# How to achieve the 'Leave No One Behind' pledge of the SDGs in Newham and Tower Hamlets, East London

Key words: SDG London (Newham, Tower Hamlets), Capability Deprivation, Multidimensional Poverty

## 1: Introduction

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in 2015 became the United Nations' global framework for addressing the root causes of poverty and the universal need for development that leaves no one behind. These 17 global goals with 169 indicators are shaped by the five Ps – people: to end poverty and ensure the fulfilment of human potential with dignity, equality and in a healthy environment for all; planet: to support the needs of the present and future generations; prosperity: to ensure all human beings enjoy prosperous and fulfilling lives with progress in all domains in harmony with nature; peace: to foster peaceful, just, and inclusive societies which are essential for sustainable development; partnerships: a spirit of strengthened global solidarity (Brown and Rasmussen, 2019). These five Ps highlight the closely entwined relationship between the SDGs such that change in one SDG is likely to affect several SDGs. Fulfilment of human potential through education influences the health and prosperity of individuals and societies. Further, the five Ps of the SDG framework demonstrate the multidimensionality of global development from fulfilment of human potential, respect for nature, societal inclusion and both macro and micro partnerships.

Using the Capability Approach (CA) lens, the five Ps emphasise the people centred approach to achieving human wellbeing with its interconnected economic and social domains that are the key constituents of the SDGs. The 17 SDGs then can be viewed as a set of real opportunities, or the capabilities considered to improve the lives of all global citizens. The framing of the SDGs is intended to make these 17 goals and the 169 targets globally applicable with the necessary contextualisation so as to 'leave no one behind'. But how can the SDGs be instrumental in achieving its 'leave no one behind' pledge?

This paper investigates what might be needed to operationalise the 'leave no one behind' pledge of the SDGs. The pledge draws attention to reaching the most marginalised communities and people wherever they are and help them overcome their deprivations and lack of opportunities. In turn these restrict people's choices in life and hinder realisation of one's aspirations in life or what one 'values doing and being'. The UNDP has identified five domains that can cause individuals and communities to experience a life of opportunity deficit and depletion (UNDP, 2018). These include discrimination (identity-based exclusion), geography (area of residence based poor service infrastructure), governance (institutionbased inequity), socio-economic status (social and economic entitlement-based disadvantage), shocks and fragility (vulnerability-based setbacks). While these domains are broad and somewhat generic, the framework offers sufficient conceptual elasticity to allow for examining specific community contexts of capability deprivations. These capability deprivations shaped by UNDP's five domains noted above and the inability to access the opportunities push individuals into tenacious marginalisation and being 'left behind'. This study therefore draws on the UNDP's wide categorisation of domains to capture the capability deprivations experienced by communities in the London boroughs of Newham and Tower Hamlets.

There is enthusiasm and effort at the country level to embrace the SDGs with increasing attention to the multiple domains of development within the SDG framework with a critical role of local governments at the city level (Valencia *et al.*, 2019; Fox and Macleod, 2021; Sterling *et al.*, 2020; Fisher and Fukuda-Parr, 2019). The more local ward/borough level mapping of the SDG progress too is on an encouraging rise (Biggeri, Ferrannini and Arciprete, 2018; LSDG, 2021). This paper joins the emerging body of literature that looks beyond the macro level national picture into micro contexts focusing on borough levels in two of the poorest boroughs of London in United Kingdom. The study is situated in the wider discourse on inequalities and poverty in the developed country context (Picketty, 2013; Ravallion, 2018; Roser and Ortiz-Ospina, 2017; Alston, 2018; JRF, 2018) with the specific focus on the boroughs of Newham and Tower Hamlets in East London. Further, these boroughs have been most affected by the Covid-19 pandemic in worsening of the already high social and income inequalities.

