



## **Modern-Day Slavery? The Work-Life Conflict of Domestic Workers in Nigeria**

Journal:	<i>Gender in Management: an International Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	GM-02-2020-0054.R3
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Work-life conflict, Time-based conflict, Strain-based conflict, Domestic workers, Nigeria, Behaviour-based conflict

**Table 1 Participants' Profiles**

No.	Pseudonyms	Gender	Education	Age	No of day off per week	Years	No of hours worked per day	Sleep-in or Sleep-out
1	Emma	Female	SSCE	19	1	3	15	Sleep-in
2	Chaney	Female	SSCE	20	0	2	14	Sleep-out
3	Becky	Female	PSCE	20	1	4	12	Sleep-in
4	Fiona	Female	SSCE	22	1	4	12	Sleep-in
5	Brenda	Female	SSCE	21	0	4	13	Sleep-in
6	Debby	Female	PSCE	18	1	5	14	Sleep-in
7	Natacha	Female	PSCE	20	0	6	15	Sleep-in
8	Cathy	Female	Drop Out	21	0	7	14	Sleep-in
9	Katy	Female	Drop Out	20	0	6	12	Sleep-in
10	Pauline	Female	PSCE	20	0	4	14	Sleep-out
11	Lilly	Female	SSCE	21	1	4	14	Sleep-in
12	Marina	Female	PSCE	19	0	3	15	Sleep-in
13	Lizzy	Female	Drop Out	22	0	6	12	Sleep-in
14	Sue	Female	PSCE	23	0	6	12	Sleep-in
15	Agatha	Female	SSCE	21	1	4	13	Sleep-out
16	Ariana	Female	SSCE	21	0	3	14	Sleep-in
17	Andrea	Female	Drop Out	23	0	6	15	Sleep-in
18	Helena	Female	SSCE	19	0	2	13	Sleep-in
19	Victoria	Female	SSCE	19	1	3	14	Sleep-in
20	Ellen	Female	Drop Out	20	1	6	12	Sleep-out
21	Steph	Female	Drop Out	21	0	7	14	Sleep-in

Table 2: Key Themes and Associated Codes

Research Inquiry	Main Themes	First-order Codes	Illustrative Quotes	Conceptual and Theoretical Implications
Employee's perception of WLC	Domestic workers and the expediency of modern slavery	Job roles	I'm in charge of childcare, cooking, and general cleaning of the house (Chaney).	Time-based conflict Strain-based conflict Behaviour-based conflict
		The notion of domestic work as modern slavery	Every time I think of the way I'm being treated on the job; it feels like I'm playing out the slavery roles in the ancient slavery movies I have seen (Steph).	
	Long and unstructured working hours	Long and unregulated working hours	I do not have a regular start or finish time on this job...I am called to duty at any time even in the dead of night (Ariana).	
		Unstructured nature of job	I cannot really tell you the nature of my job because my employer keeps adding things all the time...some are rather unspeakable (Lily).	
Employer's perception of WLC	Employers' perceptions and workloads	Derogatory remarks by employers	To my employer, I am just a mere housemaid – I mean nothing to them...I feel disrespected when I'm called some derogatory names (Brenda).	
		Work overload	I experience severe stress and burnout because of the numerous tasks I am assigned as a housemaid (Katy).	

## Modern-Day Slavery? The Work-Life Conflict of Domestic Workers in Nigeria

### Abstract

**Purpose** – The trend of domestic employment thrives almost in every society. It is most common in developing countries, and Nigeria is no exception. This article examines the nature of the role of a domestic worker in Nigeria and the work-life conflict issues involved in such work.

**Design/Methodology/Approach** – This study uses a qualitative research approach to examine the nature of the role of domestic workers and the associated work-life conflict issues.

**Findings** – The findings show that the nature of the jobs of domestic workers in Nigeria gives rise to a situation of modern-day slavery in which an employee works without a formal employment contract, with little or no rights to private time. Long and unstructured working hours, employers' perceptions about domestic workers, and an enormous workload fuel and exacerbate work-life conflict among domestic workers in Nigeria.

**Research Limitations/Implications** – The extent to which the findings of this research can be generalised is constrained by the limited and selected sample of the research and the research context.

**Practical Implications** – The primacy of the employer over the employee in domestic employment means that both time and work-based conflicts continue to buffer work-life conflict if domestic workers' working hours remain unscheduled and their employers' perceptions about them remain unchanged. This invariably has a negative impact on the domestic workers' health and productivity. Therefore, domestic employment should be regulated by law, and domestic workers should be treated like other formal employees.

**Originality/Value** – This research contributes to the debates on work-life conflict by highlighting the nature of the role of domestic workers in a non-western context, Nigeria, and provides a nuanced insight into the work-life conflict issues involved in such work. The findings add conceptual thought and empirical evidence to the debate on work-life conflict.

**Keywords:** work-life conflict, time-based conflict, strain-based conflict, domestic workers, Nigeria

## Introduction

The trend of domestic employment thrives almost in every society, but it is most common in developing countries, especially in Africa (Okafor, 2009). Such employment is also witnessing a resurgence rather than a decline in Europe and other developed countries (Gamburd, 2000; Williams, 2003). The nature and scope of domestic employment vary and can include a diverse range of domestic jobs, such as cleaning; driving; cooking; gardening; and caring for children and/or elderly persons in return for financial or other benefits (Rani and Saluja, 2017). More than 85% of domestic workers in Nigeria are female (Tade and Aderinto, 2014). The typology of domestic workers (either by employment arrangement or employment status) in many societies, particularly in Nigeria, provides an insight into the nature of domestic work and the living conditions of domestic workers (Nesbitt-Ahmed, 2012).

Work-life conflict (WLC) often arises as a result of role pressures and incompatibility between an employee's work and private lives (Greenhaus and Beutell, 1985). Researchers have argued that work and employment conditions, such as job insecurity and an intense work effort, intensify the risk of WLC (e.g. Sayah and Süß, 2013) and that women are particularly susceptible to this conflict (McElwain, Korabik, and Rosin, 2005). Domestic workers are often engaged in a myriad of domestic chores. In many cases, the efforts of formal workplace professionals to achieve work-life balance (WLB) often lead to the need for domestic workers (Nesbitt-Ahmed, 2017). However, domestic workers have been classified as operating within the informal or unorganised sector, where there are little or no legal contracts that bind the employer and employee regarding working conditions (Husni and Suryani, 2018); this has led to a high propensity for WLC among domestic workers, as they find it difficult to cope with the unstructured nature of their work (Rani and Saluja, 2017).

