

1 **Influence of manmade effects on geomorphology, bathymetry and coastal dynamics in a monsoon affected**  
2 **river outlet in Southwest coast of Sri Lanka**

3

4 G.P. Gunasinghe<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Lilani Ruhunage<sup>3</sup>, N.P. Ratnayake<sup>1</sup>, A.S. Ratnayake<sup>4</sup>, G.V.I. Samaradivakara<sup>1</sup>, Ravindra  
5 Jayaratne<sup>5</sup>

6

7 <sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Resources Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Moratuwa, Sri  
8 Lanka.

9

10 <sup>2</sup>Department of Spatial Sciences, KDU Southern Campus, Nugegalayaya, Sewanagala, Sri Lanka.

11

12 <sup>3</sup>Coast Conservation and Coastal Resource Management Department, Maligawatte, Colombo, Sri Lanka.

13

14 <sup>4</sup>Department of Applied Earth Sciences, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Uva Wellassa University, Passara Road,  
15 Badulla, Sri Lanka.

16

17 <sup>5</sup>School of Architecture, Computing and Engineering, University of East London, Docklands Campus, 4-6  
18 University Way, London E16 2RD, UK.

19

20 \* Corresponding Author:

21 E-mail Address: [prasadh@kdu.ac.lk](mailto:prasadh@kdu.ac.lk) (G.P. Gunasinghe)

22 Postal Address: Department of Spatial Sciences, KDU Southern Campus, Nugegalayaya, Sewanagala, Sri Lanka

23 (Present Address).

24

25 **Acknowledgement**

26

27 The authors gratefully acknowledge to Copernicus Climate Change Service, Climate Data Store for providing  
28 online access to the oceanographic datasets (ERA5), and the Department of Coast Conservation and Coastal  
29 Resource Management in Sri Lanka for providing bathymetric data used in this study. We would like to thank Dr.  
30 Thushini Mendis, Nimila Dushshantha, Dinusha Kodithuwakku, Shanaka Weththasinghe, Panchala Weerakoon,  
31 Ranjani Amarasinghe and Sadun Silva for assisting in fieldwork and office work activities.

32 **Abstract**

33 A complex coastal environment with a river outlet, a sand spit barrier and an estuary of such coastal features is  
34 controlled by wave-induced hydrodynamics and sediment dynamics. The coastal dynamics patterns can be  
35 changed by natural or anthropogenic activities. This study is mainly focused on understanding the significant  
36 changes of geomorphology, bathymetry, and the coastal dynamics originated due to human influences in a  
37 monsoon affected Kalu Ganga (River) outlet in Sri Lanka. In this research project, bathymetric variations before  
38 and after the collapse of sand spit barrier were analysed to understand the extent of the erosional and depositional  
39 effects. High-resolution satellite images in Google Earth Pro were also used to qualitatively analyse beach  
40 boundary changes before the collapse of the sand spit barrier. Temporal and spatial changes of beach boundary  
41 positions after collapse of the sand spit barrier were measured using high precision GPS surveying in river outlet  
42 including the evolving sand spit barrier. Nested wave model (Delft3D modelling suite) was applied to understand  
43 the wave climate changes. The data obtained from all methods were analysed to understand the geomorphological,  
44 bathymetric and coastal dynamic changes of the study area. The results show significant and widespread  
45 deepening of bathymetry up to 1-2 m extending as far as 2 km offshore from the river outlet initiated after the  
46 collapse of the sand spit barrier. Further, the study shows separation from the mainland and buckling of the sand  
47 barrier was initiated by anthropogenic activity coupled with the release of riverine floodwater and strong waves  
48 during southwest monsoon season. The weakened and buckled sand spit barrier was migrated and welded to the  
49 mainland during calm weather of northeast monsoon. This has resulted complete change of coastal dynamics in  
50 the Kalu Ganga (River) outlet area. Since the sediment dynamics and hydrodynamics completely changed, even  
51 after 2 years, the sand spit barrier across the river outlet has not been recreated naturally. Therefore, important  
52 structures in Kalutara coastal area were threatened due to severe erosion. This study shows understanding such  
53 coastal morpho-dynamic and hydrodynamic changes are vital to implement proper coastal prevention  
54 management strategies.

55

56 Keywords: Coastal erosion, River outlet, shoreline changes, Nested wave model, Sand spit barrier

57

58

59

60

61

62

## 63 1.0 Introduction

64 The coastal zone generally consists of sandy beaches, estuaries, lagoons, salt marshes, and sand spits. A sand spit  
65 is a type of narrow and elongated sand barrier aligned almost parallel to the shoreline and connects to landmass  
66 from one end and usually the other end extends to the open waters (Davis and Fitzgerald 2004; Allard et al. 2008).  
67 Further, the main factor of sand spit formation is wave-induced nearshore hydrodynamics and sediment dynamics  
68 (e.g. King 1970; Schwartz 1982). Besides, several factors can control the formation of sand spits such as geology,  
69 sea-level fluctuations, local wind, tide, and anthropogenic activities (Ollerhead and Davidson-Amott 1995;  
70 Honeycutt and Krantz 2003; Lorenzo et al. 2007; Park and Wells 2007; Allard et al. 2008; Hereher 2015;  
71 Palamakumbure et al. 2020). The main advantage of the sand spit is to protect the main landmass from the  
72 energetic waves during storms and tsunamis (Allard et al. 2008). In addition, several world-famous tourist  
73 destinations (e.g. Spurn point – United Kingdom, Arabat spit – sea of Azov, La Manga del Mar Menor – Spain,  
74 Dungeness spit – Washington) are located in sand spit barriers providing recreational and residence facilities  
75 (Ciavola 1997; Armaitiene et al. 2007). Several natural sand spit barriers have developed in Sri Lanka across river  
76 outlets (e.g. Puttalam and Negombo - west coast, Kalutara - Southwest coast and Batticaloa - southeast coast).  
77 However, human interventions can alter their natural dynamic patterns, demolishing these fragile but important  
78 coastal landforms (Skilodimos et al. 2002). An important sand spit barrier developed across Kalu Ganga (River)  
79 outlet at Kalutara, Sri Lanka was destroyed due to removal of a part of the sand spit barrier resulting severe erosion  
80 of important structures located in mainland.

