Using soil microbial inoculations to enhance substrate performance on 1 2 extensive green roofs 3 Chloe J. Molineux ¹, Alan C. Gange ² and Darryl J. Newport ¹ 4 5 ¹ Sustainability Research Institute, University of East London, Docklands Campus, 4-6 6 University Way, London, E16 2RD 7 ² School of Biological Sciences, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey, TW20 8 0EX 9 10 11 Abstract 12 Green roofs are increasing in popularity in the urban environment for their contribution to 13 green infrastructure; but their role for biodiversity is not often a design priority. Maximising 14 biodiversity will impact positively on ecosystem services and is therefore fundamental for 15 achieving the greatest benefits from green roofs. Extensive green roofs are lightweight 16 systems generally constructed with a specialised growing medium that tends to be biologically 17 limited and as such can be a harsh habitat for plants to thrive in. Thus, this investigation aimed 18 to enhance the soil functioning with inoculations of soil microbes to increase plant diversity, 19 *improve vegetation health/performance and maximise access to soil nutrients. Manipulations* 20 included the addition of mycorrhizal fungi and a microbial mixture ('compost tea') to green 21 roof rootzones, composed mainly of crushed brick or crushed concrete. The study revealed that 22 growing media type and depth play a vital role in the microbial ecology of green roofs, with 23 complex relationships between depth and type of substrate and the type of microbial inoculant 24 applied, with no clear pattern being observed. For bait plant measurements (heights, leaf 25 numbers, root/shoot biomass, leaf nutrients), a compost tea may have positive effects on plant 26 performance when grown in substrates of shallower depths (5.5 cm), even one year after 27 inoculums are applied. Results from the species richness surveys show that diversity was 28 significantly increased with the application of an AM fungal treatment and that overall, results 29 suggest that brick-based substrate blends are most effective for vegetation performance as 30 are deeper depths (although this varied with time). Microbial inoculations of green roof 31 habitats appeared to be sustainable; they need only be done once for benefits to still been 32 seen in subsequent years where treatments are added independently (not in combination). 33 They seem to be a novel and viable method of enhancing rooftop conditions. 34

- 35 **Keywords:** Microbial Communities; Resilience; Substrates; Nutrients; Species Richness; Sustainability.
- 36 **1.** Introduction

37 Extensive green roofs are those with a shallow rootzone – generally between 5 - 1538 cm in depth, and often fall into three main types: *Sedum* systems, wildflower systems 39 and biodiverse roofs. From an ecological perspective, biodiverse roofs that mimic 40 brownfield habitat are of great interest and importance in our urban landscapes 41 (Schadek et al., 2009). With increasing construction in our cities it is vital to create 42 wildlife spaces to mitigate associated negative effects. Biodiverse green roofs 43 therefore offer great potential, if designed appropriately (Lundholm, 2015), to offer 44 regional biodiversity at roof level (Connop et al., 2016). The issue is that many green 45 roofs are constructed with a lack of knowledge about how to maximise biodiversity 46 (Kadas 2002). Sedum systems are selected by architects for their proven hardiness to rooftop conditions (Monterusso et al., 2005) and the aesthetic value of instant 47 48 greening (Molineux et al., 2009). Biodiverse roofs are becoming more popular in cities 49 like London, however these are often extremely homogenous – with the same 50 substrate type and depth (Heim & Lundholm, 2014) over the roofs' entirety. Substrate 51 type is particularly important (Molineux et al., 2009; Graceson et al., 2014b; Bates et 52 al., 2015; Molineux et al., 2015; Eksi & Rowe 2016), as it is the main green roof 53 component that will support the vegetation. Previous studies suggest that engineered 54 substrates may be biologically limited but that microbial inoculants could be used to 55 enhance the functioning below-ground (Molineux et al., 2014; Ondoño et al., 2014; 56 Young et al., 2015). Thus a physically engineered substrate, that has considered 57 biological functionality, will underpin the success of a specified planting scheme.

58 Soil microbial communities at ground level have been well studied in many 59 habitats. These microscopic organisms, including bacteria and fungi, are vital for 60 colonization of a substrate by plants (Lavelle et al., 2006). They offer favourable 61 conditions for plants to extract limited nutrients, either by breaking down and 62 recycling dead and decaying matter, or by providing access to nutrient pools that can 63 be unexploitable (Smith and Read, 2010). One group in particular, the arbuscular 64 mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), facilitate this via hyphal networks in plant root cells (Van der 65 Heijden et al., 1998) and in doing so also increase root hair surface area allowing 66 access to water films on soil particles in times of extreme drought stress (Allen, 2009). 67 AMF comprise of about 150 known fungal species and are said to be associated with 68 around 80% of all plant species root systems (Hodge, 2000).

69 The microbial ecology of green roof habitats is beginning to receive attention 70 McGuire et al., 2013, Rumble & Gange, 2013, John, 2014, Buffam et al., 2015, however 71 little of this research links the effects of microbial communities to plant growth on 72 green roofs (Young et al., 2015) or their effects on substrate nutrient levels. Green 73 roofs can be extreme environments for many plant species; thus microbial groups 74 such as AMF could potentially provide vegetation with a better chance of survival at 75 roof level (Molineux et al., 2014). This in turn would help maintain ecosystem services, 76 like building cooling, evapotranspiration and reduction in the urban heat island effect 77 (Oberndorfer et al., 2007; Lundholm et al., 2010); as well as increased storm water 78 retention (Connop et al., 2016), carbon sequestration (Parras-Alcántara et al., 2015) 79 and urban soil security (Anaya-Romero et al., 2015).

80 The aim of the research was to determine how substrate type and depth 81 effected plant species richness and plant 'health' determined by performance 82 measurements such as heights, leaf numbers, root and shoot biomass. It also explores 83 the additions of microbial inoculants to green roof substrates and the effect this had, 84 not only on the microbial communities themselves (as described in Molineux et al., 85 2014), but also on the substrate nutrients and bait plant leaf nutrients. The main 86 research questions regarding the addition of microbial inoculations to various 87 substrate types and depths (described in methods section) were, did they (i) produce 88 larger plants (heights, leaf numbers, root and shoot biomass), (ii) increase root 89 colonisation by beneficial arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, (iii) effect leaf nutrient levels, 90 (iv) increase species diversity and (v) increase available soil nutrients?

91 92

93 **2.** Methods

94 **2.1** *Field Site*

To study the effects of substrate type and depth, an existing experimental set-up on the gift shop at London Zoo (Regents Park, London) was utilised and microbial inoculation treatments were applied. The experimental green roof is approximately 180 m² and split into 2m × 2m plots which contain various substrates at five different depths (further details in Kadas, 2007). Molineux *et al.* (2014) fully describes the additions of the microbial treatments, but in short: two substrate types (brick-based 101 and concrete-based) at two of the depths (5.5cm and 8cm) were chosen for the 102 investigation, each replicated 3 times. Substrate properties data can be found in 103 Appendix I. The existing plots were further divided into quarters, which were then 104 used for the microbial manipulation experiments. The inoculations were applied three 105 times over the summer of 2007. The treatments were a commercial arbuscular 106 mycorrhizal fungal mix (hereafter referred to as 'Fungi'), a live compost tea containing 107 bacteria and fungi (Tea), a combination of both treatments (Fungi + Tea), and finally 108 control plots where no inoculants were added (Control). Information on product 109 content is available at: http://www.symbio.co.uk/horticulture_datasheets.aspx.

- 110
- 111

112 **2.2** Bait Plants

113 Before microbial manipulation could begin, bait plants – to be used as indicators for 114 any changes in plant growth due to the addition of microorganisms – were planted 115 into the experimental plots. The bait plant species chosen was *Plantago lanceolata*; 116 as a perennial it retains some leaves over winter and re-sprouts each spring from the 117 rootstock, making the recording of growth from one year to the next possible. It is 118 strongly mycorrhizal and is often used as a model plant in field studies (e.g. Walter et 119 al. 2016). By growing the *P. lanceolata* in pumice, in a controlled temperature room, 120 the bait plant roots remained mycorrhizal-free until added to the green roof plots. 121 Colonisation of the roots could then be analysed in the different treatments, by 122 removing one bait plant from each treatment plot annually. This also allowed for the 123 collection of dry shoot and root biomass data whilst leaving the established green roof 124 P. lanceolata population undisturbed by the experiment. Four bait plants of P. 125 lanceolata were planted into each of the designated experimental plots in May 2007, 126 after three months of growth in a control temperature room at Royal Holloway 127 University. This was to ensure that at least two plants would survive for removal after 128 treatments were applied. Plants were selected for similarity in size in order for height 129 comparisons to be made, and to reduce plant phenotypic variability.

