morgan, Rozycki & Wilson,
44 2004; Williams, Skogstad & Deane, 2001) this result was expected and suggests the more
45 psychological and structural barriers a young offender faces, the less likely they are to be
46 engaged in treatment. Secondly, a higher score on the OASys Antisocial screen increased the
47 likelihood of a participant being in treatment. The literature has traditionally considered
48 antisocial personality traits to act as a significant hindrance to engagement (Shaw &
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Edelman, 2017). The finding in this study is potentially an artefact of the OPD and HMPPS
4 screening practices which specifically target medium to high risk young offenders meaning
5 participants engaged in treatment are likely to have higher levels of antisocial personality
6 traits.
7
8
9

10 11 12 13 ***Strengths of current study*** 14

15
16 The current study has been able to improve upon the methodology of the previous
17 forensic literature in this area. This is the first quantitative investigation of the barriers to
18 accessing psychological treatment for medium to high risk male young men serving a prison
19 sentence in the UK. Most other forensic research in this area has used adult offenders,
20 qualitative methodology and has been largely carried out in the USA.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Half of the participants in the current study were young BME males ($n=64$) which is
29 more reflective of the UK prison population than any previous UK study investigating
30 barriers to accessing treatment in offender populations. Furthermore, it was well powered for
31 the main hypotheses and managed to recruit the 128 participants required to achieve a
32 medium effect size of 0.5.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 The use of the newly developed BATP measure allowed participants to respond to a
41 list of 32 treatment barriers. The BATP measure as a whole and the treatment stigma subscale
42 were found to have high levels of internal consistency. The present study also examined
43 actual behaviour in terms of treatment engagement rather than using hypothetical scenarios or
44 examining intentions to engage in treatment in the future. This is likely to have enhanced the
45 validity of the findings.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 55 ***Limitations of current study*** 56

57 It should also be acknowledged the study had a number of limitations. Firstly, it was
58 limited due to the self-report methodology used. Both the BATP measure and CORE-OM
59
60

1
2
3 measure could have been affected by social desirability bias or response bias (e.g. ‘mid-point’
4 responding; Furnham & Henderson, 1982) which are limitations of all self-report measures.
5
6 These self-report biases are particularly relevant to hold in mind when conducting research in
7
8 a prison with a disempowered group of participants who may be fearful of the consequences
9
10 of giving truthful answers.
11
12
13
14

15 The average CORE-OM score fell in the ‘mild range’ despite there being many clear
16 indicators of high levels of psychological distress being present. For example, 50% of
17 participants living on the vulnerable prisoner wing, taking prescribed medication for mood
18 difficulties or being on an ‘‘Assessment, Care in Custody and Teamwork’’ (ACCT) plan³.
19
20 The CORE-OM was perhaps not a sensitive enough measure for this population, who are
21 particularly reluctant to describe their thoughts and feelings, future research could explore
22 this further.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 There were some limitations with the sample in this study. The participants were
33 homogenous in terms of age, risk level and gender. However, there were other potentially
34 confounding variables such as sentence length and treatment history that were not controlled
35 for and could have affected the results. Due to the nature of the prison, there was also a
36 higher proportion that had committed sexual offences compared to other young offender
37 prisons. Furthermore, the cross-sectional design of the study means that no conclusions about
38 causality can be drawn.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 ***Future research directions***

50
51 Future research could use a longitudinal design and explore additional variables such
52 as index offence, sentence length and treatment history to see whether they have any impact
53
54
55
56
57

58 ³ ACCT plans are commenced in prison following incidents of, or concerns about, self-harm and suicidal intent.
59 The process is followed and reviewed until the risks have reduced.
60

1
2
3 on engagement in treatment. It would also be beneficial to use an alternative measure of
4
5 psychological distress.
6
7

8 Within the BME participants there was a subgroup of BME young men who despite
9
10 having equal levels of psychological distress and living in the same environment as other
11
12 BME young men, face additional psychological, structural and social barriers to accessing
13
14 treatment. Future research should endeavour to explore why these BME young men face
15
16 more barriers to accessing care than other BME young men. It will also be important to
17
18 explore what may help facilitate access to psychological treatment for this particular group.
19
20
21
22
23 The concern about conflict with other prisoners acting as a barrier to seeking help also
24
25 requires further investigation.
26
27

28 ***Clinical implications***

29
30
31 The results of this study suggest treatment related stigma is not a primary barrier to
32
33 accessing treatment in prison for young offenders. It seems internal beliefs, for example
34
35 about not needing treatment, were more problematic barriers than perceived stigma.
36
37 Interventions targeted at changing offenders' attitudes towards treatment may help to
38
39 facilitate access.
40
41
42