81 Kalutara is a commercially important coastal city in the western province of Sri Lanka (Fig. 1). A sand spit  
82 running across the Kalu Ganga (River) outlet, and the estuary are the most attractive tourist destinations in  
83 Kalutara. The sand spit was approximately 2 km long sand spit barrier developed across the Kalu Ganga (River)  
84 outlet (Fig. 1a). This elongated defensive barrier was also a place for recreation, fishing and tourism. The Kalu  
85 Ganga (River) opens to the Indian Ocean through the outlet channel at Kalutara after traversing about 129 km  
86 (Ampitiyawatta and Guo 2009). The Kalu Ganga (River) basin is located 6.32° and 6.90°N latitude, and 79.90°  
87 and 80.75°E longitude. The annual rainfall in the river catchment exceeds 4,000 mm, and the highest precipitation  
88 obtains from May to July during the southwest monsoon period (Kanchanamala et al. 2016). Furthermore, the  
89 heavy rainfalls are received into Kalu Ganga (River) basin during southwest monsoon season (May to September)  
90 and considerable amount of rainfall receives during second inter-monsoon season (October to November).  
91 However, less amount of rainfall receives during northeast monsoon season (December to February) compared to  
92 the southwest and second inter-monsoon into Kalu Ganga (River) basin (Ampitiyawatta and Guo 2009).  
93 Therefore, rainfall data support that the southwest monsoon season supplies more sand to the Kalutara coastline

94 through the Kalu Ganga (River) than the northeast monsoon season as observed in the study of 2018 et al. (2013).  
95 In addition, coastal geomorphology in Kalutara coast is mainly controlled by the monsoon changes with high  
96 waves (beach erosion is evident) occurring in southwest monsoon from May to September, and calm waves (beach  
97 accretion is evident) occurring in northeast monsoon from December to February (Amalan et al. 2018; Ratnayake  
98 et al. 2018b, 2019). The mean tidal range of Sri Lanka's coastal area (including Kalutara) is about 0.5 m (micro  
99 tidal) (Ratnayake et al 2013; Duong et al. 2018).

100 In May 2017, a portion of the sand spit barrier connecting to the land in Kalu Ganga (River) outlet was  
101 removed to control the flood water due to heavy rain in the river upper catchment. This has led to complete  
102 destruction of the sand spit barrier (Fig. 1b and 1c). Saltwater intrusion along the Kalu Ganga (River) has also  
103 intensified after this anthropogenic event (Personal communication, Local people in the study area). Historical  
104 sites, road and railway bridges, and several government buildings are also now threatened due to erosion in the  
105 exposed area. Consequently, the destruction of sand spit barrier has a negative impact on the economy of Sri  
106 Lanka declining tourists' attraction, lack of space for recreation activities, etc. In fact, understanding influence of  
107 coastal sediment and hydro dynamics on geomorphological changes of the area is vital to decide proper coastal  
108 prevention mechanism.

109 Accordingly, this investigation is focussed to understand geomorphological changes and the changes of  
110 coastal sediment and hydro dynamics in Kalu Ganga (River) outlet, by means of bathymetric profile surveys,  
111 qualitative analysis of time series high-resolution satellite images in Google Earth Pro, temporal and spatial  
112 changes of beach boundary position surveys and numerical wave modelling. Our study shows drastic changes of  
113 coastal geomorphology, bathymetry in the immediate offshore area and coastal dynamics initiated with the  
114 unplanned flood mitigation measure. This investigation would help to take required future management decisions  
115 by relevant authorities to develop river mouth mitigation strategies.

116

## 117 **2.0 Methodology**

118

### 119 **2.1 Bathymetric profiles**

120 Two Bathymetric survey data sets which were collected on 8 January 2017 (before demolition of the sand  
121 spit barrier) and on 1 December 2017 (after demolition of the sand spit barrier) provided by the Department of  
122 Coast Conservation and Coastal Resource Management (CCCRM), Sri Lanka, were used to produce bathymetry  
123 of the study area. Initial bathymetric profiles were obtained perpendicular to the coastline as per the given Figure  
124 2. These bathymetric survey data were collected using single beam echo-sounder with an accuracy of 1 cm, 0.1%

125 of depth value, corrected for sound velocity based on the International Hydrographic Organization (IHO)  
126 standards for hydrographic surveys (Standards for Hydrographic Surveys, Edition 6.0.0).

127

## 128 **2.2 Qualitative comparison of geomorphological changes of river outlet and newly formed sand spit barrier**

129 Time series high resolution Google Earth (Digital Globe) satellite images were used to qualitatively compare  
130 geomorphological changes of the river outlet and the sand spit barrier since 2004 to 2018. Google Earth Pro was  
131 used to extract high resolution Google Earth (Digital Globe) satellite images from same image resource (Maxar  
132 Technologies) with approximately similar spatial resolution (0.30 m – 0.70 m). The river outlet position of Kalu  
133 Ganga (River) on 1 January 2004 was marked on each extracted image by two red dots (Fig. 3) to understand the  
134 evolution of the sand spit barrier.