Despite these challenges for domestic workers, specifically in Nigeria, no systematic analysis of their WLC has been performed to date. While a few studies have examined workers' WLC in Nigeria (e.g. Adisa et al., 2016; Majekodunmi, 2017), they do not focus on this under-researched group of employees. Moreover, the transferability of the results of these studies to the context of domestic workers is limited because domestic employment differs greatly in terms of the nature of the work, the legal aspects thereof, and the factors influencing individual perceptions of WLC. This study therefore examines the nature of the role of the domestic worker in Nigeria and the WLC issues involved in such work, including the factors that influence the WLC of domestic workers in Nigeria with a focus on time, strain and behaviour-based conflicts. Hence, the primary question from our research is 'what constitutes the

1  
2  
3 experiences of work-life conflict among the domestic workers in Nigeria?' To answer this  
4 question, the study further breaks the primary question into two specific questions, namely: (a)  
5 What are the elements of your work that generate work-life conflict? (b) In what way does the  
6 nature of your work and your experience as a domestic worker contribute to your WLC? (c)  
7 How do you perceive the effects of your work on your wellbeing?  
8  
9

10  
11 Furthermore, extant research has shown an increase in the number of domestic workers in  
12 Nigeria (Tade and Aderinto, 2012); therefore, our study is intended to help employers of  
13 domestic workers improve such workers' WLC; to help government and policymakers regulate  
14 the relevant sectors; and to stimulate further research about this under-researched group of  
15 workers. Although we use Nigeria as our research focus, our study is also instructive and  
16 relevant for other developing countries, especially those in Africa, because of the current  
17 increasing trend in the use of domestic workers.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

### 25 **Work-Life Balance/Conflict: A Brief Review**

26  
27 The debate regarding the need to improve employees' wellbeing seems rather unending given  
28 the various contrasting views of scholars, employers, and the government about what should  
29 constitute a good wellbeing programme for employees (Guest, 2016). Work-life balance  
30 (WLB) remains a predominant discourse in the argument for employee wellbeing (Zheng et  
31 al., 2015). According to Parkes and Langford (2008), the term 'WLB' refers to an employee's  
32 efforts towards successfully satisfying both their work and non-work-related roles so that the  
33 activities of one role do not adversely affect the other. All of the different terms used  
34 interchangeably for WLB (e.g. work-life integration, work-life enrichment, work-life  
35 facilitation) are linked to employee flexibility, which advocates employees' ability to  
36 determine how, where, and when they work (Dex and Bond, 2005; Cooke et al., 2009). Nizam  
37 and Kam (2018) identified five fundamental elements of WLB: working time, workplace  
38 arrangements, reward systems, workloads, and leave policies. However, employees' inability  
39 to successfully manage both work demands and non-work-related responsibilities often results  
40 in WLC (Morris and Madsen, 2007).  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52 WLC is an inter-role conflict in which the pressures from the work domain and the pressures  
53 from the life domain are incompatible (Greenhaus and Beutell, 1985). WLC happens when  
54 work activities interfere with an employee's personal life activities or vice versa (Adisa et al.,  
55 2016). This means that WLC is directional (Greenhaus and Parasuraman, 1999); it could start  
56 at work or at home (Frone, Yardley and Markel, 1997). In other words, WLC exists when the  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 expectations or demands from one life role inhibit an employee's ability to meet expectations  
4 or demands in another role. Greenhaus and Beutell (1985) explained that there are three  
5 categories of WLC: time-based, strain-based, and behaviour-based conflict. According to  
6 Greenhaus and Beutell (1985), the sources of WLC could be time-based, meaning that the time  
7 pressures of one role make it difficult to fulfil the demands or expectations of another role;  
8 strain-based, meaning that the strain symptoms or effects produced by one role interfere with  
9 one's ability to carry out another role; or behaviour-based, meaning that a specific pattern of  
10 in-role behaviour may be incompatible with expectations concerning behaviour in another role.  
11 Such a conflict is conceptualised in the literature as bidirectional (Greenhaus and Beutell, 1985;  
12 Frone et al., 1997). Time is a scarce resource (Gasparini, 1988) and may sometimes result in  
13 time-based WLC when time-based demands in one role affect the proportion of the time needed  
14 to be effective in other roles (Steiber, 2009). Furthermore, time-based conflicts are exacerbated  
15 with the increasing number of roles (particularly for women) resulting in an overlap between  
16 roles as roles compete for individual's limited time (Lambert et al., 2006). Behaviour-based  
17 conflict, on the other hand, is associated with the incompatibility of behaviours across different  
18 roles (Lapierre and Allen, 2012). In our current study, we examine the relationship between  
19 domestic workers' working time as well as time-based, strain-based and behaviour-based  
20 WLC, evidenced by the extent to which workers report not having enough time to fulfil their  
21 private life responsibilities.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

### 36 **Domestic Workers in Nigeria**

37  
38 Domestic workers constitute a segment of the informal sector of various world economies  
39 (International Labour Organization [ILO], 2019), where individuals or a group of individuals  
40 are hired within the households of their employers to perform menial duties in return for  
41 financial or other benefits (Rani and Saluja, 2017). According to ILO (2019), there are 66  
42 million domestic workers over the age of 15 worldwide, of which 80% are women. Historically,  
43 domestic workers are often from disadvantaged racial/ethnic groups or families that tend to  
44 provide domestic and care services to wealthier households (ILO, 2019).  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 Domestic employment is a common phenomenon in Nigeria, where children are trafficked to  
52 work as domestic workers in 'well-to-do' households in the city (Tade and Aderinto, 2012).  
53 This is also the case in all other African countries (Okafor, 2009). For example, ILO (2002)  
54 estimates that there are over 47 million children in Sub-Saharan Africa who are economically  
55 active in the labour market. This figure is higher in Africa than anywhere else in the world  
56 (Admassie, 2003). This study found that young adults (between the age of 19 and 21) are  
57  
58  
59  
60

involved in domestic employment. This corroborates the studies undertaken by UNICEF (2004, 2006) that found that around 15 million children in Nigeria were working in the informal economy. Research has revealed that the majority of Nigerian domestic workers popularly known as ‘Omo odo’<sup>1</sup> in southwest Nigeria, ‘bawa’ in northern Nigeria, or ‘nwaulo’ in eastern Nigeria are predominantly female (Akanle, Adesina and Nwaobiala, 2016; Nesbitt-Ahmed, 2017). These women are hired to undertake various household chores (such as doing the laundry; washing dishes and other kitchen utensils; general house cleaning; babysitting; and cooking) at the homes of their employers. On the other hand, their male counterparts are mostly employed as drivers, gardeners, and gatekeepers (Nesbitt-Ahmed, 2017). The majority of domestic workers in Nigeria are not well educated due to financial problems (Akanle and Ejiade, 2012). This phenomenon may be attributed to poor economic conditions, which also affect their standard of living (Ntoimo and Abanihe, 2014).