The methodology for first phase of research deploys the SDG framework to map the opportunities and the challenges (lack of opportunities) the boroughs face in specific indicators through existing borough level data and plans. The UNDP's five domains that can push people into a life of opportunity deficit and thus be 'left behind', have been used to capture the opportunities and lack of these in the two East London boroughs. The paper offers insights into the need for localising the SDGs, and a conceptual capability-based framework of how this might be done. These outcomes are likely to have relevance for policy makers at the national and local levels as well as for resource allocation at the borough level. Following the introduction section, an overview of the challenges and opportunities in terms of capabilities within the boroughs of Newham and Tower Hamlets is provided in section 2. Section 3 presents a discussion on the methodology to map the existing data to the SDGs, identifying problem areas and ways of overcoming some of these predicaments. A brief discussion on the 'leave no one behind' tag line of the SDGs and its relevance in achieving the SDGs is given in section 4. This is followed by an overview of the key actors in the 'leave no one behind' paradigm at the local level. Section 5 presents the conclusions.

The paper captures the first part of an ongoing research. Much of the primary data collection has been delayed because of the pandemic. The team is in the process of reactivating the primary research.

## 2: Opportunities and Capability deprivations in Newham and Tower Hamlets

The Greater London geographical space is divided into 32 local authority administrative districts known as boroughs. Newham and Tower Hamlets are boroughs located in the inner east part of London. Newham which is home to one of the most diverse population with also has one of the youngest populations in the country (Trust for London, 2019). Thus, it exhibits potential for a strong demographic dividend. Equally, Tower Hamlets is a place with a rich history. From beginning as a historic dock and manufacturing area, it has grown and developed at a faster rate than anywhere else in the United Kingdom (Trust for London, 2019). Additionally, 680 new affordable, social and other discounted housing completions were made over three years, the highest figure of all London boroughs (Trust for London, 2021). Rapid emergence of organisations like East London Business Alliance (ELBA) connects businesses and local communities, building capacity of local organisations and

supporting young people to upskill<sup>1</sup>. Opportunity initiatives within the creative industry include Skills Youth Network, The People's Pavilion and Fusion Futures to name a few.

Both Newham and Tower Hamlets (NWTH), despite the rich social and cultural capital offering potential for a range of opportunities in the gig economy, hospitality and creative industry face significant struggles with issues relating to capability deprivations. For example, 35.6% of employees in Newham are low paid, which is the highest percentage in London, and the poverty rate in Tower Hamlets at 37% is ten percentage points higher than the London average (Trust for London, 2019). Similarly, Tower Hamlets poverty rate is 39%, and 43% of children live in poverty, this is the highest rate in London (Trust for London, 2019).

Watt's (2020) research in East London comprising the boroughs of Barking and Dagenham, Hackney, Havering, Newham, Redbridge, Tower Hamlets and Waltham Forest highlights insecurities in housing and employment amongst young people between the ages of 18-30. The study shows how homeless and income insecure youth from multi-ethnic backgrounds in the boroughs of East London are increasingly trapped in lives of precarity. A key determinant for this precarity appears to be reprioritisation of social housing allocation policy that is pushing this cohort into the expensive private rental sector with stringent insecure tenancy rights. This configuration of deprivations through socio economic status, identity and policy indicates how several communities experience multiple domains of disadvantage that restrict life choices and opportunities. This pushes them in the just 'getting by' or merely 'making ends meet' category with very little prospects for achieving a flourishing life.

The social and cultural capital of the boroughs are reflected by its ethnic diversity. The non-white British ethnic groups<sup>2</sup> in NW make up 72.9 percent of the borough's population (GLA, 2020). The borough of TW is not far with two thirds of its population belonging to minority ethnic groups. However, Watt (2020) points to the racialised character of precarity mainly in the black British/African/Caribbean rather than South Asian ethnic groups. Additionally, educational qualifications in his data cohort tended to be limited to GCSEs (Grade 11). The study also points to unsettled family structures and insecure living arrangements that push the young people into homelessness.

Further, while some health indicators such as the overall infant mortality rates improved in NHTW, there was an increase in epidemics of AIDs and tuberculosis (TB). In Newham, 22 per 100,000 population, aged 15 years or older, were diagnosed with HIV, and in Tower Hamlets, this rose significantly to 32 per 100,0000 (Public Health England, 2017). Both figures from NWTH remained much higher than that of England at 8.7 per 100,000 (Public Health England,

<sup>1</sup> In the last year, ELBA helped place over 700 people into work, delivered over 16,000 business volunteers into the community, and worked with over 500 local organisations in east London.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The term BAME (Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic) has been used in the UK to describe its non-white ethnic groups. In recent years it has drawn much criticism for its basket approach by not recognising the vastness of what Black (African representing 54 countries, Caribbean) and Asian (South Asian, East Asian, South-East Asian) ethnic groups entail.