135

## 136 **2.3 Beach boundary surveys**

137 A time series beach boundary survey of the visible beach along the high-water line was carried out to  
138 understand quantitative geomorphological changes of the river outlet and the sand spit barrier starting from 2018  
139 to 2019 covering Northeast monsoon, 1<sup>st</sup> inter-monsoon and Southwest monsoon periods (Table 1). The high-  
140 water line can be easily identified as wet-dry boundary on the beach surface (Zhang et al. 2004). In fact, field  
141 surveys can help to get better understanding about morphological changes of the coast (Meyer et al. 2016; Selvan  
142 et al. 2019) and beach boundary can be identified based on bluff edges, vegetation lines, high water line, beach  
143 crust, dune crust and beach scarp (Morton and Speed 1998; Pajak and Leatherman 2002).

144 Global navigation satellite system (GNSS) technology (TOPCON GR5 GNSS receiver) with Sri Lanka  
145 Continuously Operating Reference Station Network (SLCORSnet) correction was utilized to obtain accurate  
146 coordinates (Horizontal accuracy – 0.007 m) on the high-water line during low tide at daytime in each survey date  
147 to demarcate the beach boundary. Moreover, the network real time kinematic (NRTK) survey mode in TOPCON  
148 GR5 GNSS receiver was used to collect coordinates (Grid coordinates based on Sri Lankan Datum 1999) along  
149 the beach boundary.

150 The sand volume, area and perimeter of newly formed sand spit barrier were calculated using Prismoidal  
151 formula, coordinate method, and grid coordinates respectively based on the beach boundary survey data (Grid  
152 coordinates based on Sri Lankan Datum 1999) collected in different monsoon seasons during 2018 to 2019  
153 considering Mean Sea Level - MSL as base elevation.

154

## 155 **2.4 Numerical modelling**

156 Sediment transport and morphological changes in nearshore area can be assessed quantitatively by  
157 understanding wave climate (Murthy and Pari 2009). In addition, if the wave climate data in the nearshore area is  
158 sparse, wave modelling techniques can be used to estimate the wave climate in the nearshore area. Simulation of  
159 wave climate in Kalutara coast was performed by Delft3D WAVE model (Nested wave model), which is the third  
160 generation SWAN model (Holthuijsen et al. 1993; Lesser et al. 2004). The Delft3D version number 4.01.01.rc.03  
161 was used for the present study.

162

#### 163 **2.4.1 Pre-preparations of nested wave model**

164 Nested wave model boundary (Model domain) was demarcated as large area and small area (Fig. 4a). The  
165 model boundary of large area (for coarse grids) is extended from Negombo to Hikkaduwa along the west and  
166 southwest coast of Sri Lanka, and its length and width is 115 km and 40 km respectively. Such a large model  
167 domain was selected to avoid wave shadowing effect at lateral boundaries (Duong et al. 2017) and this model  
168 domain has three open boundaries (northwest, southwest, and southeast) and a closed boundary (northeast, the  
169 land boundary). Further, grids of the model were generated by means of RGFGGRID tool in Delft3D (Fig. 4b) and  
170 average grid size is 200 m X 200 m as well as total number of grids are 104,976 within the domain.

171 The model boundary of small area (for finer grids) is focused Kalutara coastal zone including Kalu Ganga  
172 (River) outlet as well as either side of river outlet along the coast and adjacent nearshore zone. The length and  
173 width of the model boundary is 10 km and 2 km, respectively. This model domain is comprised three open  
174 boundaries (northwest, southwest, and southeast) and a closed boundary (northeast, the land boundary). In  
175 addition, grids of the model were generated using RGFGGRID tool in Delft3D and average grid size is 50 m X 50  
176 m including 2,816 of total number of grids.

177 The curvilinear grids were used along the closed boundary of the domain (grids of large area and small area,  
178 nested grids) and it was facilitated to reduce artificial diffusion by 'staircase' boundaries (Hu et al. 2009).  
179 Furthermore, the model depths (Fig. 4c) were generated by means of QUICKIN tool in Delft3D using bathymetric  
180 data those provided by Department of Coast Conservation and Coastal Resource Management, Sri Lanka (field  
181 survey data) and GEBCO online database. Furthermore, model depths were generated separately for the large area  
182 and small area boundaries.

183 The model boundaries in southwest and northwest directions of nested wave model were forced by wave  
184 climate parameters (significant wave height -  $H_s$ , peak wave period -  $T_p$ , mean wave direction -  $Dir$ ). Further, the  
185 wave climate parameters during 1 January 2017 to 31 December 2017 proximity to model boundary of large area  
186 in Kalutara were downloaded from Copernicus Climate Change Service Climate Data Store (ERA5 data) and

187 meta data of the downloaded wave climate parameters is shown in Table 2. Furthermore, the downloaded wave  
188 climate parameters were organized as time varying and spatial uniform wave boundary condition file (\*.bcw) to  
189 force the model boundaries. Moreover, in nested wave model, wave model computations of large area were  
190 executed first, and wave model computations of small area uses large area wave model computation results to  
191 determine their boundary conditions.

192

#### 193 **2.4.2 Setting-up of nested wave model**

194 The nested wave model was set up to reproduce nearshore wave climate in Kalutara coast during 1 January  
195 2017 to 31 December 2017 (before and after demolition of the sand spit barrier). In addition, appropriate model  
196 parameters of the nested wave model were decided after several tests runs of the model (Table 3). Accordingly,  
197 the nested wave model was executed as stationary computational mode and output wave parameters were  
198 calculated in nearshore area in Kalutara based on both computational model grids (Large area model and Small  
199 area model).

200

#### 201 **2.4.3 Validation of nested wave model**

202 The model validation was performed quantitatively comparing output wave parameters (significant wave  
203 height and mean wave direction) based on small area computational grid during month of August 2017, and  
204 downloaded wave parameters from Copernicus Climate Change Service Climate Data Store (ERA5 data) in  
205 nearshore area, Kalutara (Table 2) during the same month. In fact, downloaded wave parameters used for the  
206 model validation are considered as observed wave parameters due to lack of observed wave parameters in  
207 nearshore area of this study. In addition, the nested wave model was validated qualitatively comparing high  
208 resolution Google Earth image and modelled mean wave direction in nearshore area during same period.  
209 Moreover, nested wave model accuracy was analysed statistically using calculated root mean square error (RMSE)  
210 and average bias based on the methods used by Williams and Esteves (2017).