43 The results from this study also suggest there were many environmental barriers
44
45 preventing young men from engaging in treatment, such as not knowing where to get help or
46
47 having to wait a long time for help. The onus here would be on services within prisons to
48
49 conduct more assertive outreach work to identify prisoners in need of psychological support
50
51 and provide more literature on the residential wings explaining how to seek help in the prison
52
53 environment. It would also be useful to help increase young offenders sense of control over
54
55 seeking and engaging in treatment, for example allowing self-referrals and clear
56
57 communications about how to do this.
58
59
60

Conclusions

This study has been able to address a significant gap in the clinical forensic literature and demonstrate that negative attitudes relating to psychological treatment and environmental barriers can hinder access to evidence based psychological treatments for male young offenders. It is hoped these novel findings, in addition to the recommended future research, will increase understanding of the barriers to accessing psychological treatment for young men in prison and lead the way for the development of interventions to facilitate access for this marginalised population.

Reference List

- Abram, K. M., Paskar, L. D., Washburn, J. J., & Teplin, L. A. (2008). Perceived barriers to mental health services among youths in detention. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 47(3), 301-308.
- Brookes, M., Glynn, M., & Wilson, D. (2012). Black men, therapeutic communities and HMP Grendon. *Therapeutic Communities: The International Journal of Therapeutic Communities*, 33(1), 16-26.
- Bulten, E., Nijman, H., & van der Staak, C. (2009). Psychological Predictors of Help Needs in Male Dutch Prisoners. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 8(2), 71-80.
- Chitaseban, P., Kroll, L., Bailey, S., Kenning, C., Sneider, S., MacDONALD, W. E. N. D. Y., & Theodosiou, L. (2006). Mental health needs of young offenders in custody and in the community. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 188(6), 534-540.
- Clement, S., Brohan, E., Jeffery, D., Henderson, C., Hatch, S. L., & Thornicroft, G. (2012). Development and psychometric properties of the Barriers to Access to Care Evaluation scale (BACE) related to people with mental ill health. *BMC psychiatry*, 12(1), 36.
- Coid J., Petruckevitch, A.N.N., Bebbington, P., Brugha, T., Bhugra, D., Jenkins, R., & Singleton, N. (2002). Ethnic differences in prisoners. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 181(6), 481-487.
- Craissati, J., & Beech, A. (2001). Attrition in a community treatment program for child sexual abusers. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 16(3), 205-221.
- Deane, F. P., Skogstad, P., & Williams, M. W. (1999). Impact of attitudes, ethnicity and quality of prior therapy on New Zealand male prisoners' intentions to seek professional psychological help. *International Journal for the Advancement of Counselling*, 21(1), 55-67.
- Diamond, P. M., Magaletta, P. R., Harzke, A. J., & Baxter, J. (2008). Who requests psychological services upon admission to prison?. *Psychological Services*, 5(2), 97-107.