2017). Also, Newham continued to have the highest rates of TB in London with 47 per 100,000, 163 cases, double the London average at 21.7 per 100,00 and four times the rate across all of England in 2017 (Public Health England, 2017).

Another crucial health concern in the boroughs is the rising instance of mental health illness amongst the 25-44 years old cohort, in particular (NHS, 2013, 2016). These mental health needs assessment studies found severe mental health illness in the boroughs to be much higher than national levels with acknowledgement that these could be even higher by 25-40% due to self-underreporting. While there is higher prevalence of mental health illnesses amongst black ethnic groups, stigma associated with mental health illness in the South Asian communities (Mooney, Trivedi and Sharma, 2016) creates barriers for those seeking help. This further adds to the increasing numbers of people with poor wellbeing who are not on any official data base for mental health illness. Such individuals face dwindling life opportunities despite visible domestic stabilities because of mental health illness that remains invisible to the society.

Additionally, Newham reported the third highest Covid-19 deaths at 432 per 100,000 in the country and highest in London. Thus, household income constraints and poor health outcomes in NWTH can be mapped to the UNDP categorisation of 'social and economic status' domain of disadvantage. This inhibits access to a range of life opportunities and wellbeing, further exacerbating the capability deprivation of the NWTH communities. Although NWTH are taking actions to reduce the disparities in inequality and poverty, lack of opportunities in multiple domains have been worsened by the pandemic (HRA, 2021).

Table 1: Opportunities and Capability Deprivation in NWTH

Opportunities	Capability deprivations
*Fastest growing area in London building on 2012	*Low paid jobs for residents (over 80% jobs higher paid
Olympic Legacy	jobs filled by non-residents)
* 40 % increase in jobs projected in TH by 2026 (pre	*Insecure employment for residents
covid projection)	*Jobs to 'get by', no fulfilment of aspirations
3 1 3	* Low skills for better paid jobs
* 60,000 new jobs in NW by 2030	*49% of NW population lives in poverty
Health  *81 premises in TH: GP surgeries, community health centres, clinics, in hospital and patients in their homes (similar in Newham)  * Barts Health NHS Trust 5 major hospitals Education  *84 primary and 32 secondary schools in TH  *8 post 16 colleges in NW (similar school nos as TH)	* Homelessness amongst young people  * Insecure and unsustainable tenancy  * Overcrowding and poor housing  * High instance of mental health problems  * Poor access to health services  * Life expectancy at birth 9-10 years less in Newham than for England's healthiest areas (PHE 2019)  *NW has highest rate of pollution deaths in England: 96
* Several universities – UEL, UCL East, Queen Mary Community organisations *High concentration of voluntary & faith-based orgs.	people dying prematurely each year (PHE 2019)
*Opportunities through diversity in culture, art, food,	*Linguistic disadvantage (not able to fully communicate in English) led poor participation
South Asiana and minority population that represent 72.5% of the borough's residents  * Around 100 languages spoken in the borough with	*Racial discrimination led attenuation to life chances *Cultural norm led opportunity attenuation (FGM, child/forced marriage)
Gujrati, Urdu, Bengali and English as the main spoken languages (GLA, 2019)	*Cultural stigma led opportunity attenuation (not seeking help for mental illness and resulting inability to access opportunities) *Gendered inequalities in health, employment, safety
*Borough level strategies and plans for social and economic development * Borough level climate change action plan	*Social housing policy led insecure tenancy, unaffordable housing, and homelessness
	*Fastest growing area in London building on 2012 Olympic Legacy * 40 % increase in jobs projected in TH by 2026 (pre covid projection) *20% of London's jobs projected in NW by 2030 * 60,000 new jobs in NW by 2030  Health *81 premises in TH: GP surgeries, community health centres, clinics, in hospital and patients in their homes (similar in Newham) * Barts Health NHS Trust 5 major hospitals Education *84 primary and 32 secondary schools in TH *8 post 16 colleges in NW (similar school nos as TH) * Several universities – UEL, UCL East, Queen Mary Community organisations *High concentration of voluntary & faith-based orgs.  *Opportunities through diversity in culture, art, food, festivities, language offered by Newham's Black, South Asiana and minority population that represent 72.5% of the borough's residents * Around 100 languages spoken in the borough with Gujrati, Urdu, Bengali and English as the main spoken languages (GLA, 2019)  *Borough level strategies and plans for social and economic development