211

### 212 **3.0 Results**

213

#### 214 **3.1 Bathymetric profiles**

215 Bathymetric profiles on 2017/01/08 (Green line – before demolition of the sand spit barrier) and on  
216 2017/12/01 (Red line - after demolition of the sand spit barrier) are illustrated in Figure 2b based on different  
217 profile locations along the coast in Kalutara. Further, seabed erosion varying from 1 to 2 m is shown throughout

218 the 9 bathymetric profiles placed in between 8 km length along the coast at regular interval. Erosion has taken  
219 place up to maximum of 2 km from the coastline in some bathymetric profiles whereas it was eroded up to 400 m  
220 in some other profiles. At least a 1 m erosion can be seen from each of the bathymetric profiles at 400 m distance  
221 offshore from the coastline. The most significant erosion is located along the bathymetric profile number 4 which  
222 was placed perpendicular to the original river outlet before the demolition of the sand barrier. Deposition at a  
223 maximum of 2 m is characteristics at several locations in an around 800 m, 1000 m, and 1800 m from the coastline  
224 in different bathymetric profiles. In fact, the profiles in south of river outlet indicate less erosion of the seabed  
225 than the profiles in north of river outlet.

226

### 227 **3.2 Qualitative comparison of geomorphological changes of river outlet and newly formed sand spit barrier**

228 Based on the time series changes of the high-resolution satellite images in Google Earth Pro with two red  
229 dots marked on each image indicating the position of the river outlet on 2004-01-01, the river outlet of Kalu Ganga  
230 (River) moves south and the outlet is permanently open during all monsoon seasons (Fig. 3). The demolition of  
231 the sand spit barrier was commenced in May 2017 due to the inappropriate flood mitigation measure. Further,  
232 based on the image extracted on 2017-12-17 shows the breached sand spit barrier and other images (extracted  
233 after 2017-12-17) are indicating the movement of the sand spit barrier towards the landside.

234

### 235 **3.3 Beach boundary variations**

236 The beach boundary variations of study area were analysed from beach boundary survey records.  
237 Accordingly, four locations related to extensive variations in beach boundary were identified (Fig. 5a, 5b, 5c, and  
238 5d). The measured beach boundaries of the location 'm' where old sand spit barrier (available before May 2017)  
239 connected to the land, shows significant erosion (Fig. 5a). Further, the distance between the oldest records (2018-  
240 12-16) and the youngest records (2019-08-18) of beach boundaries along the transect from 'm' to 'n' is 1.5 km.  
241 Further, beach boundary survey clearly showed severe beach erosion along the coastline up to 1 km towards the  
242 north from point 'm' during both southwest and northeast monsoon season in which this beach was stable before  
243 the demolition of the sand spit barrier.

244 Sand accretion was evident in the location near to the bridge connecting Colombo-Matara at Kalutara inside  
245 the river mouth (Fig. 5b). The maximum accretion among all beach boundary lines was 130 m measured along  
246 transect from 'p' to 'q' and those beach boundary lines were measured on 2018-12-16 and 2019-07-21. However,  
247 sand erosion can be observed by measured beach boundary lines (on 2019-07-21 and on 2019-08-18) in the  
248 location.



249 The gradual sand accretion is evident in the location that is illustrated by Figure 5c and sand accretion in the  
250 location is confirmed by six temporal beach boundary lines those were moving towards the ocean. In fact, the  
251 distance between old (2018-12-16) and young (2019-08-18) beach boundary lines are 80 m measured along the  
252 transect from 'u' to 'v'.

253 The most southern portion of newly formed sand spit barrier is illustrated by Figure 5d and sand accretion  
254 is evident in this location. Moreover, six temporal beach boundary lines in the location can be observed very  
255 clearly providing details to prove the sand accretion process. In addition, the distance between old (2018-12-16)  
256 and young (2019-08-18) beach boundary lines are 100 m measured along the transect from 'x' to 'y'.

257

### 258 **3.4 Variation of volume, area, and perimeter of newly formed sand spit barrier**

259 Temporal variations in volume, area, and perimeter of newly formed sand spit barrier were tabulated in Table  
260 4. The maximum and minimum sand volumes of newly formed sand spit barrier is 200,972 m<sup>3</sup> (on 2019-07-21)  
261 and 100,688 m<sup>3</sup> (on 2019-05-26), respectively. Similarly, maximum and minimum area of newly formed sand spit  
262 barrier is 0.18 km<sup>2</sup> (on 2019-08-18) and 0.12 km<sup>2</sup> (on 2019-01-27 and 2019-05-26), respectively. Further,  
263 maximum and minimum perimeter of newly formed sand spit barrier is 4.5 km (on 2019-08-18) and 3.95 km (on  
264 2018-12-16), respectively.

265

### 266 **3.5 Numerical Modelling**

267 In quantitative validation, resultant graph in Figure 6a is indicating well compared with modelled significant  
268 wave heights and observed significant wave heights during August 2017. Similarly, resultant graph in Figure 6b  
269 is indicating well compared with modelled mean wave directions and observed mean wave directions during  
270 August 2017. In qualitative validation, the wave propagation direction displayed on the high-resolution Google  
271 Earth image (Fig. 6c) is well compared with modelled mean wave direction during same time period (Fig. 6d). In  
272 addition, the modelled mean wave direction based on the monsoon seasonality in nearshore area of this study is  
273 illustrated in Figure 7. The extent and direction of arrows in Figure 7 is representing the significant wave height  
274 and wave direction respectively. Moreover, calculated root mean square error (RMSE) and average bias of the  
275 nested wave model were 0.18 and 0.03, respectively.