## Research Methodology

### *Data Collection*

Given the lack of empirical research on the WLC of domestic workers in Nigeria, a qualitative, interview-based method was deemed appropriate for this study (Corbin and Strauss, 2015; Creswell, 2013). The interviews were guided by a semi-structured format to gain insight into the WLC experience of domestic workers in Nigeria. Semi-structured interviews provide a flexible technique for small-scale research (Drever, 1995) and allow the interviewees to open-up about sensitive issues (Alvarez and Urla, 2002). We conducted our study in Lagos, the commercial capital of Nigeria, which has a population of more than 20 million people (World Population Review, 2019). Due to the difficulty of gaining access to domestic workers who were working in private households at the time, we had to use participant referral and snowball sampling methods (Noy, 2008). Such methods involved asking friends and relatives of domestic workers as well as domestic workers themselves to recommend another domestic worker who might be willing to participate in the study. Each new participant was asked to recommend someone who might also be willing to participate in the study.

In all, 21 out of the 43 domestic workers that we invited participated in the study. All of the participants are female between the age of 19 and 23 years old with minimal educational qualifications (see Table 1 for the profile of the participants). The participants were afraid to participate in the study for fear of their employers not being happy with their giving information

---

<sup>1</sup> ‘Omo odo’ is a term, often derogatory, used to refer to a domestic worker in Nigeria.

1  
2  
3 about the nature of their jobs, which could lead to the termination of their employment. All of  
4 the participants declined permission to record their voices during the interviews for the same  
5 reason. In this case, two research assistants were hired to take detailed notes of the interviews  
6 along with the first author. These notes were later compared to ensure they were accurate and  
7 that no information had been omitted. The participants were recruited by their respective agents  
8 for domestic employment in Lagos. The reason why there were only female participants may  
9 be that the majority of domestic workers in Nigeria (80%) are female (Essiet, 2016). In this  
10 article, we concentrated on the nature of the work of domestic workers in terms of how it has  
11 prevented or enhanced their WLC. Specifically, we asked the following questions: (a) What  
12 are the elements of your work that generate work-life conflict? (b) In what way does the nature  
13 of your work and your experience as a domestic worker contribute to your WLC? (c) How do  
14 you perceive the effects of your work on your wellbeing? These questions were open-ended,  
15 as they allowed the participants to elaborate on the nature of their domestic work; and prompted  
16 other questions in the course of the interview process.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 All the interviews were conducted in the English language. Although all of the participants had  
30 not been educated beyond the secondary-school level, they could understand and express  
31 themselves in simple English language. Most of the time, they spoke 'broken English', which  
32 was perfectly understood by the researchers and the research assistants because they are also  
33 natives of Nigeria. The participants were also permitted to speak their native language, which  
34 was immediately translated into English. According to Welch and Piekkari (2006), it is easy  
35 for an interviewer to build a rapport with an interviewee when the interview is carried out in  
36 the interviewee's native language. Furthermore, the authenticity, richness, and accuracy of the  
37 data are normally better when interviewing in the interviewee's native language than if a  
38 different language was used (Welch and Piekkari, 2006). For the translation, a meaning-based  
39 translation technique was employed (Marschan-Piekkari and Reis, 2004), according to which  
40 the original meaning of the participants takes centre stage and translation is conducted by  
41 means of paraphrasing and interpretation rather than mechanical translation, which might lead  
42 to quotations being stilted (Harzing, Koster, and Magner, 2011).  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

54 Data was collected individually, one semi-structured interview at a time (e.g. on the domestic  
55 worker's day off from work), and at locations that were convenient for the participants (public  
56 places, such as restaurants or an outside space in the employer's house). This was done so as  
57 not to disturb their work and to ensure that they felt free to respond truthfully. All of the  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 participants sought special assurances of anonymity and confidentiality, and the use of  
4 pseudonyms were guaranteed in order to avoid the risk and possibility of being identified.  
5  
6 Participants were informed of their unreserved right to refuse to answer any question that they  
7  
8 were not comfortable with or to altogether terminate their participation. No one terminated  
9  
10 their participation in the study, and all the questions that were put to them were answered in  
11  
12 detail. Each interview lasted between 60 and 90 minutes.

### 13 14 15 **Insert Table 1 about here**

16  
17 ‘Years’ refers to the number of years the individual had been engaged in domestic employment.  
18  
19 ‘SSCE’ means ‘secondary-school certificate education’. ‘PSCE’ means ‘primary-school  
20  
21 certificate education’. ‘Drop out’ means that a participant was unable to finish their secondary-  
22  
23 school education. ‘Sleep in’ means the participant lives with her employer, while ‘sleep out’  
24  
25 means the participant does not live with her employer.

### 26 27 ***Data Analysis***

28 In inductive research, theorising occurs during and after data collection (Patton, 2002).  
29  
30 Following Locke’s (2001) recommendation, we used an analytical process similar to data  
31  
32 collection using an ‘open coding’ technique (Strauss and Corbin, 1998). We meticulously  
33  
34 studied every line of the interview in order to determine what exactly had been said, and each  
35  
36 line was then labelled with an appropriate provisional code. All transcripts were coded with  
37  
38 English labels. Some codes were taken directly from the data; that is, the labels reflected the  
39  
40 participants’ exact words. For instance, participants talked about the nature of domestic  
41  
42 employment and domestic workers in Nigeria as ‘Domestic Workers: Modern Slaves’. We  
43  
44 assigned these codes to any statements directly related to them (e.g. ‘I dare not eat if I have not  
45  
46 finished my morning chores...sometimes, I eat my breakfast at 3 pm’) or information that we  
47  
48 interpreted as being related (e.g. ‘I often work in pain and sometimes through sickness. My  
49  
50 “Madam” really does not care’). Other codes were informed by the literature and marked  
51  
52 theoretical concepts reflected in our data (e.g. the statement ‘I work all the time, making it  
53  
54 difficult for me to attend to many private life activities that I would have loved to attend’). The  
55  
56 participants’ statements evidence the prevalence of time-based, strain-based and behaviour-  
57  
58 based conflicts among domestic workers.