Source: Author's research based on reports from GLA, Newham Council and Tower Hamlets Council

The capability deprivations of the marginalised communities as well as the opportunities in NWTH, drawing on the above discussion are conceptually framed in Table 1. The domains reflect the UNDP construct for deprivations that can cause individuals and communities to experience a life of marginalisation and being left behind. The opportunities in these domains offer pathways if accessed, into capability expansion of the NHTW resident communities. However, given the social and economic poverty contexts within which the NHTW communities live, the conversion factors that are essential to accessing the opportunities are absent, feeble or out of reach.

## 3: Methodology and mapping Newham and Tower Hamlets onto the SDG

The current SDG framework was contextualised, for application at the sub-national level, and inputted into an excel spreadsheet so that it could be used as a mapping framework. Any indicators that were inappropriate at the borough level were identified or altered to reflect the ethos of the goals and targets. This process entailed use of alternative indicators such as the rise in foodbanks and number of children dependent on free school meals to capture data on SDG 2. Another example of contextualisation and identifying the root cause of the problem is the indicators for SDG 12. While the UK has implemented robust strategies to reduce waste and ensure sustainable consumption, in 2018, Tower Hamlets household waste recycling rate was 26.4%, ranking it 25<sup>th</sup> out of all 33 London boroughs (DEFRA, 2019). NW ranked lowest at 33 with waste recycling rate at 14.1% (ibid). A key barrier to recycling in NWTH is its densely populated landscape, with high levels of deprivation. On the other hand, some of the areas in London with the highest recycling rates, also had the highest household waste per person. Tower Hamlet household waste per person was 237.5kg, ranking them 2<sup>nd</sup> out of all 33 London boroughs. Newham household waste per person was 338.7kg, ranking 15th out of all 33 London boroughs (DEFRA, 2019). These results show that while the recycling rate was low, both boroughs have comparatively low household waste compared to other boroughs.

Further, the spreadsheet implemented colour-codes to facilitate interpretation: red indicated negative trends, orange indicated little progress in trends, green lines indicated positive trends. Literature search was carried out to source, collate and harvest relevant secondary data that would indicate NWTH mapping of the SDGs. As part of this process, all relevant statistical data was recorded on the SDG mapping framework and analysed to provide the following information: (1) Which measurement had been used, (2) The data's provenance, (3) Whether the data measurement was relevant, (4) The progress to the goal, (5) The confidence in the use of the data and (6) The rationale for the choice of measurement.

A brief overview of the above process and the findings for NWTH are presented here by selecting one goal from each of the three dimensions of sustainable development: economic growth (economy): SDG 8 – Decent work and economic growth; social inclusion (society): SDG 5 – Gender Equality, and environmental protection (biosphere): SDG 13 – Climate Action.

SDG 8: In NWTH the challenges experienced at the national level, trickle down to borough level. The figures for unemployment showed -5.5% of males and 7.4% of females were unemployed in Newham (London Datastore, 2019). In Tower Hamlets the figures rose to 9.1% of males and 10.7% of females (ibid). When combined with data on high levels of in work poverty in the boroughs, the progress towards decent employment seems High unemployment

together with in work poverty creates enormous costs for the individual and the economy as a whole, including a reduction in living standards, loss of businesses, fall in tax revenues and high spending on welfare payments and social deprivation. Scarcity of other sub-national level data makes it problematic to map NWTH progress in achieving SDG 8. While micro level studies such as Watt's (2020) draw attention to capability deprivations in specific ethnic groups, a far more detailed data capture is required to identify opportunity gaps and domains of disadvantage to enable communities to over the barriers.