276

## 277 **4.0 Discussion**

278

### 279 **4.1 Vertical changes in the seabed after the demolition of the sand barrier**

280 Demolition of sand barrier has caused wide-spread erosion with the sudden removal of riverine flood water,  
281 eroding and deepening the seabed as far as 2 km from the coastline at some of the surveyed locations. Some sand  
282 removed from the seabed deepening along with demolition of sand spit barrier has carried with the strong flood  
283 currents and deposited as irregular and discontinuous offshore sand beds at 800 m, 1000 m and 1800 m from the  
284 coastline as per the figure 2(b). Furthermore, displacement of the existing underwater sand beds to the offshore  
285 indicates that the very energetic cross-shore currents (riverine flood currents) were generated after demolition of  
286 the sand spit barrier (Fig. 2b). The sand erosion at seabed is significant in south part of the outlet (bathymetric  
287 profiles) compared to north part of the outlet (bathymetric profiles) indicating existence of underwater river mouth  
288 sand spit barrier up to certain extent that has directed riverine flood water towards the southern part even after  
289 demolition of sand barrier.

290 In fact, deepening of the nearshore zone can cause breaking of waves very near to the coastline. This might  
291 also lead to generate new refraction and diffraction patterns resulting differential coastal accretion and erosion  
292 scenarios (Pinet 2009).

293

#### 294 **4.2 Seasonal variations of wave climate**

295 Validated nested wave model provides mean wave direction in nearshore area (Fig. 7). Consequently, the  
296 longshore current direction was determined using mean wave direction in the study area based on monsoon  
297 seasonality; (i) towards north during 1<sup>st</sup> inter-monsoon season, (ii) towards north during southwest monsoon  
298 season, (iii) towards north during 2<sup>nd</sup> inter-monsoon season, and (iv) towards south during northeast monsoon  
299 season.

300 The RMSE of the model indicates that the lower values are representing less residual variance and therefore,  
301 better model performance (Williams and Esteves 2017). If  $RMSE < 0.2$ , the model is statistically significant. The  
302 RMSE value of nested wave model is 0.18 and it is less than 0.2. Hence, the nested wave model is statistically  
303 significant with 95% confidence level. Similarly, the average bias of a model based on the Williams and Esteves  
304 2017, "*Difference between an estimator's expectation and the true value of the parameter being estimated*". If  
305 the average bias is less than 0.2, the model is statistically significant (Williams and Esteves 2017). The average  
306 bias of the nested wave model is 0.03 and then, it is indicating that the model is statistically significant with 95%  
307 confidence level.

308

#### 309 **4.3 Geomorphological changes before the demolition of sand spit barrier**

310 The high-resolution satellite images of the period before demolition of sand barrier reveals that the Kalu  
311 Ganga (River) outlet was permanently open while moving towards south (Fig. 3). Similar observations have been  
312 made by Doung et al. (2018). Furthermore, partial removal of sand from the river mouth sand spit barrier (Calido  
313 beach) to control flooding in the Kalutara area for several years in the past when there were heavy rains was a  
314 general practice and the opening of the sand spit was usually filled with time. In May 2017, to mitigate the flooding  
315 in the area due to heavy rain, a portion of the sand spit barrier was removed by using heavy machinery (Fig. 1).  
316 However, the opening was not filled and reconnected by sand migration with the longshore drift (Fig. 3).

317 Wave model results of May 2017 shows high energetic waves from southwest direction acting on Kalutara  
318 coast (Fig. 7b). Also, the high-resolution satellite images in Google Earth Pro indicate just below where spit barrier  
319 that connects to the land is very thin (Fig. 3). Hence, it can be suggested that highly energized waves acting on  
320 southwest monsoon and heavy river discharge, weakened and buckled, the disconnected sand spit barrier and with  
321 the onset of calm weather during north western monsoon cause the disconnected spit barrier to migrate and merge  
322 to the mainland.

323

#### 324 **4.4 Geomorphological changes after the demolition of sand spit barrier**

325 To depict the significant changes of the study area, after the demolition of the sand spit barrier, changes of  
326 beach boundary position of four locations (a, b, c, and d of Fig. 5) would be discussed.

327 At location 'a' (Fig. 5a), coastal erosion is significant where old sand spit barrier (available before May  
328 2017) was connected to the land at the northern side of the river outlet. Not only that, about a kilometre along the  
329 shoreline towards the north side of the river mouth is affected by severe erosion during both the southwest and  
330 northeast monsoon seasons. In fact, this sand erosion is due to lack of sand supply through longshore currents due  
331 to the absence of sand spit barrier (Fig. 8c and 8d), and possible intensification of wave force due to deepening in  
332 the nearshore zone causing sea waves to break very near to the coastline (Fig. 2). Further, accretion of sand in  
333 the location 'b' (Fig. 5b) near the bridge connecting Colombo-Matara at Kalutara is observed due to the longshore  
334 currents moving towards north during southwest monsoon season transporting sand back into the river along the  
335 newly formed back beaches. These accreted sand inside the river mouth was previously drifting towards northside  
336 beaches along the demolished sand barrier with the longshore current during the southwest monsoon replenishing  
337 and maintaining the equilibrium of the beaches. The results of the nested wave model (Fig. 7b) further support  
338 that the dominant direction of the waves is towards the northeast during the southwest monsoon season and  
339 therefore the longshore transport of coastal sediments to the north. However, sand erosion took place between  
340 2019-07-21 to 2019-08-18 could be due to energetic waves acting on the location 'b' during southwest monsoon

341 season and some of the sand got eroded to offshore by strong back-swash with the wave breaking near the coast  
342 due to the absence of sand spit barrier.