59  
60 In the subsequent step of the analysis, we analysed the interview transcripts and identified  
recurrent themes across all transcripts, making sense of the themes and their connections,  
guided by inductive epistemology (Gerson and Horowitz, 2002). The authors each went back

1  
2  
3 and forth through the transcripts in order to nurture a holistic understanding of the phenomenon.  
4  
5 Thorough and close reading and re-reading resulted in the emergence of several related themes  
6 repeatedly highlighted by the participants. However, three overriding themes emerged:  
7 domestic workers and the expediency of modern slavery; long and unstructured working hours;  
8 and employers' perceptions and workloads. This article focuses on these three themes to  
9 examine the nature of the role of domestic workers in Nigeria and the WLC issues involved in  
10 such work.  
11  
12  
13  
14

15  
16 We constantly compared the illustrative examples, searching for similarities and differences  
17 between the participants' stories, when we coded and categorised the transcripts accordingly.  
18 We cycled back and forth between the data, codes, and categories in an iterative fashion until  
19 no new categories emerged and saturation had been achieved (Corbin and Strauss, 2015; Locke,  
20 2001). Coding was predominantly done by the first author, with the emerging categories being  
21 frequently and intensely discussed among the co-authors during the entire process of data  
22 analysis. Following the investigator triangulation procedure, we conducted an additional  
23 reliability check by having a research assistant independently code 15 transcripts. His coding  
24 was compared with the coding conducted by the first author, based on the same set of  
25 transcripts and discrepancies were not found. This helped in establishing confidence in the data  
26 analysis process.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35  
36 In order to strengthen the validity and reliability of the data, following Hayashi et al.'s (2019)  
37 validity and reliability procedures, the authors ensured descriptive validity by avoiding any  
38 distortion to the information provided by the participant. While data was transcribed verbatim,  
39 the authors also retained the original meanings of the translated language (i.e., from broken  
40 English to standard English). Interpretive validity was also ascertained by carefully capturing  
41 and interpreting the conscious processes and concepts.  
42  
43  
44  
45

### 46 **Research Findings**

47  
48 Responses to questions about the nature of the participants' work and its impact on their WLB  
49 resulted in the emergence of three major themes. The participants recounted their experiences  
50 and how the three themes gleaned from the data fuel and exacerbated their WLC.  
51  
52  
53  
54

55 **Insert Table 2 about here**

### *Domestic Workers and the Expediency of Modern Slavery*

The experiences recounted by the participants demonstrate a type of modern-day slavery in which there is no binding formal contract between domestic workers and their employers. Domestic workers have a little or no right to request for a change in the conditions of employment or redistribution of job tasks; and the employer is seen as a ‘demi-god’ whose decision is always final. The participants described the nature of their job as labour intensive. Katy commented:

My work is difficult in the sense that I have to carry out different tasks in the course of the day. For example, I wash the toilet twice a day, I prepare food for everyone in the house, prepare the kids for school, and take them to school. I will come back home to do the laundry, clean the house, go to the market to shop, and many more...it really is tedious, and that is my daily routine. I really do not have a day off because I live with my employer. I am able to talk to you now because I am on an errand to the market (Katy, 20 years old).

Emma likened her job to slavery with the notion of having no right to complain:

For me, it is slavery, but what I call voluntary slavery. I came into it voluntarily to salvage my parents’ financial situation. I wake up at 5 am every morning and do not go to bed until 11 pm at the earliest. All the hours of my day have been planned by my ‘Madam’...I am always on duty, and I dare not complain about anything, because I will lose my job. I am like my employer’s property (Emma, 19 years old).

Another participant described domestic job as a dirty job with no dignity. She commented:

It is a dirty and undignified job because I do all sorts of things, such as washing the toilet and gutter, mopping floors, and doing the laundry. I am not proud of it. I can’t even boast of my job. I work like a slave...I have been programmed to work all the time. That is the nature of the job (Andrea, 23 years old).

This finding evidences the true nature of domestic work in Nigeria, which is described as ‘modern slavery’ by the participants. Consistent with the findings of Mantouvalou (2018), the concept of modern slavery within our findings also illustrate cases of humiliation, exploitation,

1  
2  
3 and denial of choice. The finding resonates with Bales (1999, p. 3) argument that ‘slavery is  
4 not a horror safely consigned to the past’; rather, it is still very prevalent in the present time,  
5 but in a different form. Andrea, in her statement ‘being programmed to work at all times’,  
6 described the unpredictable and unstructured working hours associated with domestic work.  
7 This could be likened to late nineteenth-century indentured labour (Castles and Miller 1998).  
8 Furthermore, the responses of many participants can be linked to their experiences of WLC.  
9 For example, comments like ‘I do not have a day off’ and ‘I wake up at 5 am every morning  
10 and do not go to bed until 11 pm’ illustrate time-based conflicts, such that the increase in the  
11 number of roles (particularly job roles) results in the overlapping of roles and compete with the  
12 participants’ personal time (Lambert et al., 2006). Furthermore, the strain-based conflicts  
13 associated with the role stressors that exacerbate WLC (Adisa et al., 2016) are inherent in the  
14 participants’ comments, such as ‘it is tedious, and I find myself stressed out every day’ and  
15 ‘the job generates tension and anxiety...because I have to complete my tasks before going to  
16 bed late at night if I do not want to lose my job’. The nature of a domestic worker’s job presents  
17 debilitating implications for their WLB because their work and private life roles are  
18 incompatible, leading to behaviour-based conflicts (Lapierre and Allen, 2012).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

### 30 31 ***Long and Unstructured Working Hours***

32 The findings suggest that long and unstructured working hours trigger the participants’ WLC.  
33 The participants spend an average of 13.3 hours daily and 72 hours weekly attending to various  
34 domestic duties. Some of the participants work as much as 105 hours a week (see Table 1). A  
35 participant commented:  
36  
37  
38  
39

40  
41 I work for unbelievably long hours to look after the kids, and I am responsible  
42 for the general upkeep of the house. I cannot even start to mention what I do,  
43 because I practically do everything. I work a minimum of 15 hours daily, let  
44 me say, I work all the time, because I live with my employer, and I’m called  
45 upon whenever the need arises even at midnight...so I have no time to attend  
46 to my private life (Natacha, 20 years old).  
47  
48  
49  
50

51  
52 Emma explained that long and unstructured working hours reduce the time allocated to fulfil  
53 her private life activities:  
54

55  
56 I have not done less than 90 hours a week in the last three years...those hours  
57 are unstructured. I am like a slave who could be called and tossed around  
58 anyhow. ‘*Omo odo*’, as domestic workers are called here, are treated like  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 slaves. I normally have a day off...but I will sleep throughout because I am  
4 worn out. There is no time to hang out with friends and family (Emma, 19  
5 years old).  
6  
7

8  
9 Participants who live with their employers do not have any days off because they are often  
10 called upon to work. A participant said:

11  
12  
13 Let's just say I don't have any days off. We agreed that Sundays would be  
14 my days off. But there has been no Sunday since I started working with  
15 Madam (her employer) that I don't work...maybe not normal long working  
16 hours, but I will work for at least four to five hours. I do not have time for  
17 myself...I would have loved to spend time with my parents and sisters, but  
18 this job does not permit that (Victoria, 19 years old).  
19  
20  
21  
22

23  
24 Victoria commented on her desire to spend time with her family, but such plans are hindered  
25 due to the nature of her job. Another participant also commented on the impact of the long  
26 working hours on her health:  
27  
28

29  
30 Long working hours are a problem. I am always very tired and worn out. In  
31 fact, there are times that I am sick. Madam provides me with medication, but  
32 I will still work through my sickness. The long working hours are affecting  
33 my health (Ariana, 21 years old).  
34  
35  
36

37  
38 Lily explained the 'sleep in' and 'sleep out' dichotomy in relation to work and private live  
39 domains:  
40

41  
42 Practically, a domestic worker does not have any day off if she lives with her  
43 employer (we call that 'sleep in') because they still call you to work, even on  
44 your day off. If you don't live with them ('sleep out')...yes, you will have  
45 your day off to yourself, but trust me, you will sleep throughout the day off,  
46 because you will be dead tired (exhausted). So, [there is] no time and energy  
47 for private life role or activities (Lily, 19 years old).  
48  
49  
50  
51

52  
53 Chaney's account corroborates Lily's point on the 'sleep in' and 'sleep out' issue. Chaney  
54 commented:  
55

56  
57 I don't live with my employer. I normally resume work at 7am and close at  
58 10pm, sometimes 11pm. So, it is just a matter of getting home and crashing  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 into my bed. I don't work on Sundays, but they are sleeping days for me to  
4 recoup my lost strength and energy. For example, I slept throughout  
5 yesterday (Sunday), such that I could not even attend to a friend that visited  
6 me...I didn't know when she left (Chaney, 20 years old).  
7  
8  
9

10 This finding aligns with studies that argue that long working hours prevent employees from  
11 spending time with their families (Ammons and Markham, 2004), thereby fuelling a fierce  
12 WLC (Othman et al., 2009) and negatively affecting employees' quality and quantity of sleep  
13 (Walter, 2012). Furthermore, the greater the heavy responsibilities of domestic workers' duties,  
14 the more likely the occurrence of work-life imbalance. Consistent with the argument of  
15 Mantouvalou (2018), our findings aver that the unstructured nature of domestic workers' jobs  
16 subject them to substandard working conditions and exacerbate their WLC. For example, time-  
17 based conflict is evident in the participants' account – they work unregulated hours (e.g.  
18 working throughout the day or 13.3 hours daily [on average]). This also generates strain-based  
19 conflicts for the participants, which is evident in their account. Participants complain of  
20 'burnout', 'worn out', 'exhaustion' and 'fatigue'. This consequently hinders them from active  
21 participation in their private life responsibilities. In accordance with the study of Yucel and  
22 Latshaw (2020), our findings are relevant to the cases where the participants reported specific  
23 stressors at work that produce fatigue, tension and frustration, preventing them from effective  
24 involvement in their non-work roles.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

### 37 ***Employers' Perceptions and Workloads***

38 All the participants commented that the employers' perceptions of domestic workers in Nigeria  
39 are not only derogatory but also exacerbate their WLC. Many employers fail to acknowledge  
40 domestic workers as humans who also have private life roles and demands. For example, Lizzy,  
41 who has been working with her employer for six years, commented:  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 To them (my employers and her family), I am just like a machine that could  
48 be deployed to undertake all sorts of jobs. They don't think that I have any  
49 right to private life or to fulfil my private life obligations...I am just a  
50 common '*omo odo*' to them (Lizzy, 22 years old).  
51  
52  
53

54 The participants believe that without a change in their employers' perceptions regarding the  
55 duties of a domestic worker, the prevailing conflict between their work and private lives could  
56 remain inevitable. Some participants gave details on their views of the issue:  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The perceptions have to change, especially the employers' perceptions. To  
4 my employer, I deserve no respect, because I am a housemaid. She doesn't  
5 treat me like I'm human; for example, she once said that owns me, and she  
6 can do anything with me. To her, I am like a piece of wood...that's the  
7 perception (Helena, 19 years old).  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 The perception is that I am an '*omo odo*', and I am being treated like one. Let  
13 me tell you how '*omo odos*' are treated. They are looked down upon and are  
14 usually overused, such that they don't have time for private life. For example,  
15 I like to read and meditate, but I really don't have time to do all of that...I  
16 think all these problems will change if the perceptions change (Sue, 23 years  
17 old).  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 The issue of workload emerged within this theme. A domestic worker's workload is enormous,  
24 and it runs throughout the day and even on weekends. The participants shared their views and  
25 experiences as follows:  
26  
27

28  
29 The amount of work that I do in a day is unimaginable. I normally start at  
30 5am, and the earliest that I go to bed is 10 pm. Where do I get time for myself?  
31 I think this is informed by the perceptions. If I am being perceived as human,  
32 my workload will be humanly moderate (Steph, 21 years old).  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 Another participant mentioned:

38  
39 ...there is no pride in being a domestic worker, it is all about work, I work  
40 all the time. I hardly have time for myself (Marina, 19 years old).  
41  
42

43 The vulnerability of domestic workers could be attributed to their level of dependence on their  
44 work and employers to earn a living, support their families, and the isolated nature of their job  
45 roles. Aside from time-based and strain-based conflicts, participants' comments also highlight  
46 some boundary management issues that further exacerbate their WLC. For example, the  
47 intrusion of work into their personal lives reflects the weakness of the border between the work  
48 and non-work lives of the domestic workers, which causes WLC.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