SDG 5: Data collated showed that NWTH has made progress towards gender equality. Women's participation in local authority increased steadily from 38.3% women serving as councillors in 2017 to 48.3% in 2018 in NW and from 28.9% to 40% in TH (Fawcett Society, 2019). Further, both boroughs have implemented Gender Equality Frameworks and strategic plans to improving people's lives and helping them to fulfil their potential by reducing inequality. However, much work is needed to eliminate violence against women, domestic abuse, forced marriages and female genital mutilation that are of concern in the boroughs. Violence Against Women and Girls (VAWG, 2019) showed that 31.8 females per 1000 in NWTH were subject to physical, sexual or psychological violence in the previous 12 months.

SDG 13: During the research, data for climate change action provided measures being taken to combat environmental crisis at the city level. Since then though, both NW (Borough of Newham Cabinet, 2019) and TH (Borough of Tower Hamlets, 2020) have published detailed plans to embrace carbon neutrality, mitigate pollution, expand green spaces and public engagement for environmental literacy. Tower Hamlets became one of the first boroughs to declare climate emergency in 2019 having a track record of climate change mitigation and adaptation policy and debates since 2009. Proposals for dialogues with communities in both boroughs is encouraging. The macro level framework though indicates an opportunity structure without much clarity of how people on fragile livelihoods can participate and access these.

The mapping process within the 'leave no one behind' aspiration of the SDG framework in NWTH highlighted the need for engagement in three key domains. First, with a far more detailed data capture at the community level comprising ward level household data of a wide range of the socio-economic indicators<sup>3</sup>. This would enable capturing the most vulnerable households likely to be left behind including those living in 'in-work' poverty, dependent on foodbanks and community kitchens as well as those whose children require free school meals to get their daily nutrition. Such households are unable to access opportunities without targeted conversion factors to better their situation. Second, with an in depth understanding of the cultural context in terms of the cultural norms and practices within the ethnic communities that reside in NWTH. This can help in reducing gender inequalities in education, paid work, improve wellbeing and address cultural norms of FGM (Female Genital Mutilation) that cause long lasting mental and health setbacks amongst girls. The conversion factors would entail carefully thought through targeted information and support interventions. Third, with the social, economic, cultural and environmental policy contexts in the boroughs. This would help in shaping a focused strategy to upskill the youth and other residents of NWTH to access the rapidly expanding landscape of creative industry, innovation and entrepreneurship in East

Barking and Dagenham. In the next phase of this research, examining this data set within the capability deprivations of the communities is a key component.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In recent years a ward level data collection initiative has been started by one of the East London boroughs of

London. A targeted approach as noted above would enable people living in precarity to be empowered in the required domain harness the opportunities to be then not left behind.

## 4: Micro and macro actors of 'leave no one behind'

The 'leave no one behind' aspiration can be conceptualised as deploying individuals and communities as the primary building block for tracking its progress. The nuanced focus on the micro units of society is intended to capture the key constituents of the marginalised micro unit cohort that could be excluded from development policy in all countries. The first phase of this research shows that a targeted approach to engage these constituents in addressing their capability deprivations is needed. Without ways to directly engage with those on precarious livelihoods and those living within cultural norms of FGM, forced marriage and stigma towards mental health for example, will remain untouched by national and sub-national policy frameworks. The rich and growing literature within the Capability Approach on empowerment (Ibrahim and Alkire, 2007; Malhotra, 2003; Deneulin and Shahani, 2008; Clark, Biggeri and Frediani, 2019) as well as individual (Sen, 1999) and collective agency (Cleaver, 2007; Ibrahim, 2006; Tiwari, 2014; Ibrahim, 2017) offers useful insights into shaping the targeted approach to leave no one behind.

In addition to contextualising SDG framework at the borough or ward to capture the capability deprivations of communities as the SDG targets, recognising these communities as stakeholders or actors to facilitate the process of overcoming deprivations is also critical. Key constructs grounded within the CA literature on empowerment and agency noted above highlight the importance of individual and community participation through bottom-up and people centred approaches to achieve the desired outcomes. Thus, with borough policy makers and state agencies as the macro actors, the individuals and community representatives will be the micro actors. Actor participation that leads to convergence in the pursuit of specific goals is shown to be an effective way of bringing the desired capability expansion and achievement in recent research (Tiwari, 2021).