130

131 2.2.1 Plant Heights & Leaf Numbers

Plant heights and leaf numbers for the bait plants of *P. lanceolata* were recorded in November 2007, following treatments and November 2008, a year after treatments were first applied. Means taken from three replicates were used to determine any differences between the underlying substrate types (including depth) and the microbial treatments.

All samples were taken in November, so that seasonal variation in microbial biomass (Blume *et al.* 2002) was reduced as much as possible, many studies have also shown microbial biomass is increased under cool and wet conditions, thus November represented an ideal soil sampling time (Van Gestel *et al.* 1992; Arnold *et al.* 1999; Papatheodorou *et al.* 2004). November also represented the end of the growing season on our zoo green roof and therefore the plants were at their largest before the frost began to restrict their growth.

144

145 2.2.2 Dry Biomass

146 In November 2007, the first batch of bait plants were removed from the green roof 147 plots. One plant was taken from each sub-plot and taken back to the laboratory where 148 they were washed, roots stored in 70 % ethanol and leaves transferred to large paper 149 envelopes. This was then repeated with the last batch of P. lanceolata bait plants, 150 which were removed from the London Zoo green roof plots in November 2008. Plant 151 leaves were placed into labelled envelopes and dried in an oven at 60 °C for 48-72 h. 152 Once dried, each sample was placed in a weighing boat and weighed to determine 153 total dry shoot biomass for each treatment plot. Means taken from three replicates 154 were used to observe differences between the underlying substrate types (including 155 depth) and the microbial treatments.

156

157 2.2.3 AMF root colonisation

The plant roots stored in 70 % ethanol, were washed in distilled water and put into 5% potassium hydroxide and then rinsed again with distilled water. They were transferred to 1% HCl for 15mins, then placed in a simple ink stain comprising of Quink ink, 1% HCl and water in a 0.2:1:50 ratio for 1hr. The samples were then cleaned by soaking in Destain solution (glycerol:water:1%HCl in the ratio 70:24:1) for 24hrs before temporary slides could be made for mycorrhizal analysis. This method was 164 modified from (Vierheilig *et al.*, 2005). Mycorrhizal occurrence could be calculated by 165 slide scanning under the microscope at a magnification x200 as described by 166 McGonigle et al. (1990). Means taken from three replicates were used to determine 167 any differences between the underlying substrate types (including depth) and the 168 microbial treatments. Once AMF analysis completed, all the roots (including those on 169 temporary slides) were collected and subjected to the same drying technique used for 170 shoot biomass data collection (described in 2.2.2) in order to determine dry root 171 biomass.

- 172
- 173 2.2.4 Leaf Nutrient Analysis

174 Following the collection of dry shoot biomass data (as described in 2.2.2), the dried 175 bait plant leaves were ground into a fine powder using a pestle and mortar for leaf 176 nutrient analysis. Approximately 2 µg of leaf material was used for total carbon and 177 total nitrogen analysis using a Nitrogen and Carbon Soil Analyser (Flash EA1112 Series) 178 equipped with a Carbon, Hydrogen, Nitrogen and Sulphur configuration. The leaf 179 material was placed into individual tin containers and dropped by an autosampler into 180 the furnace, where total N and total C could be calculated for each plant collected. 181 Means were found for plants in each microbial treatment, with respect to underlying 182 substrate type and depth.

183

184

185 2.3 Species Diversity

The London Zoo gift shop green roof plots were monitored for plant species diversity
where both species type and individual numbers were recorded, using Blamey *et al.*(2003). Surveys took place in July 2007, after microbial treatments were added and
May 2008, one year after treatments applied.

190

191

192 **2.4** Substrate Analysis

Substrate/soil samples were also taken from each treatment plot on London Zoo gift shop green roof to determine the quantity of available nitrates from nitrogen and ammonia, potassium and phosphorus in the sub-plots. These nutrients are essential 196 for effective plant growth, so it was important to assess if the microbial treatments 197 had altered these properties of the substrate. Approximately 100 g of soil was 198 removed in November 2006 (before manipulations), November 2007 (after 199 manipulations) and November 2008 (one year following manipulations) and stored at 200 -20 °C until needed. A segmented flow analyser – Skalar Ltd, UK – comprised of SA1050 201 random access autosampler, chemistry unit SA4000, SA 853 SFA interface with a 202 digital photometer head and Flowaccess software was used to analyze all but 203 potassium nutrients. For all nutrients analysed each sample was replicated three times 204 to give a representative mean.

205

206 2.4.1 Nitrates

Substrate nitrogen was determined using a hydrazine reduction method (modified
from Henriksen & Selmer-Olsen, 1970) for nitrates and nitrites; and a Berthelot
method (modified from Rhine *et al.* 1998) for ammonia.

210 For nitrates and nitrites, 1 g substrate samples were added to 1M potassium 211 chloride in 100 ml conical flasks and placed on a shaker rack for 30 minutes. Three 212 samples of just the KCL reagent were used as control blanks. After this time each 213 sample was filtered through Whatman 25 mm GF/C paper directly into acid washed 214 tubes. These were then capped and stored at 5 °C in a fridge until needed. Reagents 215 for the Skalar SFA were also prepared ready for analysis. These included a buffer 216 solution containing potassium sodium tartrate, tri-sodium citrate and Briji 35, sodium 217 hydroxide, hydrazinium sulphate and a colour reagent containing sulphanilamide and 218 P-naphthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride. Standards were also produced to give 1, 219 2, 3, 4 and 5 ppm of sodium nitrate solution. For analysis, each sample was transferred 220 to Skalar vials and placed into an autosampler. The determination of nitrate and nitrite 221 is based on the hydrazine reduction method; which forms a highly coloured azo dye 222 measured at 540 nm.

Ammonia was also extracted from substrate samples as above, however different Skalar reagents were used for analysis. These included sodium salicylate, sodium nitroprusside, sodium dichloroisocyanurate and the same buffer solution as above. The standards were 0.4, 0.8, 1.2, 1.6 and 2 ppm of ammonium chloride solution. For analysis, each sample was transferred to Skalar vials and placed into an autosampler as with the nitrates. The procedure for the determination of ammonia is
based on the modified Berthelot reaction; after oxidation and oxidative coupling a
green coloured complex is formed and absorption measured photometrically at
660nm.

232

233 2.4.2 Phosphates

234 For phosphates, Olsen's Extractable Phosphorus in soil_method was followed 235 (modified from Watanabe & Olsen 1965), whereby 2.5 g of soil was added to 50 ml 236 Olsen's reagent in 100 ml conical flasks. The Olsen's extractant is a 0.5 M sodium 237 bicarbonate solution with pH of 8.5. The samples were placed on a shaker rack for 30 238 minutes along with three blanks of just the Olsen's reagent as control samples. After 239 this time each sample was filtered through Whatman 25 mm GF/C paper directly into 240 acid washed tubes. These were then capped and stored at 5 °C in a fridge until needed. 241 To determine phosphorous content, the following reagents were also prepared: 242 ammonium molybdate (1.2 % m/V), ascorbic acid solution and 1.5 M sulphuric acid 243 along with standards of 0, 1, 2, 4, 6 and 8 ppm potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate. 244 Before analysis, 2.5 ml samples were combined with 0.5 ml sulphuric acid, 10 ml 245 ammonium molybdate and 2.5 ml ascorbic acid solutions and allowed to stand for 30 246 minutes. The automated procedure is based on a reaction that produces an intensely 247 blue coloured complex, with absorbency read at 880 nm.

248

249 2.4.3 Potassium

Finally potassium was extracted from substrates based on the Ammonium Acetate (pH 7.0) method (modified from Simard, 1993); whereby 2.5 g of soil was added to 63 ml of ammonium acetate (pH 7) solution. Three blanks to be used as controls containing only the ammonium acetate were also produced. Samples were then placed onto a shaker for 1h then filtered as described above. They were stored at 5 °C in a fridge until needed. Potassium was analysed using a flame photometer with standards of 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 ppm of the potassium stock solution.