#### 54 **Discussion and Conclusion**

55 Drawing on data from a sample of female domestic workers in Nigeria, this article examined  
56 the nature of work of domestic workers in Nigeria (whether it is the traditional 9 am - 5 pm  
57 working week) and the WLB issues therein, such as the factors that influence their WLC, with  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 a particular focus on time-based, strain-based and behaviour-based conflicts. The participants  
4 recounted an unpleasant experience, which can be likened to modern-day slavery. This study  
5 adopts Mantouvalou's (2018) concept of modern slavery as evidence of humiliation,  
6 vulnerability, exploitation, and denial of choice is inherent in the participants' accounts.  
7  
8 Participants described their job as 'voluntary slavery' in which the nature and conditions of the  
9 job were equivalent to slavery. The domestic workers' self-willingness to engage in the job  
10 was to help salvage their family's financial situation. This finding resonates with Bales (1999,  
11 p.3) argument that 'slavery is not a horror safely consigned to the past'; rather, it is still very  
12 much prevalent in the present time – but in a different form. The participants and their  
13 employers have no formal binding contract between them, which means the terms and  
14 conditions of employment are solely decided by the employers, to their advantage.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 Furthermore, the participants have little or no right to request a change in the conditions of  
24 their employment or redistribution of job tasks, and their employers are regarded as 'demi-  
25 gods', whose decisions are always final. The nature of a domestic worker's job in Nigeria is  
26 labour intensive. There is a significant level of work-based pressure placed on the participants,  
27 and it is highly related to WLC, especially strain-based conflict, which consistently contributes  
28 to work-based pressure and stress. These stressors have been found capable of producing both  
29 time-based, strain-based and behaviour-based conflicts and produce an enormous strain on  
30 employees (Greenhaus and Beutell, 1985). We therefore extend Greenhaus and Beutell's  
31 argument to a lack of a formal contract between domestic workers and their employers, which  
32 can contribute to and exacerbate time-based, strain-based and behaviour-based conflicts.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 This study also found that domestic workers in Nigeria worked unstructured and longer hours,  
42 which essentially exacerbates the participants' WLC. Participants spend an average of 13.3  
43 hours attending to various domestic duties in a day. They work a minimum of 72 hours a week,  
44 and some of them work as much as 105 hours a week. This study describes domestic workers  
45 as 'prisoners of the long working hours regime'. Their working hours are unstructured and  
46 unpredictable. This ultimately prevents them from spending time with their families and  
47 attending to other non-work activities, such as hanging out with friends and attending church  
48 services, thereby leading to severe WLC. Long, unstructured, and unpredictable working hours  
49 produce time-based and strain-based conflicts that prevent employees from attending to their  
50 non-work responsibilities (Henly and Lambert, 2014).  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Furthermore, this article tends to confirm one of the dominant themes in the WLC literature:  
4 the tensions that can occur between a person's work and personal lives. These have long been  
5 a topic of interest within organisational studies (Eby et al., 2005; Greenhaus and Beutell, 1985).  
6  
7 However, rather than helping domestic workers to reduce their WLC, employers' perceptions  
8 about domestic workers in Nigeria are pejorative and increase the time-based, strain-based and  
9 behaviour-based conflicts among them. Many employers of domestic workers treat them  
10 inhumanely, as though they have no private life roles to fulfil. A positive change in this  
11 perception will be crucial in reducing WLC among domestic workers. In addition, we found  
12 that domestic workers' workloads are enormous and often run throughout the weekdays and  
13 weekends, especially when the participant lives with their employer. Participants who live  
14 outside of the workplace also reported an inability to attend to their private life commitments  
15 as a result of tiredness. Even though some participants who sleep out are not recalled to duty  
16 on their off days, they are unable to function well in their non-work domain as a result of stress  
17 and burnout. This phenomenon ultimately produces both time-based and strain-based conflict,  
18 which often results in unidimensional WLC. The enormous workload leaves the participants  
19 with little or no time for other life roles. It has been argued that the major source of WLC is a  
20 lack of time to tend to one's private life obligations (Parcel, 1999).  
21  
22

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 Theoretically, the findings add conceptual thought by extending Greenhaus and Beutell's  
34 (1985) WLC model and adding empirical evidence to the debate on WLC. We highlight the  
35 nuances of the theory, such as employer's perceptions and employees' experiences. We argue  
36 that employers' perceptions of employees are significant in reducing or enhancing time-based,  
37 strain-based and behaviour-based conflicts. In summary, we argue that the nature of a domestic  
38 worker's role in Nigeria is tantamount to modern-day slavery and that time-based, strain-based  
39 and behaviour-based conflicts will continue to buffer WLC if domestic workers' working hours  
40 remain unscheduled and their employers' perceptions remain unchanged. Building on  
41 Greenhaus and Beutell's (1985) WLC model, we ascertain that role overload remains a critical  
42 factor that impedes the WLB of domestic workers in Nigeria.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 From a practical perspective, our study identifies the unstructured nature of domestic workers'  
52 job as the primary factor enabling WLC. The primacy of the employer over the employee in  
53 domestic employment means that both time-based and work-based conflicts continue to buffer  
54 WLC if domestic workers' working hours remain unscheduled and their employers'  
55 perceptions about them remain unchanged. This invariably has a negative impact on the  
56 domestic workers' health and productivity. Additionally, the broader societal perception and  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 stereotypical insinuations associated with domestic workers as ‘dirty workers’ and other  
4 derogatory names and remarks accorded to domestic workers in Nigeria have adverse effects  
5 on their physical and psychological wellbeing. Thus, the need to regard domestic workers as  
6 formal employees may influence employers’ perceptions and the attitude of the public towards  
7 them. We argue that such actions are more likely to improve the quality of life of Nigerian  
8 domestic workers, who at present, are at the mercy of their exploitative employers due to their  
9 need to earn a living.

10  
11 Like other studies, our research also has its limitations. The sample composition of 21  
12 participants out of the invited 43 domestic workers meant that the study is based on a smaller  
13 sample size than was anticipated. This was due to the invited participants’ fear of been  
14 identified by their employers, even though anonymity was promised. The context in which the  
15 study was undertaken presents another limitation, as different contexts might produce different  
16 results. Given these limitations, future studies may use a quantitative, mixed-method, or cross-  
17 sectional design approach to examine the WLC of domestic workers in other research  
18 environments and particularly within the Sub-Saharan African region in which the concept of  
19 WLC is relatively under-researched. Future research may also consider the implications of  
20 WLC, which might be distinct between sleep-in and sleep-out domestic workers and the coping  
21 strategies adopted by these groups of domestic workers. Furthermore, future studies may also  
22 examine the domestic workers’ voices and the activities of trade unions within the Nigerian  
23 context.

## 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 **References**

40  
41 Admassie, A. (2003), Child labour and schooling in the context of a subsistence rural economy:  
42 can they be compatible? *International Journal of Educational Development*, Vol. 23, No. 2,  
43 pp. 167–185.

44  
45 Adisa, T. A., Osabutey, E. L. C. and Gbadamosi, G. (2016), Understanding the causes and  
46 consequences of work-family conflict. *Employee Relations*, Vol. 38, No. 5, pp. 770 – 788.

47  
48 Akanle, O., Adesina, J. O. and Nwaobiala, U. R. (2016), Turbulent but I must endure in silence:  
49 Female breadwinners and survival in Southwestern Nigeria. *Journal of Asian and African*  
50 *Studies*, Vol. 53, No. 1, pp. 98-114.