## 5: Conclusion

The first phase of this research to map the SDGs with its 'leave no one behind' ambition in NWTH indicated some borough level macro policy structures directly focusing to address capability deprivations in the boroughs. Mechanisms to engage with more community specific engagements to address opportunity gaps were scattered and did not appear to be part of an official policy plan. The mapping process discussed in section 3 indicated the potential for individuals and communities to remain untouched by any progressive approach the borough councils may adopt in several domains. The gaps include the siloed working of policies preventing community engagement such that people experiencing insecure tenancies unable to participate in environmental and wellbeing activities. Further non-inclusion of cultural norms and practices of specific ethnic group when rolling out policies prevents targeted approaches to overcome capability deprivations, such as community led information campaigns on FGM, and forced marriages. The second phase of this research will investigate if the actor participation agency led 'convergence framework' can be deployed to address the community specific deprivations in NWTH to achieve the 'leave no one behind' ambition of the SDGs.

This study emphasises on a targeted approach, informed by cultural and social norms to address capability deprivations of communities. The empowered individuals and

communities in turn can access the current opportunities to fulfil their aspirations and improve their wellbeing. This approach therefore has wider relevance to achieving the 'leave no one behind' pledge of the SDGs. Globally, in both developed and developing countries some marginalised communities living with intergenerational deprivations remain untouched by macro level efforts. To include such cohorts in the progress of the SDGs, a more focused and nuanced framing maybe needed.

## **References:**

Alston, P. (2018) The Human Rights Implications of Extreme Inequality, Report of the Special Rapporteur on extreme poverty and human rights, New York United Nations. Available at: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=3117156

Biggeri, M., Ferrannini, A. and Arciprete, C. (2018) 'Local Communities and Capability Evolution: The Core of Human Development Processes', *Journal of Human Development and Capabilities*, 19(2), pp. 126-146.

Brown, K. and Rasmussen, K. (2019) 'The Sustainable Development Goals in 2019: People, Planet, Prosperity in Focus', *Climate, Energy, and Environment*. Available at:

https://unfoundation.org/blog/post/the-sustainable-development-goals-in-2019-people-planet-prosperity-in-focus/ 2021].

Clark, D. A., Biggeri, M. and Frediani, A. A. (2019) *The Capability Approach, Empowerment and Participation*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.

Cleaver, F. (2007) 'Understanding Agency in Collective Action', *Journal of Human Development*, 8(2), pp. 222-244.

Datastore, L. (2019) 'London Area Profiles'. Available at: <a href="https://data.london.gov.uk/london-area-profiles">https://data.london.gov.uk/london-area-profiles</a>

DEFRA (2019) 'UK Waste Statistics '. Available at: <a href="https://deframedia.blog.gov.uk/2019/02/15/uk-waste-statistics-published">https://deframedia.blog.gov.uk/2019/02/15/uk-waste-statistics-published</a>.

Deneulin, S. and Shahani (2008) *An Introduction to the Human Development and Capability Approach.* London: Earthscan.

Fisher, A. and Fukuda-Parr, S. (2019) 'Introduction—Data, Knowledge, Politics and Localizing the SDGs', *Journal of Human Development and Capabilities*, 20(4), pp. 375-385.

Fox, S. and Macleod, A. (2021) 'Localizing the SDGs in cities: reflections from an action research project in Bristol, UK', *Urban Geography*, pp. 1-21.

HRA (2021) 'COVID-19: Families in Tower Hamlets and Newham'. Available at:

https://www.hra.nhs.uk/planning-and-improving-research/application-summaries/research-summaries/covid-19-families-in-tower-hamlets-and-newham/ (Accessed July 4, 2021).

Ibrahim, S. (2006) 'From Individual to Collective Capabilities: The Capability Approach as Conceptual Framework for Self-Help', *Journal of Human Development*, 7(3), pp. 397–416.