343 The location 'c' (Fig. 5c) shows sand accretion due to the sand accumulation process by longshore currents  
344 moving towards north during southwest monsoon season and moving towards south during northeast monsoon  
345 season. The longshore current direction during northeast and southwest monsoon seasons are confirmed by nested  
346 wave model results (Fig. 7).

347 The location 'd' (Fig. 5d) at the south end of newly formed sand spit barrier extends towards south by sand  
348 accretion process based on longshore currents during both monsoon seasons (northeast and southwest). In  
349 addition, south end of spit barrier turns southeast direction gradually (Fig. 5d) due to the wave refraction by the  
350 headland located south to the Kalu Ganga (River) outlet.

351 The calculated area and perimeter of the newly formed back beach and the sand spit barrier has gradually  
352 increased with time. However, calculated volume of the sand spit barrier is significantly varied with and within  
353 monsoon seasons (Table 4). Changes of sediment supply to sand barrier could be varied due to river sand supply,  
354 changes of longshore current direction, and strength of the wave climate.

355 In general, the headland area of the southern coast (Fig. 5) was stabilized by construction of breakwaters  
356 shows steady state beach condition with accretion (due to the calm weather) during northeast monsoon season and  
357 erosion (due to the stormy weather) during southwest monsoon season.

358

#### 359 **4.5 Coastal dynamic patterns before the demolition of the sand spit barrier**

360 The coastal dynamic pattern in Kalu Ganga (River) outlet before the demolition of the sand spit barrier is  
361 characterized during southwest monsoon (Fig. 8a) with (i) substantial sand supply to the down drift river outlet  
362 due to heavy rain and transport them to offshore ebb delta with the energetic river current, (ii) partly feed the spit  
363 barrier by sand from south direction due to longshore current, (iii) partly drift sand to the north along the spit  
364 barrier due to northerly longshore current supplying sand to the north of the river outlet to keep therein beaches  
365 in steady state.

366 Similarly, coastal dynamics patterns during northeast monsoon season are characterized (Fig. 8b) with (i)  
367 feeding of sand to the spit barrier due to onshore transport of sediments with the calm weather swells (ii) sand  
368 movement from south of the outlet towards south due to longshore current (iii) partially supply the spit barrier  
369 with a small amount of sediment from the beaches north of the outlet due to the southerly longshore current.  
370 However, there is less sediment supply from the north of the outlet to the spit barrier, as most of the sand is

371 impassable due to the breakwater built as part of the Colombo Harbor Expansion Project (40 kms from north of  
372 outlet) and lack of main rivers in north of outlet up to the Colombo Harbour breakwater (Ratnayake et al. 2018a).

373 Generally, the effective duration of southwest monsoon season on west and southwest coast of Sri Lanka  
374 (May to September) is longer than effective duration of northeast monsoon season (December to February).  
375 Accordingly, coastal geomorphological features like sand spit barrier in Kalu Ganga (River) outlet should be  
376 formed towards north based on the long effective duration of southwest monsoon season (longshore currents  
377 towards north). Although, the spit barrier in river outlet has been formed towards South. Therefore, the orientation  
378 of the sand spit in Kalu Ganga (River) outlet is providing better evidence to prove the coastal processes of hydro-  
379 sedimentary dynamic patterns associated with monsoon seasons in the study area.

380

#### 381 **4.6 Coastal dynamic pattern after the demolition of the sand spit barrier**

382 The coastal dynamic pattern in Kalu Ganga (River) outlet after the demolition of the sand spit barrier in May  
383 2017 is characterized during southwest monsoon (Fig. 8c) with (i) change of the location of the river outlet with  
384 the complete collapse of the sand spit barrier and therefore moving the deposition of ebb delta deposits further to  
385 the north (ii) partly drift sand to the north due to northerly longshore current in the beaches south of the outlet and  
386 deposit inside the river mouth (however absence of sand spit barrier now has suppressed the sediment drift towards  
387 beaches north of the outlet) (iii) partly, drift sand from the beaches in the north of the outlet move to the north due  
388 to northerly longshore current further starving these beaches.

389 Similarly, coastal dynamic pattern in Kalu Ganga (River) outlet after the demolition of the sand spit barrier  
390 in May 2017 is characterized during northeast monsoon (Fig. 8d) with (i) feeding of sand to the newly formed spit  
391 barrier, due to onshore transport of sediments with calm weather swells (ii) partly feeding sand to the newly  
392 formed spit barrier relocated further south both from southerly longshore current and Kalu Ganga (River) currents.

393

#### 394 **5.0 Conclusion**

395 Time series satellite images suggested that sand spit barrier in Kalu Ganga (River) outlet was stable and  
396 robust until January 2017 absorbing drastic changes of wave climate and river run-off with the monsoonal  
397 changes. However, in order to take flood mitigation measures, a part of the sand spit barrier was cut-opened near  
398 to the mainland connection in May 2017 resulting gradual destruction of the sand spit barrier. That has completely  
399 changed the hydrodynamics and sediment dynamics in the Kalu Ganga (River) outlet. This study concludes,

- 400 • Anthropogenic interference has initiated breaching of the sand spit barrier located across the Kalu Ganga  
401 (River) outlet.

- 402       • Flood water release and the existing stormy wave climate during the southwest monsoon period has  
403       separated the sand spit barrier from the mainland.
- 404       • Weakened and buckled sand spit barrier was transported and welded to the mainland during the calm  
405       weather of northeast monsoon period.
- 406       • This has completely changed the hydrodynamic and the sediment dynamic pattern of the Kalu Ganga  
407       (River) outlet preventing redevelopment of sand spit barrier across the river outlet.
- 408       • Demolition of the sand spit barrier has resulted 1-2 m under water erosion maximum up to 2 km offshore  
409       from the river outlet.