51  
52 Akanle, O. and Ejiade, O. O. (2012), Traditionalism and household chores in Ibadan, Nigeria.  
53 *International Journal of Sociology of the Family*, Vol. 38, No. 2, pp. 203-224.

54  
55 Alvarez, R. and Urla, J. (2002), Tell me a good story: Using narrative analysis to examine  
56 information requirements interviews during an ERP implementation. *The DATABASE for*  
57 *Advances in Information Systems*, Vol. 33, No. 1, pp. 38-52.

1  
2  
3 Ammons, S. K, and Markham, W. T. (2004), Working At Home: Experiences of Skilled White  
4 Collar Workers. *Sociological Spectrum*, 24, 2, 191-238.

5  
6 Ammons, S. K. and Kelly, E. L. (2008), Social class and the experience of workfamily conflict  
7 during the transition to adulthood. *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development*, Vol.  
8 119, pp. 71-84.

9  
10  
11 Bales, K. (1999), New slavery in the global economy. London, University of California Press,  
12 Ltd.

13  
14  
15 Collins, S. (2007), Social workers, resilience, positive emotions and optimism. *Practice*, Vol.  
16 19, No. 4, pp. 255-269.

17  
18 Cooke, G. B., Zeytinoglu, I. U. and Mann, S. L. (2009), Weekend-based short work weeks:  
19 Peripheral work or facilitating ‘work-life balance? *Community, Work and Family*, Vol. 12, No.  
20 4, pp. 409–415.

21  
22  
23 Corbin, J. and Strauss, A. (2015), Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures  
24 for developing grounded theory (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

25  
26 Creswell, J. W. (2013), Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing among Five  
27 Approaches (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

28  
29 Deguili, F. (2007), A job with no boundaries: Home eldercare work in Italy. *European Journal*  
30 *of Women’s Studies*, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 193-207.

31  
32 Dex, S. and Bond, S. (2005), Measuring work-life balance and its covariates. *Work,*  
33 *Employment and Society*, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp. 627-637.

34  
35 Drever, E. (1995), Using semi-structured interviews in small-scale research. A teacher's guide.  
36 Edinburgh: Scottish Council for Research in Education.

37  
38 Eby, L. T., Casper, W. J., Lockwood, A., Bordeaux, C. and Brinley, A. (2005), Work and  
39 family research in IO/OB: Content analysis and review of the literature (1980-2002). *Journal*  
40 *of Vocational Behaviour*, Vol. 66, No. 1, pp. 124-197.

41  
42 Erarслан, A. B. (2009), Work-family conflict: Does educational attainment influence the  
43 amount of negative spillover? Paper presented at the 104th Annual Meeting of the American  
44 Sociological Association, 7-11 August, 2009. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED529104.pdf>

45  
46 Essiet, A. (2016), Abuse of domestic workers in Nigeria. Empower Women – Discussions.  
47 Available on [https://www.empowerwomen.org/en/community/discussions/2016/11/abuse-of-](https://www.empowerwomen.org/en/community/discussions/2016/11/abuse-of-domestic-workers-in-nigeria)  
48 [domestic-workers-in-nigeria](https://www.empowerwomen.org/en/community/discussions/2016/11/abuse-of-domestic-workers-in-nigeria). Accessed 8<sup>th</sup> February 2020.

49  
50  
51 Frone, M. R., Yardley, J. K. and Markel, K. S. (1997), Developing and testing an integrative  
52 model of the work–family interface. *Journal of Vocational Behaviour*, Vol. 50, No. 2, pp. 145–  
53 167.

54  
55  
56 Gamburd, M. (2000), The kitchen spoon’s handle: Transnationalism and Sri Lanka’s Migrant  
57 Housemaids. New York: Cornell University Press.

58  
59 Gasparini, G. (1988), Le temps et le pouvoir: Quelques jalons pour une perspective humaniste  
60 sur le temps. *Information (International Social Science Council)*, Vol. 27, No. 4, pp. 623-645.

1  
2  
3 Gerson, K. and Horowitz, R. (2002), Observation and Interviewing: Options and Choices, in  
4 T. May (ed.), (pp. 199-224), *Qualitative Research in Action*. London: Sage.

5  
6 Greenhaus, J. H. and Beutell, N. J. (1985), Sources of conflict between work and family roles.  
7 *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 10, pp. 76–88.

8  
9  
10 Greenhaus, J. H. and Parasuraman, S. (1999), Research on work, family, and gender: Current  
11 status and future direction. In G. N. Powel (Ed.), *Handbook of gender and work* (pp. 391–412).  
12 Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

13  
14 Guest, D. (2016), Promoting well-being needs a different approach to human resource  
15 management, in Chartered Institute of Personnel Management, *Moving the employee well-  
16 being agenda forward: A collection of thought pieces*, pp. 6-7. Available at  
17 [https://www.cipd.co.uk/Images/moving-employee-well-being-agenda-forward\\_2016\\_tcm18-  
18 15556.pdf](https://www.cipd.co.uk/Images/moving-employee-well-being-agenda-forward_2016_tcm18-15556.pdf). Accessed 12<sup>th</sup> February 2020.

19  
20 Hayashi Jr, P., Abib, G. and Hoppen, N. (2019), Validity in qualitative research: A processual  
21 approach. *The Qualitative Report*, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 98-112.

22  
23 Henly, J. R. and Lambert, S. J. (2014), Unpredictable work timing in retail jobs: Implications  
24 for employee work-life outcomes. *Industrial and Labour Relations Review*, Vol. 67, No. 3, pp.  
25 986 –1016.

26  
27 Husni, L. and Suryani, A. (2018), Legal protection for woman domestic workers based on the  
28 international convention. *Journal of Legal, Ethical and Regulatory Issues*, Vol. 21, No. 2, pp.  
29 1-7.

30  
31 International Labour Organization (ILO) (2019), Domestic Workers: ILO global action  
32 programme on migrant domestic workers. Available at [https://www.ilo.org/global/topics/care-  
33 economy/domestic-workers/lang--en/index.htm](https://www.ilo.org/global/topics/care-economy/domestic-workers/lang--en/index.htm). Accessed 28<sup>th</sup> July 2019.

34  
35 Lambert, C. H., Kass, S. J., Piotrowski, C. and Vodanovich, S. J. (2006), Impact factors on  
36 work-family balance: initial support for border theory. *Organization Development Journal*,  
37 Vol. 24, No. 3, pp. 64-75.

38  
39 Lاپierre, L. M. and T. D. Allen (2012), Control at work, control at home, and planning  
40 behavior: Implications for work–family conflict. *Journal of Management*, Vol. 38, pp. 1500–  
41 1516.