257

258

259 2.5 Statistical Analysis

260 Plant performance measurements and leaf and soil nutrients analysis were examined 261 using a split-plot multiple analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Zar, 2005) to determine 262 differences between the factors: substrate type, substrate depth and microbial 263 treatment in the years 2007 and 2008. This analysis allowed for interactions between 264 treatments and underlying substrate types and depths to be explored. Data that were 265 not normally distributed were transformed with square roots or logarithms. Means 266 were separated with a Tukey's HSD post hoc test (Fowler et al., 1998). All analyses 267 were conducted using the statistical package UNISTAT[®].

268

269

270 **3. Results**

271 **3.1** Bait Plants

The following data obtained for bait plant performance have been displayed in relation to statistically significant results. Where the microbial treatments did not have an effect on a particular plant measurement, data has been graphed according to underlying variables, such as substrate type and substrate depth irrespective of treatment. Data are displayed in respect to 2007, after microbial treatments applied and 2008, one year after treatments were first added.

278

279 3.1.1 Plant Heights

280 Figure 1a shows the effect of substrate type and depth (irrespective of treatment) on 281 plant heights over the study period. Plantago lanceolata bait plants on London Zoo 282 gift shop green roof were considerably taller in 2007 than they were in 2008 ($F_{1,66}$ = 283 36.98, P < 0.01). Substrate depth was also a significant factor affecting how tall plants 284 grew ($F_{1,66}$ = 9.77, P <0.01), and there were interactions between the substrate type 285 and depth ($F_{1,66}$ = 4.56, P <0.05). Plants in concrete-based substrate at 5.5cm depth 286 were similar in height over the two years whilst those in brick-based substrate at 8 cm 287 depth were considerably taller in 2007 and remained so in 2008 ($F_{1,66}$ = 5.66, P < 0.05). 288 These interactions mean that the choice of substrate composition for a green roof is 289 vital, as plant performance can change with varying depths.

Figure 1b shows that in 2007 the addition of AM fungi produced the largest increase in heights ($F_{1,66}$ = 4.20, P <0.05). However by 2008, a year after inoculations took place, all heights were reduced to similar levels with no significance found between treatments. Furthermore, the AM fungi treatment and the compost tea treatment were not additive as predicted, instead there was a significant interaction between the two products used in combination ($F_{1,66} = 3.82$, P <0.05). This is shown by fungi + tea bars being similar in size to all other treatments.

297

298 3.1.2 Leaf Numbers

As with the data for plant heights, there were decreased leaf numbers from *P*. *lanceolata* bait plants in 2008 ($F_{1,66} = 7.39$, P <0.05) following one year without any microbial treatments, Figure 1c & 1d. Figure 1c shows leaf numbers were affected by substrate depth ($F_{1,66} = 8.99$, P <0.01), where plants in concrete-based substrate at 5.5 cm depths had almost twice as many leaves as those in 8 cm plots in 2008.

The addition of treatments (Figure 1d) AM fungi and compost tea, appeared to increase leaf numbers compared to controls but this was not statistically significant. Likewise there was no additive benefit when the two treatments were used in combination, instead there was a significant interaction between AM fungi and compost tea products ($F_{1,66} = 6.68$, P <0.01), suggesting antagonism between the microbial species applied.

310

311 3.1.3 Root & Shoot Biomass

312 Dry shoot biomass (Figure 2a) and dry root biomass (Figure 2b) of *P. lanceolata* plants 313 were both lower in 2008 compared to 2007 ($F_{1,66}$ = 5.71, P = 0.07 and $F_{1,66}$ = 11.09, P 314 <0.05 respectively). Yet, the addition of the AM fungi treatment appeared to increased 315 root biomass slightly ($F_{1,66}$ = 3.32, P = 0.07) compared to other treatment plots and 316 control, regardless of year. Root biomass was also affected by underlying substrate 317 depth, where overall 8 cm plots allowed roots to become larger, thus increasing 318 biomass ($F_{1,66}$ = 4.58, P <0.05). In 2007 (Figure 2c) the tea treated plots showed the 319 opposite trend, where substrates that were 5.5 cm deep, contained plants with a 320 larger total plant biomass compared to plots that were 8 cm deep. However by 2008 321 (Figure 2d), there was little difference in biomass between either substrate depths 322 where the tea treatment was applied.

324 3.1.4 AMF root colonisation

325 Figure 3 shows the levels of colonisation in relation to treatments applied in both years, 326 as well as the percentage of vesicles and arbuscules encountered. From 2007 to 2008 327 there was a considerable ($F_{1,58}$ = 8.46, P < 0.05) increase in arbuscular occurrence in 328 bait plant roots. In 2007, plants from tea treated plots contained approximately four 329 times more AM fungal root colonisation compared to plants from control plots, where 330 both arbuscules ($F_{1,58}$ = 6.69, P <0.01) and vesicles ($F_{1,58}$ = 11.88, P <0.001) were 331 significantly increased. The ratios of arbuscules and vesicles observed also shifted 332 from 2007 to 2008. In 2007 most plots contained more vesicles than arbuscules, 333 except for the tea treated plots, which contained even amounts of each. Yet in 2008 the opposite was true, ratios were more in favour of vesicles where treated with 334 335 compost tea; for all other treatments, there was an even divide between the vesicle 336 and arbuscular structures.

Furthermore, interactions occurred for arbuscules ($F_{1,58} = 6.16$, P <0.01) and vesicles ($F_{1,58} = 5.14$, P <0.05) where compost tea and AM fungi treatments were added together (irrespective of year), resulting in an antagonistic effect rather than the additive one that would have been expected.

341

342 3.1.5 Leaf Nutrient Analysis

Figure 4 shows the nutrient content of bait plant shoots after microbial treatments were applied to London Zoo green roof experimental plots in 2007. Data from 2008 have not been displayed as they were very similar to 2007 and year was not a significant factor affecting either leaf nitrogen or leaf carbon.

347 Figure 4a shows the nitrogen percentage content of shoots. The combination 348 of the fungi and tea treatments increased nitrogen content in the brick-based 349 substrate (additive effect), but reduced nitrogen content in shoots from the concrete-350 based substrate (antagonistic effect). Therefore there was a significant three-way 351 interaction ($F_{1,63}$ = 6.16, P <0.01) between the substrate type and the fungi and tea 352 treatments; whilst individually the fungi treatment ($F_{1,63} = 0.40$, P = 0.52) and tea 353 treatment ($F_{1,63}$ = 2.11, P = 0.15) were not significant factors effecting nitrogen in 354 leaves.

Figure 4b shows leaf carbon in bait plants taken from the London Zoo experimental plots in 2007. There was a significant three-way interaction ($F_{1,63} = 3.71$, P <0.05) between substrate depth and the fungal and tea inoculants; meaning that where treatments were applied to deeper substrate plots (8 cm), plants contained larger quantities of leaf carbon compared to plants grown in shallower plots (5.5 cm).

361

362 **3.2** Species Diversity

363 The plant surveys conducted in the summer months of 2007 and 2008 indicated that 364 there was increased plant species diversity ($F_{1,66}$ = 4.91, P < 0.05) with the addition of 365 the AM fungi treatment (Figure 5a). Figure 5b shows differences between species 366 richness in the substrate types over the three years where treatments (sub-plots) have 367 been combined to give means for each experimental plot. Results have also shown 368 that the type and depth of substrate play an important role in determining how many 369 plant species are supported on a green roof. Brick-based substrates supported more 370 plant species than the concrete-based substrate ($F_{1,66} = 4.91$, P < 0.05) whilst there was 371 also an interaction between the year and substrate depth ($F_{1,66}$ = 12.66, P <0.001). In 372 2007, deeper substrates contained more plant species, whilst in 2008 the reverse was 373 true, with shallower substrates (depths of 5.5 cm) becoming more species rich.