- 1
2
3 Durrah, D.A. (2013) *Understanding African American Male Inmates' Decisions to Seek*
4 *Mental Health Treatment While Incarcerated* (Doctoral Dissertation, Marquette
5 University) Retrieved from: http://epublications.marquette.edu/dissertations_mu/300
6
7
8
9 Fazel, S., Doll, H., & Långström, N. (2008). Mental disorders among adolescents in juvenile
10 detention and correctional facilities: a systematic review and metaregression analysis
11 of 25 surveys. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*,
12 *47*(9), 1010-1019.
13
14
15
16 Furnham, A., & Henderson, M. (1982). The good, the bad and the mad: Response bias in
17 self-report measures. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *3*(3), 311–32.
18
19
20
21 Hagell, A. (2002). The mental health of young offenders: Bright futures working with
22 vulnerable young people, *The Mental Health Foundation*, 1-38.
23
24
25 Hines-Martin, V., Malone, M., Kim, S., & Brown-Piper, A. (2003). Barriers to mental
26 health care access in an African American population. *Issues in Mental Health*
27 *Nursing*, *24*(3), 237-256.
28
29
30
31 Her Majesties Inspectorate of Prisons. (2007). *The mental health of prisoners: A thematic*
32 *review of the care and support of prisoners with mental health needs*. London:
33 HM Inspectorate of Prisons. Retrieved from: www.justiceinspectors.gov.uk
34
35
36
37 Howerton, A., Byng, R., Campbell, J., Hess, D., Owens, C., & Aitken, P. (2007).
38 Understanding help seeking behaviour among male offenders: qualitative interview
39 study. *British Medical Journal*, *334*(7588), 303- 334.
40
41
42
43 Lader, D., Singleton, N., & Meltzer, H. (2000). *Psychiatric morbidity among young offenders*
44 *in England and Wales*. Retrieved from: www.ons.gov.uk
45
46
47
48 Memon, A., Taylor, K., Mohebati, L. M., Sundin, J., Cooper, M., Scanlon, T., & de Visser,
49 R. (2016). Perceived barriers to accessing mental health services among black and
50 minority ethnic (BME) communities: a qualitative study in Southeast England.
51 *BMJ open*, *6*(11), e012337.
52
53
54
55 Ministry of Justice (2015). *Working with Personality Disordered Offenders: A*
56 *Practitioners Guide*. London: Ministry of Justice.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Minoudis, P., Shaw, J., Bannerman, A., & Craissati, J. (2012). Identifying personality
4 disturbance in a London probation sample. *Probation Journal*, 59(1), 23-38.
5
6
7 Mishra, S. I., Lucksted, A., Gioia, D., Barnet, B., & Baquet, C. R. (2009). Needs and
8 preferences for receiving mental health information in an African American focus
9 group sample. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 45(2), 117-126.
10
11
12
13 Mitchell, J., & Latchford, G. (2010). Prisoner perspectives on mental health problems and
14 help-seeking. *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 21(5), 773-788.
15
16
17 Morgan, R. D., Rozycki, A. T., & Wilson, S. (2004). Inmate Perceptions of Mental
18 Health Services. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 35(4), 389-396.
19
20
21 Morgan, R. D., Steffan, J., Shaw, L. B., & Wilson, S. (2007). Needs for and barriers to
22 correctional mental health services: Inmate perceptions. *Psychiatric Services*, 58(9),
23 1181-1186.
24
25
26
27
28 National Offender Management Service (2015) *Better Outcomes for Young Adult Men*
29 *Evidence Based Commissioning Principles*, 1-18. Retrieved from: www.gov.uk
30
31
32 Nessel, M. B., Rustad, Å. B., Kjelsberg, E., Almvik, R., & Bjørngaard, J. H. (2011).
33 Health care help seeking behaviour among prisoners in Norway. *BMC health*
34 *services research*, 11(1), 301-307.
35
36
37
38 Olver, M. E., & Wong, S. (2011). Predictors of sex offender treatment dropout: Psychopathy,
39 sex offender risk, and responsivity implications. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 17(5), 457-
40 471.
41
42
43
44 Reinsmith- Meyer, C. L., Tangney, J. P., Stuewig, J., & Moore, K. E. (2014). Why do
45 some jail inmates not engage in treatment and services?. *International journal of*
46 *offender therapy and comparative criminology*, 58(8), 914-930.
47
48
49
50 Shaw, J., & Edelman, R. (2017). Personality disorder, treatment readiness and dropout
51 from treatment in three community-based cognitive skills and violence reduction
52 programmes. *Journal of Forensic Practice*, 19(4), 247-257.
53
54
55
56 Skogstad, P., Deane, F. P., & Spicer, J. (2005). Barriers to help-seeking among New Zealand
57 prison inmates. *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, 42(2), 1-24.
58
59
60

1
2
3 Skogstad, P., Deane, F. P., & Spicer, J. (2006). Social-cognitive determinants of help-seeking
4 for mental health problems among prison inmates. *Criminal Behaviour and Mental*
5 *Health, 16*(1), 43-59.
6
7

8
9 Williams, M. W., Skogstad, P., & Deane, F. P. (2001). Attitudes of male prisoners toward
10 seeking professional psychological help. *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation, 34*(2),
11 49-61.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only