42  
43 Locke, K. (2001), *Grounded theory in management research*. London, Sage.

44  
45 Majekodunmi, A. E. (2017), Work-family conflict and family-work conflict as correlates of  
46 job performance among working mothers: Implications for industrial social workers. *African  
47 Journal of Social Work*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 52-62.

48  
49 Mantouvalou, V. (2018), The UK modern slavery act 2015 three years on. *The Modern Law  
50 Review*, Vol. 81, No. 6, pp. 1017-1045.

51  
52 McElwain, A. K., Korabik, K. and Rosin, H. (2005), An Examination of Gender Differences  
53 in Work-family Conflict. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*, Vol. 37, No. 4, pp. 283–  
54 298.

1  
2  
3 Morris, M.L. and Madsen, S.R. (2007), Issue overview: Advancing work-life interaction in  
4 individuals, organizations and communities. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, Vol.  
5 9, No. 4, pp. 439-454.

6  
7 Nesbitt-Ahmed, Z. (2017), It is not easy! Managing paid domestic work and unpaid care work  
8 in Lagos. Nsibidi Institute, 7 March 2017 [online]. Retrieved from  
9 <http://nsibidiinstitute.org/women-lagos-urban-planning-domestic-workers/>. Accessed 10  
10 December 2018.

11  
12  
13 Nesbitt-Ahmed, Z. (2012), Reflections on researching domestic workers in Lagos, Nigeria.  
14 London School of Economics and Political Science. Retrieved from  
15 [https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/africaatlse/2012/12/12/reflections-on-researching-domestic-workers-](https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/africaatlse/2012/12/12/reflections-on-researching-domestic-workers-in-lagos-nigeria/)  
16 [in-lagos-nigeria/](https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/africaatlse/2012/12/12/reflections-on-researching-domestic-workers-in-lagos-nigeria/). Accessed 11<sup>th</sup> December 2018.

17  
18  
19 Nizam, I. and Kam, C. (2018), The determinants of work-life balance in the event industry of  
20 Malaysia. *International Journal of Management, Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 5, No. 3,  
21 pp. 141-168.

22  
23 Noy, C. (2008), Sampling knowledge: the hermeneutics of snowball sampling in qualitative  
24 research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 327–344.

25  
26 Ntoimo, L.F.C. and Abanihe, I.U. (2014), Patriarchy and singlehood among women in Lagos,  
27 Nigeria. *Journal of Family Issues*, Vol. 35, No. 14, pp. 1980-2008.

28  
29 Okafor, E. E. (2009), The use of adolescents as domestic servants in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Journal*  
30 *of Adolescent Research*, Vol. 24, No. 2, pp. 169–193.

31  
32 Othman, N., Yusof, S. A. M. and Osman, W.R.S. (2009), A conflict between professional vs.  
33 domestic life? Understanding the use of ict in teleworking for balance in work and family units.  
34 *Computer and Information Science*, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 3-15.

35  
36  
37 Parkes, L.P. and Langford, P.H. (2008), “Work-life balance or work-life alignment? A test of  
38 the importance of work-life balance for employee engagement and intention to stay in  
39 organisations. *Journal of Management and Organisation*, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 267-284.

40  
41 Patton, M. Q. (2002), *Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA:  
42 Sage.

43  
44 Parcel, T. L. (1999), Work and family in the 21<sup>st</sup> century: Its about time. *Work & Occupation*,  
45 Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 264-274.

46  
47 Rani, E. and Saluja, R. (2017), An empirical study of working conditions and work life balance  
48 of domestic help workers in Punjab, India. *International Journal of Business Quantitative*  
49 *Economics and Applied Management Research*, Vol. 4, No. 5, pp. 39-49.

50  
51 Sayah, S. and Süß, S. (2013), Conflict between Work and Life: The Case of Contract Workers  
52 in the German IT and Media Sectors. *Management Revue* Vol. 24, No. 3, pp. 222–244.

53  
54  
55 Sok, J., Blomme, R. and Tromp, D. (2014). Positive and negative spillover from work to home:  
56 The role of organizational culture and supportive arrangements. *British Journal of*  
57 *Management*, Vol. 25, No. 3, pp. 456–472.

1  
2  
3 Steiber, N. (2009), Reported levels of time-based and strain-based conflict between work and  
4 family roles in Europe: A multilevel approach. *Social Indicators Research*, Vol. 93, No. 3, pp.  
5 469-488.  
6

7 Strauss, A. and Corbin, J. (1998), Basics of qualitative research techniques and procedures for  
8 developing grounded theory. California, Sage.  
9

10 Tade, O. and Aderinto, A. (2014), Factors underlying sex preference of domestic servants in  
11 Nigeria. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, Vol. 34, No. 7/8, pp. 511-530.  
12

13 Tade, O. and Aderinto, A. A. (2012), Factors influencing the demand for domestic servants in  
14 Oyo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Child, Youth and Family Studies*, Vol. 4, No. 1,  
15 pp. 521–545.  
16

17 United Nations International Children’s Education Fund (UNICEF). (2004), *Child labour*.  
18 Abuja, Nigeria: Author.  
19

20 United Nations International Children’s Education Fund (UNICEF). (2006), *The state of the*  
21 *world’s children, 2006: Excluded and invisible*. New York: UNICEF.  
22

23 Walter, L. (2012), Shift work, long hour weigh down nurses. EHS Today.  
24 [www.ehstoday.com/health/news/shift-work-nurse-obesity](http://www.ehstoday.com/health/news/shift-work-nurse-obesity). Accessed 21st February 2020.  
25

26 Welch, C. and Piekkari, R. (2006), Crossing language boundaries: Qualitative interviewing in  
27 international business. *Management International Review*, Vol. 46, No. 4, 417-437.  
28

29 Williams, F. (2003), Trends in Women’s Employment, Domestic Service and Female  
30 Migration: Changing and Competing Patterns of Solidarity’, (pp. 205–218) in T. Knijn and A.  
31 Komter (eds) *Solidarity between the Sexes and Generations: Transformations in Europe*.  
32 Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.  
33

34 World Population Review (2019), Lagos Population 2019. Available at  
35 <http://worldpopulationreview.com/world-cities/lagos-population/>. Accessed 29<sup>th</sup> July 2019.  
36

37 Yucel, D. and Latshaw, B. A. (2020), Spillover and crossover effects of work-family conflict  
38 among married and cohabiting couples. *Society and Mental Health*, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 35-60.  
39

40 Zheng, C., Molineux, J., Mirshekary, S. (2015), Developing individual and organisational  
41 work-life balance strategies to improve employee health and wellbeing. *Employee Relations*,  
42 Vol. 37, No. 3, pp. 354-379.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60