374 375

376 3.3 Substrate Analysis

377 3.3.1 Nitrates

378 The nitrate and ammonium levels in substrate samples from London Zoo experimental 379 site were combined to give the total amount of nitrogen available in the soil for plant 380 acquisition (Table 1). There was a significant interaction between substrate type and 381 year ($F_{1,51}$ = 4.51, P <0.05); where brick-based substrates contained larger quantities 382 of available nitrogen in 2007 compared to concrete-based substrates in the same year. 383 By 2008, there was little difference in available N levels between the two substrate 384 types. Interestingly however, there were no significant effects observed with the 385 addition of microbial treatments (Appendix II in supplementary material).

387 3.3.2 Phosphates

388 The substrate phosphorus levels (Table 1) were higher in 2007 than in 2008, $F_{1,51}$ = 389 26.08, P <0.01, and this was particularly affected by underlying substrate type, $F_{1,51}$ = 390 4.90, P <0.05. In 2007, brick-based substrates contained more phosphates than 391 concrete-based substrates, however by 2008, it was these substrate that held more 392 soil phosphorus. The compost tea inoculum increased quantities of available 393 phosphates in 2007, compared to 2008 ($F_{1,51}$ = 5.07, P <0.05). There were also 394 increased levels found in brick-based substrates where this treatment was applied 395 $(F_{1,51} = 4.45, P < 0.05)$. Therefore there was a significant three-way interaction between 396 the year, substrate type and compost tea treatment ($F_{1,51}$ = 4.68, P <0.05); implying 397 that in certain substrate types, the addition of compost tea may increase available 398 phosphates to plants in the year of application, but that this is not sustained unless 399 subsequent treatments are carried out.

400

401 3.3.3 Potassium

402 Finally substrate potassium levels (Table 1) were analysed from the green roof 403 experimental plots. Potassium content was significantly increased in 2008 compared 404 to 2007,, $F_{1,51}$ = 54.47, P <0.01 and thus it seems that the addition of microbial 405 treatments had a negative effect on the substrate's potassium. Furthermore, brick-406 based substrates contained slightly larger quantities of potassium in 2008 compared 407 to 2007 - where both substrate types were similar in levels. The application of 408 compost tea increased potassium in 2008 at the deepest depth of brick-based 409 substrates but decreased this in the 8 cm concrete-based substrate plots. Despite 410 microbial treatments, in 2008, levels of potassium returned to similar levels as those 411 found in the baseline data (around 17-20 mg/kg soil).

412

413 **4. Discussion**

The use of bait plants on London Zoo green roof demonstrated the possible effects of microbial inoculations on general plant performance over time. *Plantago lanceolata* appeared well suited to the green roof environment, with all planted seedlings surviving the course of the study. As a single species, it could not represent every plant response in the green roof community, however it is considered a good model to 419 measure microbial effect in other field studies (Walter et al., 2016). Results showed 420 inconsistent patterns of microbial treatment benefit, varying with underlying 421 substrate type and depth. Generally, P. lanceolata plants increased in height from 422 plots where the AM fungi treatment was applied. As arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi have 423 been shown to significantly increase the survival, establishment and growth of plants 424 with colonised roots (Koske & Gemma, 1997; Bakker et al., 2013; Miransari, 2016) and 425 are said to be key elements in nutrient-unbalanced and xeric environments (Roldan-426 Fajardo, 1994; Requena et al., 1996; Peña-Becerril et al., 2016); results suggest that 427 the fungal treatment effectively increased AMF root colonisation compared to 428 controls. Despite this, all plants were reduced in size by 2008. Substrates containing 429 75 % crushed brick at depths of 8 cm, produced plants that were considerably taller 430 than the 5.5 cm plots and any plot containing the concrete-based substrate. This was 431 probably because deeper plots retained more rainwater than shallower ones, 432 providing plants with increased access to water – essential for survival and growth 433 (Kramer & Boyer, 1995). Interestingly, plants grown in 75 % crushed concrete at 5.5 434 cm depths remained unchanged in height from 2007 to 2008, perhaps due to better 435 water storage capacity or less efficient drainage at shallower depths than the brick-436 based substrate.

437 Leaf numbers on P. lanceolata plants showed similar patterns to heights, 438 where decreases were seen from 2007 to 2008. Average rainfall (from MetOffice data) 439 in 2006 was 101.2 mm, 86.9 mm in 2007 and 67.0 mm in 2008. This suggests that the 440 application of microbial treatments were successful in increasing plant size and leaf 441 numbers in 2007 but by 2008 - when numbers decreased for all plants - reduced water 442 availability may have been a reason for these changes. Appendix I (in supplementary 443 materials) also shows that mean maximum and minimum temperatures as well as 444 average sunlight hours decreased from 2007 to 2008. Thus weather conditions in 2008 445 were colder, drier and less sunny which would account for reduced growth rates 446 overall. The interesting findings were where significant interactions between 447 underlying substrate type and depth were observed and often this produced the 448 largest changes in leaf numbers. In 2008, concrete-based substrate contained bait 449 plants with twice as many leaves when grown at 5.5 cm depths compared to those in 450 8 cm plots. Furthermore, in 2007 P. lanceolata plants in 5.5 cm plots had up to six 451 more leaves when treated with the compost tea, compared to those in 8 cm 452 substrates.

453 Overall P. lanceolata biomass - root and shoot - was decreased in 2008 454 compared to 2007 (as generally seen with all P. lanceolata performance data). In 2007 455 the total biomass of plants grown in 5.5 cm deep substrates were significant larger 456 where the compost tea treatment was added and in 8 cm deep substrates where the 457 AM fungi treatment was applied. By 2008 however, there was little difference 458 between the total biomass in plants from either substrate depths or with microbial 459 innoculation. The reduction in 2008, as with bait plant heights and leaf numbers, was 460 therefore likely due to abiotic factors as discussed above. The soil nutrients could also 461 have been a contributing factor, which is also addressed further on.

462 Bait plant root colonisation by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi increased 463 significantly from 2007 to 2008. After microbial inoculants were applied, experimental 464 plots treated with compost tea increased in mycorrhizal occurrence from 5 % 465 colonisation (in control plots) to approximately 25 % colonisation. However by 2008, 466 colonisation levels in the control plots had increased to over 20 % whilst the fungi and 467 tea treated areas were noticeably higher at over 30 % colonisation. Controls seem to 468 have naturally increased in the substrates at this time, perhaps due to natural 469 processes The structures of AM fungi found within plant roots are important in 470 determining how it is functioning within the substratum (Klironomos et al., 2004). In 471 2007, vesicles were observed more frequently than arbuscules in control plots and 472 fungi treated plots. Vesicles are storage structures whilst arbuscules are sites of 473 symbiotic nutrient exchange, and as such are thought to be more indicative of active 474 functioning (Klironomos et al., 2004). Therefore these results imply that the 475 mycorrhiza may have been stressed and not that active within the host bait plants 476 (Duckmanton & Widden, 1994; Titus & Leps, 2000; Wearn, 2006) until 2008, where 477 there was an increase in arbuscules.

Even though colonisation increases were recorded, the microbial treatments often had small effects on plant performance measurements, with other parameters such as underlying substrate type and depth being the most significant variables. Therefore it appears that plants are not exploiting the usually beneficial root AMF. Reasons for this could be because nutrients such as phosphorus (Koide, 1991), are so 483 limiting on a green roof, that the fungi are not helping plants gain any more than they 484 could without the symbionts. It has been said that optimal phosphorus levels, for AMF 485 to produce the greatest benefits to host plants is approximately 50 ppm (Swift et al., 486 1979; Schubert & Hayman, 1986; Smith & Read, 1997) but the exceedingly low (< 5 487 ppm) plant phosphates from this study (see Table 1) suggest that green roof 488 substrates are extremely P limited. This probably means that, regardless of increased 489 AM fungi colonisation, mycorrhizae are ineffective in these environments. The use of 490 alternative aggregates in green roof growing media could provide more favourable 491 conditions for both plants and AM fungi. Molineux *et al.*, (2009) found that clay pellet 492 substrates contained five times more phosphorus pentoxide – a common form of P in 493 many fertilizers (Bridger et al., 1953) - compared to red brick (contained in the 494 substrates on London Zoo green roof). This suggests that aggregates produced from 495 recycled waste materials, such as sewage sludge (Debosz et al., 2002), may provide a 496 source of potential phosphates that could be released in rainwater leachates.