Appendix 1: Development of the B ATP

- The adapted self-report scale for use in this study was called ‘Barriers to Accessing Treatment in Prison’ (B ATP). It had 32 items covering a range of potential barriers.
- Ten items covered treatment stigma (3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 14, 16, 18, 22, 24), for example ‘feeling embarrassed or ashamed’.
- Five items from the original BACE were removed as they were not applicable, for example ‘having problems with childcare while I receive mental health care.’
- Fifteen items had minor amendments to the wording (1,3,4,5,11,12,16,17,18,19,23,24,25,28,29) to make the items applicable for a prison population, for example item 27 of the BACE ‘Difficulty taking time off work’ was adapted to ‘Difficulty taking time off from prison job or education (if applicable)’.
- Fourteen items remained the same as in the original BACE (2,6,7,8,9,10,13,14,15,20,21,22,26,27), for example ‘Thinking I did not have a problem’. Finally, 3 items were added (30, 31, 32) these include ‘Lack of trust in the professionals providing care and treatment’, ‘Lack of trust in the prison system which these services are based in’ and ‘Concern about my personal safety whilst participating in a treatment programme’.
- An open-ended question was also added to allow participants to describe additional barriers not listed on the measure ‘If there are any other issues which have ever stopped, delayed or discouraged you from getting or continuing with treatment whilst in prison please describe them here’.

Barriers to Accessing Treatment in Prison (BATP)

Instructions:

Below you can see a list of things which can stop, delay or discourage people from seeking professional care or treatment whilst in prison.

By professional care, we mean help from staff such as a psychologist or counsellor. By treatment, we mean programmes and groups in both the Pathways OPD service and the HMPPS treatment programmes (e.g. TSP and RESOLVE).

Have any of these issues ever stopped, delayed or discouraged you from getting or continuing with professional care or treatment whilst in prison?

		Please circle one number on each row to indicate the answer that best suits you			
Item	Barrier	This has stopped, delayed or discouraged me NOT AT ALL	This has stopped, delayed or discouraged me A LITTLE	This has stopped, delayed or discouraged me QUITE A LOT	This has stopped, delayed or discouraged me A LOT
1	Being unsure where to go to get help	0	1	2	3
2	Wanting to solve the problem on my own	0	1	2	3
3	Concern that I might be seen as weak	0	1	2	3
4	Concern that it might harm my chances when applying for a job in prison	0	1	2	3
5	Problems with movements across the prison needed to access the services	0	1	2	3
6	Thinking the problem would get better by itself	0	1	2	3
7	Concern about what my family might think, say, do or feel	0	1	2	3
8	Feeling embarrassed or ashamed	0	1	2	3
9	Preferring to get alternative forms of care (e.g. traditional / religious healing)	0	1	2	3
10	Concern that I might be seen as 'crazy'	0	1	2	3
11	Thinking that treatment would probably not help	0	1	2	3

12	Care from my own ethnic or cultural group not being available	0	1	2	3
13	Being too unwell to ask for help	0	1	2	3
14	Concern that people I know might find out	0	1	2	3
15	Dislike of talking about my feelings, emotions or thoughts	0	1	2	3
16	Concern that people might not take me seriously if they knew I was receiving professional help	0	1	2	3
17	Concerns about the therapies or treatments available.	0	1	2	3
18	Not wanting details of my treatment or problems to be on my notes	0	1	2	3
19	Having had previous bad experiences with health care professionals	0	1	2	3
20	Preferring to get help from family or friends	0	1	2	3
21	Thinking I did not have a problem	0	1	2	3
22	Concern about what my friends might think or say or do	0	1	2	3
23	Difficulty taking time off from prison job or education (Not applicable)	0	1	2	3
24	Concern about what people on my wing might think say or do	0	1	2	3
25	Having no one who could help me access treatments	0	1	2	3
26	Having asked for help but not receiving it	0	1	2	3
27	Having asked for help but having to wait a long time before receiving it	0	1	2	3
28	Concern that staff will not understand cultural issues that are important to me	0	1	2	3
29	Concern I will be treated unfairly by staff because of my ethnic background	0	1	2	3
30	Lack of trust in professionals providing care and treatments	0	1	2	3

31	Lack of trust in prison system which these services are based in	0	1	2	3
32	Concern about my personal safety whilst participating in a treatment programme	0	1	2	3

If there are any other issues which have ever stopped, delayed or discouraged you from getting or continuing with treatment whilst in prison please describe them here:

.....

If there is anything you think would make it easier for you to access and continue with treatment whilst in prison describe it here:

.....

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire

For Peer Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Mean BATP total and CORE-OM total scores

	Whole Sample		BME Whole Sample		White Whole Sample		BME in treatment		BME no treatment		White in treatment		White no treatment	
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD
BATP. Total No. Barriers (max 32)	12.33	7.57	12.39	8.74	13.06	6.71	8.41	6.31	15.31	8.96	12.75	6.67	12.84	6.66
CORE- OM Total Clinical Score (max 40)	10.17	6.65	9.15	5.79	11.22	7.29	8.56	5.44	9.25	6.20	10.50	8.04	11.96	6.33