410       This study further concludes, time series field measurements such as beach boundary survey associated with  
411       accurate positioning system is vital to understand geomorphological changes in a rapidly changing river outlet  
412       estuary. Further, numerical modelling using Delft3D with nested wave model provides an important information  
413       for reproducing the nearshore wave climate. Similarly, the model outputs can be used with field records for better  
414       understanding of the hydro-sedimentary dynamics in the area. This study provides important technical data for  
415       the re-establishment process of the sand spit barrier across the Kalu ganga (River) outlet similar to the period  
416       before May 2017.

417

## 418 **References**

419

420       Amalan K, Ratnayake AS, Ratnayake NP, Weththasinghe SM, Dushyantha N, Lakmali N, Premasiri R (2018)  
421       Influence of nearshore sediment dynamics on the distribution of heavy mineral placer deposits in Sri Lanka.  
422       *Environ Earth Sci*, 77:737

423

424       Ampitiyawatta A, Guo S (2009) Precipitation trends in the Kalu Ganga basin in Sri Lanka. *J Agric Sci*, 04:10-18

425

426       Allard J, Bertin X, Chaumillon E, Pouget F (2008) Sandspit rhythmic development: A potential record of wave  
427       climate variations? Arçay Spit, western coast of France. *Mar Geol*, 253:107–131

428

429       Armaitiene A, Boldyrev VL, Povilanskas R, Taminskas J (2007) Integrated shoreline management and tourism  
430       development on the cross-border World Heritage Site: A case study from the Curonian spit (Lithuania/Russia). *J*  
431       *Coast Conserv*, 11:13–22

432

433 Ciavola P (1997) Coastal dynamics and impact of coastal protection works on the Spurn Head spit (UK). *Catena*  
434 30:369–389  
435

436 Davis RA Jr, Fitzgerald DM (2004) *Beaches and Coasts*, ed. Blackwell, Oxford, pp 419  
437

438 Duong TM, Ranasinghe R, Luijendijk A, Walstra D, Roelvink D (2017) Assessing climate change impacts on  
439 the stability of small tidal inlets: Part 1 - Data poor environments. *Mar Geol*, 65-81  
440 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2017.05.008>  
441

442 Duong TM, Ranasinghe R, Thatcher M, Mahanamae S, Wang ZB, Dissanayake PK, Hemerh M, Luijendijk A,  
443 Bamunawala J, Roelvink D, Walstra D (2018) Assessing climate change impacts on the stability of small tidal  
444 inlets: Part 2 - Data rich environments. *Mar Geol*, 395:65-81. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2017.09.007>  
445

446 Hereher ME (2015): Assessment of Egypt's Red Sea coastal sensitivity to climate change. *Environ Earth Sci*, 74  
447 (4): 2831-2843.  
448

449 Holthuijsen LH, Booij N, Ris RC (1993) A spectral wave model for the coastal zone. *Proceedings of the 2nd*  
450 *International Symposium on Ocean Wave Measurement and Analysis*. New Orleans. 630–641  
451

452 Honeycutt MG, Krantz DE (2003) Influence of the geological framework on spatial variability in long-term  
453 shoreline change, Cape Henlopen to Rehoboth Beach, Delaware. *J Coast Res*, 38:147– 167  
454

455 Hu K, Ding P, Wang Z, Yang S (2009) A 2D / 3D hydrodynamic and sediment transport model for the Yangtze.  
456 *J Mar Syst*, 77(1–2):114–136  
457

458 Kanchanamala DPHM, Herath HMHK, Nandalal KDW (2016) Impact of Catchment Scale on Rainfall Runoff  
459 Modeling : Kalu Ganga River Impact of Catchment Scale on Rainfall Runoff Modeling : Kalu Ganga River  
460 Catchment upto Ratnapura. *Journal of the Institute of Engineers, Sri Lanka XLIX*:1–7  
461

462 King CAM (1970) Changes in the Spit at Gibraltar Point, Lincolnshire, 1951 to 1969. *East Midlands Geological*  
463 *Society*, 5:19–30

464

465 Lesser GR, Roelvink JA, Van Kester JATM, Stelling GS (2004) Development and validation of a three-  
466 dimensional morphological model. *Coast Eng*, 51:883–915

467

468 Lorenzo F, Alonso A, Pagès JL (2007) Erosion and accretion of beach and spit systems in Northwest Spain: a  
469 response to human activity. *J Coast Res*, 23 (4):834–845.

470

471 Meyer BK, Vance RK, Bishop GA, Dai D (2016): Shoreline dynamics and environmental change under the  
472 modern marine transgression: St. Catherines Island, Georgia, USA. *Environ Earth Sci*, 75 (1): 36.

473

474 Morton RA, Speed FM (1998) Evaluation of shorelines and legal boundaries controlled by water levels on sandy  
475 beaches. *J Coast Res*, 14(4):1373–1384

476

477 Murthy MVR, Pari Y (2009) Application of wave transformation models for estimation of morphological changes  
478 at Vellar estuary, southeast coast of India. *Nat Hazards*, 49:371–385

479

480 Ollerhead J, Davidson-Arnott RGD (1995) The evolution of Buctouch Spit, New Brunswick, Canada. *Mar Geol*,  
481 124:215–236

482

483 Pajak MJ, Leatherman SP (2002) The high water line as shoreline indicator. *J Coast Res*, 18(2):329–337

484

485 Palamakumbure L, Ratnayake AS, Premasiri HMR, Ratnayake NP, Katupotha J, Dushyantha N, Weththasinghe  
486 S, Weerakoon WAP (2020) Sea-level inundation and risk assessment along the south and southwest coasts of Sri  
487 Lanka. *Geoenvironmental Disasters* 7, 17 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40677-020-00154-y>

488

489 Park JY, Wells JT (2007) Spit growth and downdrift erosion: results of Longshore transport modelling and  
490 morphologic analysis at the Cape Lookout cusped foreland. *J Coast Res*, 23 (3):553–568.