497 An alternative explanation for these results may be that once the mycorrhiza 498 from the inoculation experiments have colonised plant roots, they could be having 499 deleterious effects on their hosts, as shown in more recent microbial studies by 500 Gadhave et al. (2016) and L. Jin et al. (2016). These studies highlight that AM Fungi 501 can cause growth depressions in plants (Johansen, 1993), particularly when growing 502 conditions are poor (i.e. in low nutrients, during drought periods). L. Jin et al. (2016) 503 propose that for AM fungal structures to grow, such as vesicles, the fungus needs to 504 obtain more photosynthetic products from the host plant, resulting in plant growth 505 depression. This would help explain why, in general, all bait plant performance 506 measurements in this investigation were reduced in 2008 compared to 2007 despite 507 the observed increase in AMF colonisation from 2007 to 2008. Furthermore, vesicles 508 were increased due to non-favourable conditions for the fungus, which would account 509 for the negative relationship between plant performance and AMF root colonisation.

Results from bait plant leaf nutrients have shown significant interactions between the substrate type, depth and microbial treatments. For leaf nitrogen, there were significantly higher levels found in plants from substrate composed of 75 % brick compared to those that were 75 % concrete, where both the fungi and tea treatments were added. Leaf carbon was also increased with the combination of AM fungi and 515 compost tea treatments, but only in the deepest substrate plots (8 cm). Increased root 516 biomass as well as higher nitrogen and carbon content of shoots, points to an 517 increased photosynthetic capacity by plants (Field & Mooney, 1986). This heightened 518 rate of photosynthesis implies that microbial treatments enhanced plant access to soil 519 nutrients, such as nitrogen and phosphorus – vital constituents of the photosynthetic 520 process (Blevins, 1999) – leading to improved plant fitness. Leaf nitrogen analysis 521 indicated that, in brick dominated media, the two microbial treatments were additive, 522 meaning that the fungi and tea treatments together resulted in higher concentrations 523 of leaf nitrogen than those from plots where just AM fungi or just compost tea was 524 applied. Conversely, in the concrete-based substrate, the two treatments were 525 competitive resulting in decreased concentrations of leaf nitrogen compared to plots 526 that were treated with just the AM fungi or just the compost tea. Possible reasons for 527 this could be substrate N and P content. As already seen, soil phosphates can vary 528 considerably with different aggregate types, and this is probably the same for soil 529 nitrogen. In the London Zoo plots, crushed brick dominated substrates may contain 530 higher N and P levels than the predominately crushed concrete ones. The applications 531 of the treatments together may have increased microbial mobilisation of phosphorus 532 and nitrogen for plant availability in the brick dominated substrates, because more 533 nutrients pools were present for symbiotic benefits to be exploited (Koide, 1991). 534 Previous microbial inoculation experiments by Requena et al., (1996) showed that leaf 535 nitrogen was increased with AMF root colonisation, and suggested this was due to an 536 increased exploration of soil nitrogen pools (Ames et al., 1984; Barea et al., 1991; 537 Azcon-Aguilar et al., 1993; Johansen et al., 1993). However, they also showed that 538 interactions between AMF and certain bacteria could lead to decreased shoot 539 nitrogen, indicating that limited P in soils could lead to antagonism between the 540 microbial groups due to resource competition. This may help explain the reduced leaf 541 nitrogen results from the concrete-based substrates.

The London Zoo green roof experimental site was originally seeded with a wildflower mix but since then, natural colonisation of the plots has occurred with the effect of increasing plant coverage and diversity (Kadas, 2007). Results from the species richness surveys showed that in 2007, the 8 cm plots supported the most plant species, correlating with previous research showing that deeper green roof substrates 547 are far more biodiverse than shallower ones (Brenneisen, 2006; Dunnett et al., 2008). 548 However, by 2008 the 5.5 cm plots became more species rich. In addition, the brick-549 based substrate was also more effective at supporting increased diversity than the 550 concrete dominated media. The applications of compost tea did not affect plant 551 diversity in the green roof plots, however the use of the AM fungi treatment 552 significantly increased species numbers where added. Many studies have shown 553 similar positive effects on plant species diversity with the presence of AMF (Grime et 554 al., 1987; Gange et al., 1993; Klironomos et al., 2000); proposing that AM fungi provide 555 hyphal links between plants allowing a more even distribution of soil nutrients – 556 reducing competition by strong plant species that usually monopolise resources.

557 Soil nutrient analyses have shown that for both nitrogen and phosphates, 558 levels were higher in brick-based substrates in 2007, whereas potassium levels were 559 not increased in this substrate until 2008. For soil P, further increases were found with 560 the applications of compost tea. This supports the discussion above, where increased 561 substrate nitrogen and phosphates would account for increases in leaf nitrogen 562 content. Overall, the levels of total available nitrogen and phosphates were similar 563 below 5 ppm, and potassium was found at levels of around 20 ppm (Table 1). These 564 levels are extremely low compared to other habitats. Wearn, (2006) found levels of 565 nitrogen and potassium in field soil (grassland area on the Royal Holloway campus) to 566 be approximately 32 ppm and 80 ppm respectively and phosphates to be found on 567 average at 20 ppm. These were considered to be very low levels (Allen, 1989; Edwards 568 et al., 1999); in fact Swift et al., (1979) stated that phosphorus levels can reach above 569 150 ppm in grasslands/pastures. Phosphates are one of the most limiting nutrients to 570 plants in soils, especially in habitats like brownfield sites (Schadek et al., 2009), shingle 571 beaches (Scott, 1960; Lee et al., 1983) and xeric Mediterranean ecosystems (Azcon-572 Aguilar et al., 1993; Requena et al., 1996). The extremely low levels found in the 573 London Zoo green roof plots would be a significant factor affecting floral growth 574 (Hinsinger, 2001).

575 Statistical analysis of data from *Plantago lanceolata* heights, leaf numbers and 576 AMF root colonisation identified significant interactions between the arbuscular 577 mycorrhizal fungi treatment and the compost tea treatment. When combined and 578 applied to the green roof plots, there was not always an additive effect as would be 579 expected, instead there was frequently competition between the two. Recent work 580 by Gadhave et al. (2016) has explored possible reasons for commercial inoculants 581 competing against each other when used in combination and there is evidence of 582 antagonism in other studies looking at the interactions between plant growth 583 promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Bethlenfalvay & 584 Linderman, 1992); as well as specific interactions between AM fungi and other soil 585 microbes (Vosatka et al., 1992; Requena et al., 1996; Saison et al., 2006; Ondoño et 586 al., 2014). These authors suggest that competition arises due to soil nutrient 587 availability – especially the phosphorus content, supporting the nutrient analysis of 588 the London Zoo experimental plots previously discussed.

- 589
- 590

591 **5. Conclusion**

592 The results indicate that the addition of microbial treatments to London Zoo green 593 roof were variable in terms of having an effect on vegetation compared to controls. 594 The interactions between the AM fungi and compost tea applications and the different 595 substrate types and varying substrate depths produced significant changes in plant 596 heights, leaf numbers, species richness, and leaf/soil nutrient contents. Yet there were 597 inconsistent patterns with regards to the 'best' substrate type and the 'most 598 appropriate' substrate depth; generally speaking brick-based media at 8 cm depths 599 were more favourable but this did vary with time as well as microbial treatment. 600 However, what was clear from most results was that 2007 data were significantly 601 different from post-treatment data from 2008. This seemed to be due to a 602 combination of variables including the microbial inoculations, soil N and P and abiotic 603 factors such as the amount of rainfall (water), mean max. and min. temperatures and 604 sunlight hours. From previously published work, the treatments do seem to have 605 long-lasting effects on the microbial communities themselves, but more research is 606 needed to determine how much benefit they provide to the green roof plants over 607 time. This short-term study shows that enhancement of soil microbial functioning can 608 have positive impacts on some plant health/performance measurements on extensive 609 biodiverse roofs and, with the right substrate, also increase plant species diversity. 610 Green roofs need to be considered as habitats, albeit those with harsh conditions for

their flora and fauna; and should therefore be engineered, not only mechanically, but biologically as well. The introduction of microbial communities through various inoculations can help to improve green roof biodiversity and future research should look at how this then boosts their role in urban green infrastructure; particularly as a provision for ecosystem services and in respect to climate change mitigation.