Ibrahim, S. (2017) 'How to Build Collective Capabilities: The 3C-Model for Grassroots-Led Development', *Journal of Human Development and Capabilities*, 18(2), pp. 197-222.

Ibrahim, S. and Alkire, S. (2007) 'Agency and Empowerment: A Proposal for

Internationally Comparable Indicators', Oxford Development Studies, 35(4), pp. 379-403.

JRF (2018) A comprehensive analysis of poverty trends and figures, York: Joseph Rowntree

Foundation. Available at: <a href="https://www.jrf.org.uk/report/uk-poverty-2018">https://www.jrf.org.uk/report/uk-poverty-2018</a>

London, T. f. (2019) 'London's Poverty Profile, '. Available at:

https://www.trustforlondon.org.uk/data/boroughs/tower-hamlets-poverty-and-inequality-indicators/.

London, T. f. (2021) 'Tower Hamlets Inequality Indicators'.

LSDG (2021) 'Our work on the UN Sustainable Development Goals '. Available at:

https://www.london.gov.uk/about-us/organisations-we-work/london-sustainable-development-

<u>commission/our-current-work-and-priorities/our-work-un-sustainable-development-goals</u> (Accessed July 7, 2021).

Malhotra, A. 2003. Conceptualizing and measuring women's empowerment as a variable in international development, Measuring Empowerment: Cross-disciplinary Perspectives. Washington DC: World Bank.

Mooney, R., Trivedi, D. and Sharma, S. (2016) 'How do people of South Asian origin understand and experience depression? A protocol for a systematic review of qualitative literature', *BMJ Open*, 6(8), pp. e011697.

Picketty, T. (2013) *Capital in the Twenty First Century.* Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press. .

Ravallion, M. (2018) 'Inequality and Globalization: A Review Essay', *Journal of Economic Literature*, 56(2), pp. 620-42.

Roser, M. and Ortiz-Ospina, E. (2017) 'Global Extreme Poverty'. Available at: <a href="https://ourworldindata.org">https://ourworldindata.org</a>

Sen, A. (1999) Development as freedom. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Society, F. (2019) *Women's representation in local government 'stuck in the past'*. Available at: <a href="https://www.fawcettsociety.org.uk/news/womens-representation-in-local-government-stuck-in-the-past">https://www.fawcettsociety.org.uk/news/womens-representation-in-local-government-stuck-in-the-past</a>

Sterling, E. J., Pascua, P., A.Sigouin, Gazit, N., Mandle, L., Betley, E., Aini, J., Albert, S., Caillon, S., Caselle, J. E., Cheng, S. H., Claudet, J., Dacks, R., Darling, E. S., Filardi, C., Jupiter, S. D., Mawyer, A., Mejia, M., Morishige, K., Nainoca, W., Parks, J., Tanguay, J., Ticktin, T., Vave, R., Wase, V., Wongbusarakum, S. and McCarter, J. (2020) 'Creating a space for place and multidimensional well-being: lessons learned from localizing the SDGs', *Sustainability Science*, 15, pp. 1129-1147. Tiwari, M. (2014) 'Capability Approach, Livelihoods and Social Inclusion: Agents of Change in Rural India', in Ibrahim, S. and Tiwari, M. (eds.) *The Capability Approach: From Theory to Practice*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 29-51.

UNDP (2018) What does it mean to leave no one behind? Available at:

https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/publications/Discussion Paper LNOB EN Ires.p df.

Valencia, S. C., Simon, D., Croese, S., Nordqvist, J., Oloko, M., Sharma, T., Nick., T. B. and Versace, I. (2019) 'Adapting the Sustainable Development Goals and the New Urban Agenda to the city level: Initial reflections from a comparative research project', *International Journal of Urban Sustainable Development*, 11(1), pp. 4-23.

VAWG (2019). Available at: <a href="https://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/Documents/Community-safety-and-emergencies/Domestic-violence/VAWG-Leaflet-final.pdf">https://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/Documents/Community-safety-and-emergencies/Domestic-violence/VAWG-Leaflet-final.pdf</a>

Watt, P. (2020) 'Press-ganged' Generation Rent: youth homelessness, precarity and poverty in East London', *People, Place and Policy,* 14(2), pp. 128-141.