491

492 Pinet PR (2009) *Invitation to oceanography*. Johns and Barriertlett, Massachusetts.

493



494 Ratnayake NP, Silva KBA, Kumara IGIK (2013) Chloride contamination in construction aggregates due to  
495 periodic saline water intrusion: a case study in the Kaluganga River Estuary, Sri Lanka. *Environ Earth Sci*, 69(8),  
496 2529-2540.

497

498 Ratnayake NP, Ratnayake AS, Keegle PV, Mallawa Arachchi MAKM, Premasiri HMR (2018a). An analysis of  
499 beach profile changes subsequent to the Colombo Harbor Expansion Project, Sri Lanka. *Environ Earth Sci*, 77:24.  
500 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-018-7234-8>

501

502 Ratnayake AS, Ratnayake NP, Sampei Y, Vijitha AVP, Jayamali SD (2018b). Seasonal and tidal influence for  
503 water quality changes in coastal Bolgoda Lake system, Sri Lanka. *Journal of Coastal Conservation* 22, 1191-1199.

504

505 Ratnayake NP, Ratnayake AS, Azoor RM, Weththasinghe SM, Seneviratne IDJ, Senarathne N, Premasiri R,  
506 Dushyantha N (2019). Performance analysis of the beach nourishment and breakwater construction to control the  
507 coastal erosion at Uswetakeiyawa, Sri Lanka. *SN Applied Sciences* 1:52

508

509 Schwartz ML (1982) *The encyclopedia of beaches and coastal environments*, Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania,  
510 Hutchinson Ross Publishing Cooperation. pp. 960

511

512 Selvan SC, Kankara RS, Prabhu K, Rajan B (2019) Shoreline change along Kerala, south-west coast of India,  
513 using geo-spatial techniques and field measurement. *Nat Hazards*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-019-03790-2>

514

515 Skilodimou H, Stefouli M, Bathrellos G (2002) Spatio-temporal analysis of the coastline of Faliro Bay, Attica,  
516 Greece. *Estud Geol-Madrid*, 58 (3-4): 87 – 93

517

518 *Standards for Hydrographic Surveys* (2008) IHO publication. <https://iho.int/en/standards-and-specifications>.  
519 Accessed 17 December 2016

520

521 Williams JJ, Esteves LS (2017) Guidance on Setup, Calibration, and Validation of Hydrodynamic, Wave, and  
522 Sediment Models for Shelf Seas and Estuaries. *Adv. Civ*, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5251902>

523

524 Zhang K, Douglas BC, Leatherman SP (2004) Global warming and coastal erosion. *Clim. Change*, 64:41–58

525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555

**Figure Captions**

**Fig. 1 (a)** Study area of present investigation (Kalutara coastal zone, Western province, Sri Lanka), **(b)** inserted map showing the sand spit barrier in Kalutara (before demolition), and **(c)** inserted map showing the sand spit barrier in Kalutara (after demolition)

**Fig. 2** Offshore bathymetry of Kalu Ganga (River) outlet **(a)** Locations of bathymetric profiles (Red lines are not in scale, only represent orientation of the bathymetric profiles), **(b)** Bathymetric profiles in Kalutara coastal stretch, before (2017-01-08) and after (2017-12-01) demolition of the sand spit barrier

**Fig. 3** Available high-resolution Google earth satellite images from 2004 to 2018 in the Kalu Ganga (River) outlet

**Fig. 4** The nested wave model, Delft3D WAVE pre-preparation stage for the Kalu Ganga (River) outlet offshore area **(a)** Modal boundary (Large area for coarse grids and small area for finer grids), **(b)** Model Grids, and **(c)** Model depths. (Coordinate System: Universal Transverse Mercator [UTM])

**Fig. 5** The Beach boundary survey map prepared for 2018 to 2019 (different monsoon seasons) in the Kalu Ganga (River) outlet area. The images a, b, c, and d represent enlarged locations.

**Fig. 6** Wave model validation for the Kalu Ganga (River) outlet offshore area **(a)** Comparison of significant wave height - modelled versus observed (downloaded), **(b)** Comparison of mean wave direction - modelled versus observed (downloaded), **(c)** High resolution Google Earth satellite image on 2017-12-08 (northeast monsoon season), **(d)** Modelled mean wave direction in northeast monsoon season

**Fig. 7** The mean wave directions during different monsoon seasons obtained from Delft3D WAVE model (Nested) in the Kalu Ganga (River) outlet offshore area. **(a)** 1st inter-monsoon, **(b)** Southwest monsoon, **(c)** 2nd inter monsoon, **(d)** Northeast monsoon

**Fig. 8** Schematic diagram of the Coastal dynamic patterns before and after the demolition of sand spit barrier. **(a)** before the demolition of sand spit barrier during southwest monsoon season, **(b)** before the demolition of sand

556 spit barrier during northeast monsoon season, **(c)** after the demolition of sand spit barrier during southwest  
557 monsoon season, **(d)** after the demolition of sand spit barrier during northeast monsoon season

558

559 **Declaration**

560

561 Funding: **No funding was received for conducting this study**

562 Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: **The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant**  
563 **to the content of this article.**

564 Availability of data and material: **Not applicable**

565 Code availability: **Not applicable**

566 Authors' contributions:

567 **All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and**  
568 **analysis were performed by GP Gunasinghe, Lilani Ruhunage, NP Ratnayake, AS Ratnayake, GVI**  
569 **Samaradivakara, and Ravindra Jayaratne. The first draft of the manuscript was written by GP**  
570 **Gunasinghe and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and**  
571 **approved the final manuscript.**

572