617

618

619 6. Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) for funding this research and to the EU FP7 project Transitioning towards Urban Resilience and Sustainability (TURAS) for post-analysis funding. Thanks also to Iñaki Valcarcel at RHUL for his expertise in nutrients analysis and ZSL London Zoo, Regents Park, for facilitating the green roof experiment.

625

626

627 **7. References**

Ames, R.N., C.P.P. Reid, and E.R. Ingham (1984). Rhizosphere bacterial population
responses to root colonization by a vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. New
Phytology, 96:555 – 563.

631

Allen, S. E. (1989). Chemical analysis of ecological analysis of ecological materials. In:
Allen, S.E. (Editor), Blackwell Scientific Publication.

634

Allen, M. F. (2009). Water relations in the mycorrhizosphere. In: Luttge, U.,
Beyschlag, W., Budel, B., Francis, D. (Eds.), Progress in Botany, vol. 70. Springer,
Berlin, Germany, 257–276.

638

Anaya-Romero, M., S. K. Abd-Elmabod, M. Muñoz-Rojas, G. Castellano, C. J. Ceacero,

640 S. Alvarez, M. Méndez, and D. De la Rosa (2015). Evaluating Soil Threats Under Climate

641 Change Scenarios in the Andalusia Region, Southern Spain. Land Degradation and

642 **Development,** 26 (5): 441-449. doi:10.1002/ldr.2363.

643 644 Azcón-Aguilar C., C. Alba, M. Montilla and J. M. Barea (1993). Isotopic (15N) evidence 645 of the use of less available N forms by VA mycorrhizas. **Symbiosis**, 15:39 – 48. 646 647 Bakker, P. A, R. L. Berendsen, R. F. Doornbos, P. C. Wintermans and C. M. Pieterse 648 (2013). The rhizosphere revisited: root microbiomics. Frontiers in Plant Science, 4:165. 649 doi:10.3389/fpls.2013.00165. 650 651 Barea, J. M., C. Azcón-Aguilar and R. Azcón, (1991). The role of vesicular-arbuscular 652 mycorrhizae in improving plant N acquisition from soil as assessed with 15N. In: IAEA-653 SM 313/67 ed. Stable Isotopes in Plant Nutrition, Soil Fertility and Environmental 654 Studies, Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency, 209 – 216. 655 656 Bates, A., J. Sadler, R. Greswell, and R. Mackay (2015). Effects of recycled aggregate 657 growth substrate on green roof vegetation development: a six year experiment. 658 Landscape and Urban Planning, 135: 22–31. 659 660 Bethlenfalvay, G. J and R. G. Linderman (1992). Mycorrhizae in sustainable agriculture. 661 Madison: ASA Special Publication. 662 663 Blamey, M., Fitter, S. R., & Fitter, A. (2003). Wild Flowers of Britain & Ireland. A. & C. 664 Black. 665 Blume, E., M. Bischoff, J. M. Reichert, T. Moorman, A. Konopka, and R. F. Turco (2002). 666 667 Surface and subsurface microbial biomass, community structure and metabolic 668 activity as a function of soil depth and season. Applied Soil Ecology, 20(3): 171-181. 669 670 Blevins, D. G. (1999). Why plants need phosphorous. Better crops, 83(2):29 – 30. 671 672 Buffam, A. P. D. I and M. E. Mitchell (2015) Nutrient cycling in green roof ecosystems. 673 In: Sutton R (ed) Green roof ecosystems, 1st edn. Springer International Publishing, 674 New York, pp. 107–137

675	
676	Bridger, G. L., D. R. Boylan, and J. W. Markey (1953). Colorimetric determination of
677	phosphorus pentoxide in fertilizers using standard calibration plot. Analytical
678	Chemistry, 25(2): 336-338.
679	
680	Brenneisen S (2006) Space for urban wildlife: designing green roofs as habitats in
681	Switzerland. Urban Habitats, 4:10
682	
683	Connop, S., Vandergert, P., Eisenberg, B., Collier, M. J., Nash, C., Clough, J., & Newport,
684	D. (2016). Renaturing cities using a regionally-focused biodiversity-led multifunctional
685	benefits approach to urban green infrastructure. Environmental Science & Policy, 62,
686	99-111.
687	
688	Debosz, Kasia, Søren O. Petersen, Liv K. Kure, and Per Ambus (2002). Evaluating effects
689	of sewage sludge and household compost on soil physical, chemical and
690	microbiological properties. Applied Soil Ecology, 19(3): 237-248.
691	
692	Duckmanton, L. and P. Widden (1994). Effect of ozone on the development of
693	vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae in sugar maple saplings. Mycologia, 181-186.
694	
695	Dunnett, N., Nagase, A., Booth, R., and Grime, P. (2008). Influence of vegetation
696	composition on runoff in two simulated green roof experiments. Urban Ecosystems
697	11:385-398.
698	
699	Edwards, G. R, M. J Crawley and M. S. Heard (1999). Factors affecting molehill
700	distribution in grassland: implications for controlling the damage caused by molehills.
701	Journal of Applied Ecology, 36:434 – 442.
702	
703	Eksi, M. & D. B. Rowe (2016). Green roof substrates: Effect of recycled crushed
704	porcelain and foamed glass on plant growth and water retention. Urban Forestry &
705	Urban Greening, 20:81-88.
706	

707	Field, C. and H. A. Mooney (1986). The photosynthesis-nitrogen relationship in wild
708	plants. In: Givnish, T. J. (ed). On the economy of plant form and function. Cambridge
709	University Press, Cambridge, 25 – 55.
710	
711	Fowler, J., Cohen, L., & Jarvis, P. (1998) Practical Statistics for Field Biology, second
712	edition. John Wiley & Sons.
713	
714	Gadhave, K. R., J. E. Hourston & A. C. Gange (2016). Developing Soil Microbial
715	Inoculants for Pest Management: Can One Have Too Much of a Good Thing? Journal
716	of chemical ecology, 42(4): 348-356.
717	
718	Gange, A. C. (1993) Translocation of mycorrhizal fungi by earthworms during early
719	succession. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 25, 1021 – 1026.
720	
721	Graceson, A., J. Monaghan, N. Hall, and M. Hare (2014b). Plant growth responses to
722	different growing media for green roofs. Ecological Engineering, 69:196–200.
723	
724	Grime, J. P., J. M. L. Mackey, S. H. Hillier and D. J. Read (1987). Floristic diversity in a
725	model system using experimental microcosms. Nature, 328:3.
726	Heim, A., and J. Lundholm (2014). The effects of substrate depth heterogeneity on
727	plant species coexistence on an extensive green roof. Ecological Engineering, 68:184-
728	188.
729	
730	Henriksen, A. A., and A. R. Selmer-Olsen (1970). Automatic methods for determining
731	nitrate and nitrite in water and soil extracts. Analyst, 95(1130): 514-518.
732	
733	Hinsinger, P. (2001). Bioavailability of soil inorganic P in the rhizosphere as affected
734	by root-induced chemical changes: a review. Plant and Soil, 237:173 – 195.
735	
736	Hodge, A. (2000) Microbial ecology of the arbuscular mycorrhiza. FEMS Microbiology
737	Ecology, 32, 91 – 96.
738	

739	Johansen, A., I. Jakobsen and E. S. Jensen (1993). External hyphae of vesicular-
740	arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with Trifolium subterraneum L. 3. Hyphal
741	transport of 32P and 15N. New Phytologist, 124:61 – 68.
742	
743	John, J., J. Lundholm and G. Kernaghan (2014). Colonization of green roof plants by
744	mycorrhizal and root endophytic fungi. Ecological Engineering, 71:651-659.
745	
746	Kadas, G. (2002). Study of invertebrates on green roofs: How roof design can
747	maximise biodiversity in an urban environment. Master of science thesis. University
748	College, London.
749	
750	Kadas, G. (2007) Can Green Roofs provide a habitat for invertebrates in an urban
751	environment? Unpublished PhD thesis. Royal Holloway University of London.
752	
753	Klironomos, J.N., McCune, J., Hart, M., Neville, J., (2000) The influence of arbuscular
754	mycorrhizae on the relationship between plant diversity and productivity. Ecology
755	Letters, 3:137–141
756	
757	Klironomos, J. N., J. McCune and P. Moutoglis (2004). Species of arbuscular
758	mycorrhizal fungi affect mycorrhizal responses to simulated herbivory. Applied Soil
759	Ecology, 26(2):133-141.
760	
761	Koide, R. T. (1991). Nutrient supply, nutrient demand and plant response to
762	mycorrhizal infection. New Phytologist, 117:365 – 386.
763	
764	Koske, R. E., and J. N. Gemma, (1997) Mycorrhizae and succession in plantings of
765	beachgrass in sand dunes. American Journal of Botany, 84, 118 – 130.
766	
767	Kramer, P. J. and J. S. Boyer (1995). Water Relations of Plants and Soils. Academic
768	Press, San Diego, USA.
769	
770	Lavelle, P., Decaens, T., Aubert, M., Barot, S., Blouin, M., Bureau, F., Margerie, P.,

- 771 Mora, P. and J. P. Rossi, (2006) Soil invertebrates and ecosystem services. **European**
- 772 **Journal of Soil Biology**, 42, S3 S15.

- Lee, J. A., R. Harmer and R. Ignaciuk (1983). Nitrogen as a limiting factor in plant
- communities. Nitrogen as an Ecological Factor (eds J. A. Lee, S. McNeil & I. H. Rorison),
- 776 Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, 95 112.
- 777
- Lundholm, J. T. (2015). Green roof plant species diversity improves ecosystem
 multifunctionality. Journal of Applied Ecology, 52(3):726-734.
- 780
- Lundholm, J., J. S. Maclvor, Z. MacDougall, M. Ranalli (2010). Plant species and
 functional group combinations affect green roof ecosystem functions. PLoS ONE, 5
 (3):e9677.
- 784
- Jin, L., Q. Wang, Q. Wang, X., Wang, and A. C. Gange (2016). Mycorrhizal-induced
 growth depression in plants. Symbiosis, 1-8.
- 787
- McGonigle, T. P., M. H. Miller, D. G. Evans, G. L. Fairchild, and J. A. Swan (1990). A new
 method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular—
 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New phytologist, 115(3): 495-501.
- 791
- McGuire, K.L., S. G. Payne, M. I. Palmer, C. M. Gillikin, D. Keefe, S. J. Kim, S. M.
 Gedallovich, J. Discenza, R. Rangamannar, J. A. Koshner, and A. L. Massmann (2013).
 Digging the New York City skyline: soil fungal communities in green roofs and city parks.
- 795 **PloS one,** 8(3):58020.
- 796
- Miransari, M. (2016). Stress and Mycorrhizal Plant. In: Recent Advances on
 Mycorrhizal Fungi, 63-79. Springer International Publishing.
- 799
- 800 Molineux, C. J., Fentiman, C. H., & Gange, A. C. (2009) Characterising alternative
- 801 recycled waste materials for use as green roof growing media in the U.K. **Ecological**
- 802 **Engineering**, 35, 1507 1513.

Molineux, C. J., S. P. Connop, and A. C. Gange. (2014). Manipulating soil microbial
communities in extensive green roof substrates. Science of the Total Environment,
493:632-638.

807

Molineux, C. J., A. C. Gange, S. P. Connop and D. J. Newport (2015). Using recycled
aggregates in green roof substrates for plant diversity. Ecological Engineering, 82:596604.

811

812 Monterusso, M. A, D. B. Rowe and C. L Rugh (2005). Establishment and persistence of

813 Sedum spp. and native taxa for green roof applications. **HortScience** 40: 391–396.

814

815 Oberndorfer, E., Lundholm, J., Bass, B., Coffman, R., Doshi, H., Dunnett, N., Gaffin, S.,

816 Köhler, M., Rowe, B., (2007) Green Roofs as urban ecosystems: ecological structures,

817 functions and services. **Bioscience**, 57, 823 – 833.

818

Ondoño, S., Bastida, F., and J. L. Moreno (2014). Microbiological and biochemical
properties of artificial substrates: A preliminary study of its application as Technosols
or as a basis in Green Roof Systems. Ecological Engineering, 70: 189-199.

822

Papatheodorou, E. M., M. D. Argyropoulou, S. J. Grayston, C. D. Campbell, R. D.
Bardgett, J. L. Mawdsley, C. D. Clegg, H. Ferris, R. C. Venette and K. M. Scow (2004).
Soil management to enhance bacterivore and fungivore nematode populations and
their nitrogen mineralisation function: The effects of large-and small-scale differences
in soil temperature and moisture on bacterial functional diversity and the community
of bacterivorous nematodes. Transport, 25(1).

829

Parras-Alcántara, L., B. Lozano-García, E. C. Brevik, and A. Cerdá (2015). Soil Organic
Carbon Stocks Assessment in Mediterranean Natural Areas: A Comparison of Entire
Soil Profiles and Soil Control Sections. Journal of Environmental Management, 155:
219-228. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.03.039.

835 Peña-Becerril, J. C., A. Monroy-Ata, M. S. Orozco-Almanza, and E. M García-Amador 836 (2016). Establishment of catclaw plants (Mimosa biuncifera Benth.) inoculated with 837 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in greenhouse and field drought conditions. Revista de 838 **Biología Tropical/International Journal of Tropical Biology and Conservation**, 64(2): 839 791-803. 840 841 Requena, N., P. Jeffries and J. M. Barea (1996). Assessment of natural mycorrhizal 842 potential in a desertified semiarid ecosystem. Applied and Environmental 843 **Microbiology**, 62:842 – 847. 844 845 Rhine, E. D., R. L. Mulvaney, E. J. Pratt, and G. K. Sims (1998). Improving the Berthelot

reaction for determining ammonium in soil extracts and water. Soil Science Society of
America Journal, 62(2): 473-480.

848

Roldan-Fajardo, B. E. (1994). Effect of indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal endophytes
on the development of six wild plants colonizing a semiarid area in south-east Spain.
New Phytologist, 127:115 – 121.

852

Rumble, H., & A. C. Gange (2013). Soil microarthropod community dynamics in
extensive green roofs. Ecological engineering, 57, 197-204.

855

Saison, C., V. Degrange, R. Oliver, P. Millard, C. Commeaux, D. Montange, and X. Le
Roux (2006). Alteration and resilience of the soil microbial community following
compost amendment: effects of compost level and compost-borne microbial
community. Environmental Microbiology, 8(2):247-257.

860

Schadek U., B. Strauss, R. Biedermann and M. Kleyer (2009) Plant species richness,
vegetation structure and soil resources of urban brownfield sites linked to
successional age. Urban Ecosystems, 12:115–126

864

Schubert, A., and D. S. Hayman (1986). Plant growth responses to vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza. New Phytologist, 103(1): 79-90.

867	
868	Scott, G. A. M. (1960). The biology of shingle beach plants with special reference to
869	the ecology of selected species. PhD thesis, University of Wales.
870	
871	Simard, R. R. (1993). Ammonium acetate-extractable elements. Soil sampling and
872	methods of analysis, 39-42.
873	
874	Smith, S. E., and D. J. Read (1997). Mycorrhizal symbiosis. Mycorrhizal symbiosis.
875	Edition 2.
876	
877	Swift, M. J., O. W. Heal and J. M. Anderson (1979). Decomposition in terrestrial
878	ecosystems, Blackwell Scientific, Oxford.
879	
880	Titus, J.H. and J. Lepš (2000). The response of arbuscular mycorrhizae to fertilization,
881	mowing, and removal of dominant species in a diverse oligotrophic wet meadow.
882	American Journal of Botany, 87(3):392-401.
883	
884	Van der Heijden M. G. A, J. N. Klironomos, M. Ursic, P. Moutoglis, R. Streitwolf-Engel,
885	and T. Boller (1998). Mycorrhizal fungal diversity determines plant biodiversity,
886	ecosystem variability and productivity. Nature, 396:72–75
887	
888	Van Gestel, M., J. N. Ladd, and M. Amato (1992). Microbial biomass responses to
889	seasonal change and imposed drying regimes at increasing depths of undisturbed
890	topsoil profiles. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 24(2): 103-111.
891	
892	Vierheilig, H., P. Schweiger, and M. Brundrett (2005). An overview of methods for the
893	detection and observation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in roots. Physiologia
894	Plantarum 125:393-404.
895	
896	Vosatka, M., M. Gryndler and Z. Prikryl (1992). Effect of the rhizosphere bacterium
897	Pseudomonas putida, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and substrate composition on the
898	growth of strawberry. Agronomie, 12:859–863.

899	
900	Walter, J., J. Kreyling, B.K. Singh and A. Jentsch (2016). Effects of extreme weather
901	events and legume presence on mycorrhization of Plantago lanceolata and Holcus
902	lanatus in the field. Plant Biology 18: 262-270.
903	
904	Watanabe, F. S., and S. R. Olsen (1965). Test of an ascorbic acid method for
905	determining phosphorus in water and NaHCO3 extracts from soil. Soil Science Society
906	of America Journal, 29 (6): 677-678.
907	
908	Wearn, J. A. (2006). Effects of above-ground herbivory on rhizosphere community
909	dynamics in lowland grasslands. PhD Thesis. Royal Holloway University of London.
910	
911	Young, T., Cameron, D.D., Phoenix, G.K (2015). Using AMF inoculum to improve the
912	nutritional status of Prunella vulgaris plants in green roof substrate during
913	establishment. Urban Forestry and Urban Greening, 14 (4): 959-967.
914	
915	Zar, J. H. (2005) Biostatistical Analysis, Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
916	
917	
918	
919	
920	
921	
922	
923	
924	
925	
926	
927	
928	
929	
930	

- 931
- 932
- 933
- 934
- 935
- 936
- 937
- 938
- 939
- 940



0

Control

Fungi

Теа

Fungi + Tea



943

945

5

0

5.5cm

8cm

Concrete-based

5.5cm

8cm

Brick-based

Figure 1. (a) Bait plant heights and (c) bait plant leaf numbers, with regards to underlying
 substrate type and depth; and (b) bait plant heights and (d) bait plant leaf numbers, with
 microbial treatments on London Zoo green roof experimental site, where: 2007 = after
 treatments and 2008 = one year after treatments applied. Bars represent means ± S.E.



950









(d

(d



964	
965	
966	
967	
968	
969	
970	
971	
972	
973	
974	
975	Figure 2. Bait plant from the treated plots on London Zoo green roof experimental site,
976	where (a) shoot biomass and (b) root biomass in grams from 2007 = after treatments and
977	2008 = one year after treatments applied, means from 12 replicates per year; and total bait
978	plant biomass with respect to underlying substrate type/depth in (c) 2007 and (d) 2008,
979	means from three replicates. Bars represent means ± S.E.
980	
981	
982	



Figure 3. Bait plant root colonisation with AM fungi, from the treated plots on London Zoo experimental site in 2007 and 2008. Bars represent both arbuscule and vesicle colonisation means ± S.E. (of total AMF colonisation).



Figure 4. Leaf nitrogen (a) and leaf carbon (b), % content in bait plant shoots from each microbial treatment in 2007. Means from three replicates, bars represent means ± S.E.
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017 (a





	Baseline				2007				2008			
	Concrete-based		Brick-based		Concrete-based		Brick-based		Concrete-based		Bri	
	5.5 cm	8 cm	5.5 cm	8 cm	5.5 cm	8 cm	5.5 cm	8 cm	5.5 cm	8 cm	5.5 cm	
F reatment Control	2.14	2.95	0.29	2.21	0.81	0.69	1.45	2.05	0.85	0.86	0.75	
rungi					1.67	0.88	0.93	0.75	0.98	0.85	0.72	
Tea					0.41	0.84	4.96	1.00	0.97	1.33	0.73	
Fungi + Tea					0.99	1.57	3.40	2.70	0.65	1.01	0.65	
Control	1.51	0.63	0.66	1.59	1.14	1.62	1.40	1.79	0.94	1.23	0.76	
Fungi					1.18	1.44	0.78	0.78	1.10	1.14	0.84	
Tea					1.58	0.82	2.77	2.41	1.15	1.09	0.77	
^F ungi + Tea					1.53	0.95	1.39	3.13	0.59	0.98	0.57	
Control	15.79	16.88	15.15	24.60	5.27	9.08	0.01	0.01	18.42	14.44	18.70	
Fungi					7.10	12.23	6.84	12.11	17.16	13.85	18.00	
Теа					12.12	4.63	6.65	17.13	14.92	11.13	18.03	
Fungi + Tea					10.43	11.00	11.12	4.97	16.78	11.61	17.61	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10	961 962 963 964 v 965 whe 966 967	Table 1. S inderlying re: Baselin	Substrate n substrate t e = before :	utrients an ype and de microbial t = one year	alysis, with epth on Lor creatments r after trea	n regards t ndon Zoo g added, 20 tments apj	o microbia reen roof e 07 = after t olied.	l treatmen experiment reatments	t and al site, and 2008			
 1068 1069 Appendix I 1070 London Zoo Substrate Properties 1071 												



replicates and bars represent means ± S.E.

Characteristic	2007	2008
Substrate Water Content (%)	34.8	32.7
Mean rainfall (mm) *	86.9	67.0
Max Temperature (°C)	15.8	15.2
Min Temperature (°C)	8.1	7.6
Sun (hours)	127.4	117.5

1091	
1092	Appendix Table 1. London Zoo substrate characteristics. Means taken from 48
1093	experimental plots.
1094	* From Heathrow weather station, 51.479, -0.449, available from Met Office data
1095	records.
1096	
1097	
1098	
1099	
1100	
1101	Appendix II: Statistical Results – ANOVA Table

	Nitrates		Phosp	hates	Potassium	
Main effects & interactions	F	Р	F	Р	F	Р
Year	1.31	0.33	26.0	<0.0	54.4	<0.0
Substrate type	2.03	0.16	8	1	7	1
Substrate depth	0.12	0.72	0.90	0.34	0.02	0.87
Fungi	0.22	0.63	2.16	0.14	0.61	0.43
Теа	1.33	0.25	1.06	0.30	1.01	0.31
			1.31	0.25	0.50	0.48
Year x Substrate type	4.1	<0.0	4.90	<0.0	3.82	=
Year x Substrate depth	5	5	0.13	5	1.30	0.05
Year x Fungi treatment	1.71	0.19	0.70	0.71	3.30	0.25
	0.42	0.52		0.40		0.07
Year x Tea treatment	1.09	0.30	5.07	<0.0	2.52	0.11
Substrate depth x Substrate type	0.06	0.80	1.44	5	0.04	0.83
Substrate depth x Fungi treatment	0.19	0.66	0.84	0.23	0.04	0.82
Substrate depth x Tea treatment	0.21	0.64	0.04	0.36	1.26	0.26
Substrate type x Fungi treatment	0.43	0.51	0.12	0.82	0.08	0.77
Substrate type x Tea treatment	1.10	0.29	4.45	0.72	1.16	0.28
Fungi treatment x Tea treatment	0.11	0.73	0.01	<0.0	0.60	0.43
				5		
				0.95		
Year x Substrate type x Substrate	1.20	0.27	0.50	0.48	0.01	0.92
depth	2.17	0.14	1.70	0.19	1.17	0.28
Year x Substrate type x Fungi						
treatment						
Year x Substrate type x Tea	2.09	0.15	4.68	<0.0	0.49	0.48
treatment	0.01	0.99	0.18	5	0.01	0.94
Year x Substrate depth x Fungi	0.19	0.66	0.37	0.66	4.44	<0.0
treatment	0.94	0.33	0.64	0.54	2.48	5
Year x Substrate depth x Tea				0.42		0.12
treatment						
Year x Fungi treatment x Tea						
treatment						
Substrate Type x Substrate Depth x	0.74	0.39	0.54	0.46	1.19	0.28
Fungi treatment						
Substrate type x Substrate depth x	2.18	0.15	1.57	0.21	0.53	0.46
Tea treatment						
Substrate type x Fungi treatment x	0.60	0.44	0.43	0.51	3.21	0.07
Tea treatment	0.97	0.32	2.84	0.09	0.33	0.56
Substrate depth x Fungi treatment x						
Tea treatment						

- 1102 Appendix Table 2. ANOVA results for main effects and interactions with London
- 1103 Zoo substrate nutrients. Showing the *F* statistic and probability value. Degrees of
- 1104 freedom = 1, 51. Significant results highlighted in **bold**.
- 1105
- 1106